



CITY CLERKS: PLEASE POST

AGENDA

**PALOS VERDES PENINSULA
REGIONAL LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMITTEE**

**THURSDAY, MAY 11, 2023
7:30 A.M.***

VIRTUAL MEETING

** Meeting will begin immediately following the preceding Peninsula Regional Emergency Preparedness Committee meeting*

1. **CALL TO ORDER**
2. **ROLL CALL**
3. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**
4. **PUBLIC COMMENT**

NOTE: *This is the appropriate time for members of the public to make comments regarding items not listed on this agenda. Pursuant to the Brown Act, no action will take place on any items not listed on the agenda.*

5. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**
 - A. MINUTES OF MARCH 9, 2023
6. **OLD BUSINESS**
 - A. NONE
7. **NEW BUSINESS**
 - A. LOS ANGELES COUNTY FIRE/MCCORMICK/PARAMEDIC RESPONSE UPDATE



- B. QUARTERLY LAW ENFORCEMENT/TRAFFIC REPORT/CORE REPORT (SHERIFF)
- C. CONSIDERATION OF LIDAR AND RADAR EQUIPMENT PURCHASE (SHERIFF)

8. **OTHER MATTERS FROM REGIONAL LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMITTEE MEMBERS**

NOTE: *This is the appropriate time for Committee Members to direct the placement of items for future action on upcoming agendas.*

9. **ADJOURNMENT**

- A. Next regular meeting Thursday, August 10, 2023, at 7:30 a.m.*
*(*immediately following the preceding Peninsula Regional Emergency Preparedness Committee meeting)*



**PALOS VERDES PENINSULA
REGIONAL CONTRACT LAW ENFORCEMENT
MINUTES TO MEETING ON THURSDAY, MARCH 9, 2023**

I. CALL TO ORDER

A meeting of the Palos Verdes Peninsula Regional Law Enforcement was called to order by Committee member Britt Huff at 9:35 a.m. via Zoom.

II. ROLL CALL

PRESENT:

Rancho Palos Verdes

David Bradley, Councilmember
Eric Alegria, Councilmember - Absent

Rolling Hills Estates

Britt Huff, Mayor
Frank Zerunyan, Councilmember - Absent

Rolling Hills

Patrick Wilson, Mayor
Bea Dieringer, Councilmember

ALSO PRESENT:

Rancho Palos Verdes

Ara Mihranian, City Manager
Karina Bañales, Deputy City Manager
Jesse Villalpando, Emergency Services Coordinator

Rolling Hills Estates

Greg Grammer, City Manager
Alexa Davis, Assistant City Manager
Jessica Slawson, Management Analyst

Rolling Hills

Elaine Jeng, City Manager
Christian Horvath, City Clerk

Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department

Lieutenant Michael White, Lomita Sheriff's Station

Los Angeles County Fire Department

Chief Brian Bennett, Division 1

III. PUBLIC COMMENT

None.

IV. COMMITTEE REORGANIZATION

Member Wilson nominated Member Bradley as Chair and Member Dieringer seconded the motion.

AYES: Members: Huff, Wilson, Dieringer, and Chair Bradley

NOES: Members: None

ABSENT: Members: Alegria and Zerunyan

V. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. MINUTES OF NOVEMBER 10, 2022

Member Huff motioned to approve the minutes and Member Wilson seconded the motion.

AYES: Members: Huff, Wilson, Dieringer, and Chair Bradley

NOES: Members: None

ABSENT: Members: Alegria and Zerunyan

VI. OLD BUSINESS

A. NONE

VII. NEW BUSINESS

A. QUARTERLY MEDICAL TRANSPORT RESPONSE TIMES REPORT (LA COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT)

Rolling Hills Community Meeting

Chief Bennett shared that he and the local Battalion Chiefs met with residents that were affected by the storms in the City of Rolling Hills. He explained that the meeting was productive and LACOFD learned from residents' experiences how services can be improved and explained policies. He offered to all three cities that the LACOFD team is available to meet with the residents as requested to address concerns from residents.

