

**CITY OF SOUTH LYON  
REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING  
JUNE 14, 2010**

Mayor Wallace called the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m.

Mayor Wallace led those present in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag

PRESENT: Mayor Wallace  
Council Members: Kivell, Kopkowski, Kramer, Morelli, Selden and Wedell  
City Manager Murphy  
City Clerk/Treasurer Zemke  
Attorney Lee  
Department Heads: Collins, Martin and Renwick

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES:**

Council Member Kopkowski asked if Patti Maida's address was just put in the minutes or did she state her address. City Clerk/Treasurer Zemke stated that was the address that was given.

**CM 6-1-10 – APPROVAL OF MINUTES- MAY 24, 2010**

Motion by Wedell, supported by Kivell

To approve the minutes of the May 24, 2010 Regular Council meeting as presented

VOTE: MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

**APPROVAL OF MONTHLY BILLS:**

Discussion was held on various bills.

**CM 6-2-10 – APPROVAL OF MONTHLY BILLS**

Motion by Kivell supported by Kramer

To approve the monthly bills as presented

VOTE: MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

**APPROVAL OF AGENDA:**

**CM 6-3-10 APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

Motion by Wedell, supported by Selden

To approve the agenda as presented

VOTE: MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

**PUBLIC COMMENT:**

Mayor Wallace introduced the Brown Family. He stated that they will be celebrating their 50<sup>th</sup> year in the City of South Lyon.

CM 6-4-10 – PROCLAMATION

Motion by Wallace, supported by Kopkowski

WHEREAS, June 27, 2010 marks a milestone for Brown's Root Beer celebrating their 50th year in the City of South Lyon; and

WHEREAS, Brown's Root Beer has been owned by the Brown family since its inception in 1960; and

WHEREAS, Brown's Root Beer has provided employment for hundreds of local citizens over the years; and

WHEREAS, Brown's Root Beer is one of the oldest family owned businesses in South Lyon

WHEREAS, Brown's Root Beer has provided South Lyon a hometown feel for many years; and

WHEREAS Brown's Root Beer has been an important, caring part of the community contributing to many causes and needs without hesitation;

NOW THEREFORE, I Tedd Wallace, Mayor of the City of South Lyon, do hereby proclaim June 27, 2010 Brown's Root Beer Day in the City of South Lyon.

VOTE:

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. Ed Brown stated that the will be having a celebration of June 27<sup>th</sup> and invited all to attend.

Oakland County Commissioner Jeff Potter of 1099 Stable Lane congratulated the Brown family and stated that Brown's gives us the home town feel that we are all very proud of. He stated that the County business for the season is set for the summer and was glad to see various representatives from the City at various events hosted by the County. He stated that as County Commissioner he has helped to weigh in on some bike path expansions in the county including Wixom, Walled Lake and Commerce. The Parks and Recreation is revamping their way of spending, and one of his suggestions is that some of the park funds be set aside to be used as match for some of the grant monies available through the MDNR. Further, he stated that approximately two months ago the County stood to lose a portion of the funding that was going to the Secondary Road Patrol grants funded through tickets losing some of the money for patrols on the lakes. This is all taking place as the Michigan State Police are still patrolling select communities without police taxes. He wished everyone a happy summer and stated that he is always available to residents with concern or question.

Mr. Carl Richards of 390 Lenox discussed the need for treatment of the weed area along the drain at McHattie Park. He discussed the value of the trees on the various City owned properties. He stated that he would estimate the value of the timber for logging purposes to be \$20-30,000. Mayor Wallace stated that aesthetically the trees a worth a million dollars.

OLD BUSINESS:

1. International Fire Code: Access Box Use Policy

City Manager Murphy stated that this was discussed at the last Council meeting and Council wanted a few changes to make the language stronger to indicate that certain items shall or must be done. They also changed the language from "lock box" to "key box" so that it fits in with the code. Furthermore item G was added so that dispatch shall make every effort to make contact with the business owner or designee.

Council Member Kopkowski stated that it indicates that the designee as determined during the yearly fire inspection. She asked if these individuals are on the same database as the emergency contact list kept by the Police Department. Chief Collins stated that the Police Department maintains a database, but it is not the same as the Fire Department. Council Member Kopkowski asked if it would be beneficial to maintain one database. Chief Collins stated that it would be up to the individual businesses. The Police Department does not necessarily update their contact list every year. They make changes when new businesses come in or when we know there is a change.



Council Member Kopkowski stated that in Northville they have been having some false 911 calls having something to do with the computer system. She asked if we were having some here and how that would affect the key boxes. Chief Collins explained the procedure and stated that typically we do not attempt to enter a building, but would make visual observation to see if there might be a reason or someone in distress in the building. Normally they would not attempt to make entry into a business, but ask dispatch to make contact to the emergency contact person.

CM 6-5-10 – APPROVAL OF KEY BOX POLICY

Motion by Kivell, supported by Morelli

To approve the Section 6.8; Use of Commercial Lock Boxes in the South Lyon Fire Department Standard Operating Guidelines as presented

VOTE:

MOTION CARRIED (2 opposed)

2. 2<sup>nd</sup> Reading: Request to Rezone 410 Pettibone from RM to I-1

Councilman Kivell stated that it is unfortunate how this issue came about with the previous rezoning of this property, but this will take care of it.

CM 6-6-10 – SECOND READING – REZONING OF 410 PETTIBONE

Motion by Kramer, supported by Wedell

That this be the second reading and adoption of the rezoning of 410 Pettibone from RM to I-1

VOTE:

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

NEW BUSINESS:

1. Proposed contract with HAVEN

City Clerk/Treasurer Zemke stated that this is proposed annual contract between the City of South Lyon and HAVEN. They have provided statistical information as far as the number of people in the City that use their services, and the Police Department also uses them as a resource. Chief Collins stated that they do make referrals to HAVEN as well as other organizations.

Discussion was held on the information provided being confidential due to the nature of the services. Councilman Kivell stated that 38 people were able to take advantage of these services, and as unfortunate as their circumstances may have been, it provided them a route to get some help.

CM 6-7-10 – APPROVAL OF CONTRACT – HAVEN

Motion by Kopkowski, supported by Selden

To approve the proposed contract between the City of South Lyon and HAVEN for fiscal years 2010-2011 for an annual contribution of \$2,500

VOTE:

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

2. Proposed contract with South Lyon Area Youth Assistance

Mayor Wallace stated that a few years ago we increased the contribution from \$8,000 to \$13,000. They are proposing the same \$13,000 contribution for this upcoming year.

## CM 6-8-10 – APPROVAL OF CONTRACT – SOUTH LYON AREA YOUTH ASSISTANCE

Motion by Morelli, supported by Wedell

VOTE:

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Councilman Selden stated that this is a great group that does not receive much recognition.

Discussion was held on some of the services that Youth Assistance provides and the value of early intervention.

### 3. Proposed Park Use Policy

City Clerk/Treasurer Zemke stated that the Parks and Recreation Commission and Kristen Delaney took a lot of time looking at our ordinance as well as ordinances from various communities. The Clerk's office has recently taken over the charge of scheduling all of the different aspects of all of our parks including baseball fields, pavilions, etc. The use of these facilities is a great deal which is great to see, but we need some established policies. Although this has been in our ordinance, it is important to have these written policies to be handed out to these groups as they make application for use of the facilities. She stated that she is asking Council to approve the enclosed policy and application.

It was stated that this will take scheduling conflicts out of the picture.

Council Member Kopkowski stated that in the application, it indicates that you must be 21 years of age or older to reserve a facility and asked if we are discriminating if we do not allow people to make application at age 18. She stated that we do not allow alcohol in the park without permission from Council. Attorney Lee stated that if someone who was 18 made application, we would probably want insurance, etc. that an 18 year old could not sign for. City Clerk/Treasurer Zemke stated that we do not require insurance simply to reserve a facility. Attorney Lee stated that if Council chooses, they could reduce that age limit to 18. It was stated that you can enter into a legal contract at 18. The consensus of Council was to lower that age limit to 18.

Councilman Wedell stated that the policy indicates that it is unlawful to bring a pet into the park. He stated that there are a lot of leashed pets brought into the park. It would seem that we should allow leashed pets with some provisions including clean-up, etc. City Clerk/Treasurer Zemke stated that restriction is part of our City Code. If Council wishes to allow pets, it would require an ordinance amendment. It was stated that it is not illegal for people to take their dogs on the bike path. Discussion was held on the distinction between the trail and the park.