Chief Brian Bennett provided a summary of total incidents and response times in each of the cities.

Rancho Palos Verdes

Chief Bennett shared that the City of Rancho Palos Verdes had Nine Hundred and Ninety-Five (995) calls for Quarter 4. Ten (10) calls were categorized as delayed; the delays were due to distance, required a second unit to respond outside of the area, incorrect location by caller, and false alarms.

Rolling Hills

Chief Bennett shared that the City of Rolling Hills had Fifty-Five (55) calls for Quarter 4. One (1) call was categorized as delayed; the Captain decided to respond Code 2 to the call since the text stated it was a non-emergency call.

Rolling Hills Estates

Chief Bennett shared that the City of Rolling Hills Estates had Two Hundred Fifty-One (251) calls for Quarter 4. Two (2) calls were categorized as delayed; the delays were due to a false alarm and the other due to multiple calls occurring at the time.

Chief Bennett concluded his presentation and made himself available for questions.

Member Dieringer asked Chief Bennett a question on a call that was listed as a fire or explosion and sought further clarification. Chief Bennett reported that he did not recall a but that he would investigate the incident.

Chair Bradley asked if there is a way to reduce the time on Medical Emergency calls. For example, if there is a medical emergency and waiting 6 minutes 30 seconds seems like an eternity. Is there anything we can do to reduce those response times.

Chief Bennett responded that nothing could be done at the city level to improve response times and he explained that the department has worked on getting individuals up and out of the station quicker. Chief Bennett reported that the department continuously reviews response times daily, weekly, and monthly.

Chair Bradley asked if there was an average response time target. He explained that the NFPA 1710's national standard is 9 minutes and 20 seconds. Chief Bennett reported that LA County has not adopted NFPA 1710, however, they use it as a target.

Member Wilson shared that during his time on the committee, the response report only includes the Fire Department and not McCormick's response; in some cases, McCormick may arrive sooner. Chief Bennett stated that is correct. Chief Bennett explained that if McCormick is driving in the community, they may be able to respond quicker. Chief Bennett reported that that the arrival of McCormick is not reflected in the report the first arriving medical unit.

McCormick Response Times

Daniel Perez, Communications Manager of McCormick Ambulance, was not on the call to be present. Chief Bennett offered to present and answer questions on behalf of the McCormick response times.

Chief Bennett provided an explanation that staffing shortages at hospitals cause delays to response times. Chief Bennett indicated that an ambulance would arrive at a hospital with a patient that is not on life support and would be required to wait 4-5 hours before they could leave the patient. Chief Bennett shared that this affects the responses to communities.

Chief Bennett shared that McCormick has implemented a new process whereby McCormick EMTs are stationed at major hospitals with a van equipped with additional

gurneys attends to patients from private ambulances while they wait to be seen by the doctor in the hospital. Chief Bennett stated that this allows the ambulance to return to services faster.

Chief Bennett reported that LA County Fire has authorized the transport of patients to a hospital in a squad truck engine if emergency personnel believe that the delay will impact patient outcomes. Chief Bennett indicates that this is being done almost daily to avoid delays in patients seeking treatment.

Member Dieringer asked if there was anything the City Councilmembers could do to assist to remedy through advocacy or changes to existing laws beyond what is already instituted to address this problem.

Chief Bennett responded that he is not aware of any upcoming legislation but any time an item involving EMS transport or homelessness care and transportation assistance, it would be beneficial if the cities took a position. While addressing homelessness, it is important that individuals seek other transportation and care resources first if the issue is non-emergent and doesn't require emergency medical transport.

Chief Bennett shared that LA County implemented a "quick response" program where a doctor, paramedic, or nurse practitioner are dispatched in a truck to provide higher level medical assistance and relieve their squads to return to service quicker. Currently, there are three trucks providing this service in Gardena, Hawthorne, and Inglewood.