Councilman Wedell suggested that we table the policy and re-visit an amendment to the Code.

Councilman Kramer stated that the policy indicates that for groups of more than 25 people, a permit may be obtained indicating that it is optional. City Clerk/Treasurer stated that if a group wants sole use of a certain aspect of a park, they need the permit. A group simply meeting to have a picnic in the park would not be required to have a permit.

Councilman Kramer asked if we are going to require permits for just Volunteer and McHattie Parks or all parks. City Clerk/Treasurer Zemke stated that we have been concentrating on the two parks because that is where the fields and the pavilions are. We can expand that.

Councilman Kramer asked if the leagues are paying for the use of the parks. City Clerk/Treasurer Zemke stated that they are not. We will be looking at fees for the use of the parks to help maintain the parks, but right now we simply wanted to get the policy in place.



CM 6-9-10 – TABLE PROPOSED PARK USE POLICY

Motion by Wedell, supported by Kopkowski

To table consideration of the proposed Park Use Policy until next meeting

VOTE:

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

4. Mowing Contractor Bids

City Manager Murphy stated that the Building Official put out for bid the mowing for the weed mowing violations. The lowest bidder is the company that we have been using and it is being recommended that we accept that bid from Ultra Unlimited Services.

The question was asked how many bids we received. City Manager Murphy stated that there were two bids.

Discussion was held on the ordinance being changed from a height of 12 inches to 8 inches. Discussion was held on the process of enforcing the violations. Discussion was held on how the process will work after the retirement of the Building Official. City Manager Murphy stated that the Police Department will be working with the Building Department Secretary who will then coordinate with the mowing service.

CM 6-10-10 – APPROVAL OF BID – MOWING CONTRACTOR

Motion by Wedell, supported by Kramer

To approve the low bid from Ultra Unlimited Services, Inc. as the City Ordinance Mowing Contractor

VOTE:

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

5. Contractor Bids for Bodnar Building

Mayor Wallace stated that we have been to court on this issue and Judge McKenzie ordered that the City had the right to refurbish the building because the owner would not. City Manager Murphy stated that the order also included removal of items inside the building as well.

Attorney Lee stated that this process started 1 ½ years ago.

Council Member Kopkowski stated that she thought that we could make the owner do the work. Attorney Lee stated that he was ordered to come to court and report to the Judge on how and when he would do the work. However, he refused to appear. The Judge has found him in contempt of court and this is the Judge's response to the contempt. It was stated that the cost will become a lien against the property.

Council Member Kopkowski stated that she is also concerned about the safety of the contractor and questions that could arise about missing or damaged property. Attorney Lee stated that the property owner would have to take up any issue with Judge McKenzie because it is Judge McKenzie's order that we are following. We are not asking the contractor to do anything other than what the Judge has ordered. Discussion was held on video taping the process as well as video taping the inventory. Mayor Wallace stated that he believes we are also going to need some police supervision if past history is any indication of how the owner will react.

The question was asked where the property will be stored. City Manager Murphy stated that would be up to the contractor. The question was asked how long the property will be stored. Attorney Lee stated that if he does not pay after the first 30 days, there is a statutory provision for storage companies to dispose of the property to pay the storage fees. Once it is at the storage facility, we do not have anything else to do with

the property. It was stated that if Mr. Bodnar does not pay the contractor costs, it will be placed on the tax roll.

CM 6-11-10 – LOW BID – 390 S. LAFAYETTE - REPAIR/REMOVAL OF MATERIALS

Motion by Selden, supported by Kivell

To waive the low bid for the exterior renovations and approve the low bid for both the exterior renovations and the removal and storage of Contents for 390 S. Lafayette per court order for a combined low bid of \$15,880

VOTE:

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

6. Resolution to Support County

Mayor Wallace stated that some townships do not provide police service and count on the State Police to provide the service. Those of us who have our own departments end up paying for State Police for these townships. Commissioner Potter has asked us to support by resolution opposing free Michigan State Police Protection in these communities.

Councilman Kivell asked if communities have the ability contract with State Police.

County Commissioner Jeff Potter stated that these communities do not pay for the State Police. He discussed these communities that do not provide a budget or millage for police services. He discussed the ability of communities to contract with the County Sheriff. When a resident moves into the area and are pricing houses and taxes, they realize they can purchase a home for much more in one of these townships and make the very same tax payment because of police services alone. The residents of the City pay for their own police services and also pay for the neighbors' police services. The County Board had been looking at laying off deputies to put money back into the County budget, which is what prompted he along David Coulter from Ferndale to resurrect this issue. If one were to take the City's budget and divide it by department it would equate to approximately 5.4 mills for police service.

City Manager Murphy stated that Brighton Township did have a contract with the State Police for limited services to enhance for supplemental events, etc.

The question was asked the definition of "wealthy communities". Commissioner Potter stated that his resolution did not use the word "wealthy" or differentiate between communities. The County resolution simply called for the elimination of the subsidy. City Manager Murphy stated that this resolution language was taken from another community.

Commissioner Potter discussed the State Budget and the cuts in State Police Services such as patrolling highway, crime labs, arson investigation, etc.

Councilman Kivell stated that he is happy to support this, but feels that it is ceremonial. Commissioner Potter stated that all resolutions like this are ceremonial until someone decides to do something about it. Discussion was held on forwarding this to both the State Representative and State Senator.

CM 6-12-10 – RESOLUTION OPPOSING FREE MICHIGAN STATE POLICE PROTECTION IN WEALTHY COMMUNITIES

Motion by Selden, supported by Kivell

Whereas, despite the fact that the State of Michigan is facing a severe financial crisis and a structural budget deficit, many wealth communities in the State of Michigan receive free primary law enforcement services from the Michigan State Police, and



Whereas, the residents of South Lyon are required to pay a substantial amount of property taxes to maintain their own full service police department, and

Whereas, most of the communities receiving free Michigan State Police protection have a much higher taxable value per capita than the City of South Lyon, resulting in a system in which the residents of poorer communities are actually subsidizing police protection in richer communities through the payment of State taxes, while at the same time these less-wealthy communities are facing serious financial challenges, and

Whereas, along with being grossly unfair, this practice of providing free Michigan State Police protection to high-growth areas fuels urban sprawl and actually creates an economic disincentive to live and invest in older, fully-developed communities, and

Whereas, the Michigan Legislature failed to address this inequity when the South Lyon City Council passed a similar resolution on March 8, 2005, so once again

Therefore Be It Resolved, the South Lyon City Council calls upon our elected leaders in Lansing to redress this inequity through fully restoring revenue sharing payments to older communities in order to provide adequate police protection in our areas, and to require those communities receiving free Michigan State Police protection to directly pay for the cost of that protection.

VOTE:

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

7. Signage Request from Jen Wilson, SLARA Director

Mayor Wallace stated that the request is for temporary signage to direct traffic for the Summer Rec Fest. Chief Collins has provided a Traffic Control Order to allow these temporary signs on July 23-24, 2010 only.

CM 6-13-10 – TRAFFIC CONTROL ORDER 10-02

Motion by Kivell, supported by Kopkowski

To approve Traffic Control Order 10-02 as presented

VOTE:

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

8. Non-Profit Recognition: Kiwanis Club

Attorney Lee stated that the Kiwanis Club is looking to conduct a charitable gaming function, and in order to do so the State requires that the local community pass a resolution identifying them as a non-profit organization. They have provided their 501c(3) documentation.

CM 6-14-10 – RESOLUTION FOR CHARITABLE GAMING LICENSE

Motion by Morelli, supported by Wedell

To approve Local Governing Body Resolution for Charitable Gaming Licenses recognizing Kiwanis Foundation of South Lyon as a non-profit organization operating in the community for the purpose of obtaining charitable gaming licenses

VOTE:

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

9. Proposed Agreement with the City of Novi for Building Inspection Services

City Manager Murphy stated that our Building Official is retiring at the end of the month. He talked with the City of Novi about taking over acting as our Building Official for inspections and plan review. We have come up with a contract that has been reviewed by the City Attorney. It is a one year contract to see how things are going to work. He further stated that he is recommending going with the City of Novi rather than going

with a part-time inspector who may not be as available, so that we can send them directly to the City of Novi to talk with someone immediately. This will be much more user friendly.

Discussion was held on the cost. City Manager Murphy stated that Novi would get 75% of the permit costs and we would get the remaining 25%. The question was asked if a resident called the City of Novi, would we be charged for that service. City Manager Murphy stated that there would be no charge for phone calls unless it gets out of hand. We would try and handle as many questions in-house.

Council Member Kopkowski stated that Novi is known to be very thorough and she feels that it will make us more full service to our residents. It is very frustrating to a homeowner that needs an inspection and can only get an inspection on a limited basis.

Councilman Kivell stated that Exhibit A, item E speaks of 4 Board of Appeals meetings and asked if we could buy more. City Manager Murphy stated that he is sure that we could, but we did not discuss the cost as he did not feel that we would need more than 4. Furthermore, we can expand on this in the future.

Discussion was held on who would be handling blight and other zoning and ordinance violations. City Manager Murphy stated that the Police Department will be helping in some of those areas. Chief Collins stated that there will be a learning curve involved and Joe Veltri has been developing a list of violations they should be looking for as well as compiling those related ordinances.

#### CM 6-15-10– APPROVAL OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT FOR BUILDING INSPECTION SERVICES

Motion by Morelli, supported by Kopkowski

To approve the Intergovernmental Agreement for Building Inspection Services between the City of South Lyon and the City of Novi

VOTE:

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

#### 10. Oakland 40: Executive Session

Attorney Lee stated that we should allow anyone from the public an opportunity to address Council on this subject prior to entering into executive session. He stated that under a 2006 Act, the Legislature has give anyone who owns property the right to offer a contract zoning to the City. The City cannot initiate any offer of this type of contract. The property owner would suggest to the City that they would accept certain conditions in exchange for being allowed a specific use. These property owners known as Oakland 40 have asked for a rezoning to R-2 which the Planning Commission has recommended denial which is also before Council tonight. They have also suggested a contract zoning in which they would agree that instead of 138 units which would be allowed under the R-2, they would only build no more than 100 units. They have provided a general plan. We are going into executive session not only because it is a proposed contract, but also because there is a threat of litigation. This issue did go before the Circuit Court which dismissed it until the property owner had exhausted all administrative remedies, ie rezoning and/or contract zoning. Council needs to decide 1) whether they want to enter into a contract zoning, 2) the conditions are satisfactory to City Council 3) they would enter into a contract zoning but these conditions are not satisfactory but are willing to accept other conditions.

Pam Weipert, Planning Commission Chairperson stated that the Planning Commission unanimously recommended to City Council to not enter into the contract with Oakland 40. You cannot negotiate the contract, but make a recommendation based on the proposal. In 2009 the Planning Commission reviewed an application to rezone the property to R-2 and unanimously recommended denial to City Council. In the spring this year, the proposed contract was presented to the Planning Commission. The Planning Commission had reservation about contract rezoning as a practice and feels strongly about protecting the City's Master Plan. They feel that contract zoning is simply a way to circumvent the Master Plan and



weakens it. If you contract with one group going against the Master Plan, then why would you not consider the next group. She stated that they feel that if the situation has changed that now it should be considered residential, then that should be considered during their next Master Plan update rather than considering contract zoning. The Planning Commission also had question about the extent to which the property had been marketed industrial. There is no for sale sign indicating industrial and they have never done a land survey so they question how they know what the property was like in order to market as industrial. In the contract they question the fact that the developer would be able to keep the plan open for 15 years based on market and financial concerns which are not defined. Furthermore, if after the 15 years the developer has completed ¼ of the units, they will be able to continue on indefinitely. The conditions proposed are things that we would be able to achieve in every other development that comes before them. They are not getting anything special. If Council decides to go ahead with the contract, the Planning Commission does want to remain a part of the process and have them go through the planning process. Finally, if Council approves the contract they would ask that the conceptual plan be removed from the contract as they have no land survey to know whether they can develop according to that plan.

Susan Friedlander, Attorney Representing the property owner stated that her clients have owned that property for approximately 10 years and it was owned by another group when it was first zoned I-1 and now to the IRO. This is the only parcel like this in South Lyon. Her clients and the owners before tried to market the property as industrial and even in the best of economic times no one has even attempted to purchase the property for development of industrial. The property is surrounded entirely by residential and the school. She has shown this property to professional planners, bankers and real estate professionals and everyone has said that no one would ever develop the property for industrial use. It has been dead land for over 25 years. It was originally zoned industrial when the school property was zoned industrial and there was a plan to put a road through to Eleven Mile or Pontiac Trail. It never happened because of wetlands. She stated that her clients have lost two agreements with developers who wanted to develop residential. The only time anyone came forward with a plan for industrial use, it caused an uproar in the community. Even in these difficult economic times, her clients have a builder who has said that if it is rezoned then he is very interested in purchasing lots. Based on the last Master Plan several years ago, the land inventory showed that there is virtually no vacant land zoned residential in the City. There is approximately 100 acres of industrial which has never been developed, and there is other land more suitable than this for industrial. They did not have to make any offer for rezoning and if Council would like it could simply be a straight rezoning request. The Court asked them to come back and make another request for rezoning, they added the conditions in order to constrict what can be done. She stated that the community is almost built out and it is important to bring in more population. It is unfair to the owner and it is unfair to the City because it has cut off one of the last large parcels of land that exist suitable for good quality residential development. She stated that she hopes that Council will look at this with an open mind and approve the rezoning.

Mr. Mark Hipp of 691 Grand stated that his property abuts the property in question. They built their house approximately 20 years ago. At the time they could have had just about any lot in the subdivision. They knew that this property was industrial and thought long and hard about purchasing a lot next to it. They came to the same conclusion that the property was not the best suited for industrial. He asked where his rights are. He feels that if it is changed to residential, the rug is being pulled out from under him. He purchased based on the current zoning. He discussed the owner's motive to purchase the property 10 years ago knowing that it was industrial. He further discussed the marketing of the property and the sale price. He discussed the current subdivisions only partially built and asked Council to think long and hard before making their decision. His desire is that it remain industrial.

#### CM 6-16-10 – ENTER EXECUTIVE SESSION

Motion by Kivell, supported by Morelli

To enter into Executive Session for the purposes of discussing the Oakland 40 Contract Proposal

VOTE:

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Council entered into Executive Session at 9:02 p.m. and reconvened the regular session at 10:13 p.m.

11. Oakland 40: Decision

CM 6-17-10 – OAKLAND 40 CONTRACT PROPOSAL

Motion by Kivell, supported by Kopkowski

To deny the request from Oakland 40 for contract zoning

VOTE:

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

CM 6-18-10 – REZONING REQUEST – OAKLAND 40

Motion by Kivell, supported by Kopkowski

To deny the request to rezone property located west of Huron Valley Trail, north of Kestrel Ridge Drive, south of Knollwood Drive and east of Eagle Heights Drive from IRO to R-2

VOTE:

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

**MANAGER'S REPORT:**

City Manager Murphy stated that the new signs purchased by the DDA have been installed.

**COUNCIL COMMENTS:**

Councilman Morelli stated that the new signs downtown look great.

Councilman Kivell asked if the calculation for the pay-off for the DWRF engineering had been done. City Manager Murphy stated that he contacted Brian Camiller from Plante Moran who has provided a memo on that subject. He will be putting that in with his Manager's Report on Friday. Bottom line is that it is a 5-year pay-off.

Councilman Kivell stated that he was happy to see the streetscape trees downtown replaced and was happy to see the new signage. He stated that he was hoping to see the sign at Wells Street parking lot be replaced to match. City Manager Murphy stated that they have already been ordered.

Councilman Selden asked where we stand on the private developments turning the watermain and hydrants over to the City. City Manager Murphy stated that the checklist has been prepared by the City Attorney and reviewed by the engineers and distributed to the entities. As soon as the developments are ready, we can act on them. Councilman Selden stated that he understood that some of the mains have already been dedicated in Colonial Acres Phase 5. Attorney Lee stated that the main that goes through the development that goes through to the northerly development was done years ago, but that is the only one.

Mayor Wallace stated that many people may not know the term ICE (In Case of Emergency). In case you are ever in an accident or something has happened, emergency personnel would be able to notify the person you want notified in case of an emergency by simply entering ICE into your cell phone. It is being suggested that you enter ICE into your cell phone and enter that persons contact information, and possibly 2-3 contacts.

**ADJOURNMENT:**



CM 6-19-10 ADJOURNMENT

Motion by Morelli, supported by Kramer

To adjourn meeting at 10:20 p.m.

VOTE:

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Respectfully submitted,

---

Tedd M. Wallace  
Mayor

---

Julie C. Zemke  
City Clerk/Treasurer