Chief Bennett shared that grants or legislation that gather non-emergent resources to assist patients will allow paramedics to respond quicker to emergency calls.

Member Dieringer had a follow-up question regarding a program that is currently in place that takes mental health patients to an area that specializes in mental health treatment instead of a hospital. Member Dieringer asked if this was happening in our area.

Chief Bennett responded that this was not happening with their first responders.

Chair Bradley shared that it would require continued pressure on the LA County Supervisors to come up with solutions across the area.

Chief Bennett agreed.

B. QUARTERLY LAW ENFORCEMENT/TRAFFIC/COMMUNITY OUTREACH REPORT (LA COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT)

Chair Bradley requested the LA County Sheriff's report.

Lieutenant Michael White shared with the committee a brief comment on the item presented by the LA County Fire Department, stating Deputies are first to arrive on emergency calls and that they are trained in CPR and basic first aid. If needed, Deputies will render aid until the Fire Department arrives.

Chair Bradley added that LA County Sheriff is also assisting with mental health support.

Lt. White shared that LASD has a MET (**Mental Evaluation Team**) team that when able they will respond to assist.

Chair Bradley asked if LASD is diverting the homeless or those with mental health needs to receive needed services instead of making an arrest.

Lt. White responded yes and that when MET responds, they will transport patients to the appropriate facility.

Rancho Palos Verdes

Lt. White summarized the traffic statistics for Quarter Four (4) of 2022. Lt. White highlighted total collisions, and injury collisions are down, while enforcement of hazardous citations are up. Lt. White shared that this is an area he would like to increase traffic enforcement, especially in key areas in the city such as Hawthorne and Vallon to get drivers to slow down.

Chair Bradley mentioned that Rancho Palos Verdes continues to ask for extra service hours for additional traffic suppression, and he is aware that the department struggles with getting the additional hours filled. Chair Bradley asked how the department is doing as we enter the first quarter of 2023 in filling these requests.

Lt. White stated that although they are low on staffing these requests, they are still able to meet the city's need. Lt. White asked the traffic safety committee to identify specific areas in the city that need additional enforcement, communicate that information to him, and he will assign a couple of proactive deputies to these areas.

Chair Bradley stated the city will absolutely do that and suggested a few areas in the city that need enforcement. Chair Bradley also requested that Lt. White let the city know what we can do to help.

Rolling Hills Estates

Lt. White presented Rolling Hills Estates Traffic Stats. Lt. White shared that traffic collisions are down below average and hazardous citations are up.

Member Huff shared that she appreciates the speed signs set-up on PV Drive East to address speed in that area. Lt. White stated that this is an area the department will focus citations on. Member Huff added that the Metropolitan Water Project has slowed drivers down.

Rolling Hills

Lt. White presented Rolling Hills and indicated is quiet and has no injuries or collisions.

Member Wilson asked if there were additional supplemental traffic enforcement during the Quarter Four (4) in Rolling Hills. Lt. White stated that he was not aware of any and will investigate this further.

Member Wilson stated that as the other City's request for additional resources, they too would like to have more resources.

Member Dieringer requested additional enforcement on Crest Road.

Lt. White presented an overview of the traffic statistics for all three cities combined.

Rancho Palos Verdes Crime Statistics- PART I Crimes

Chair Bradley requested to move onto the PART I section of the presentation.

Lt. White summarized the crime statistics for the three cities.

Chair Bradley shared that catalytic converter theft continues to be a concern and that Flock cameras did not capture enough information on the incident. Member Bradley asked how the city can improve the infrastructure in support of crime suppression in the and help identify bad actors.

Lt. White responded by stating that as HOA's request for Flock Cameras, it is important for them to identify an individual in their neighborhood to monitor their equipment to ensure it is operating. Lt. White also mentioned that at the Contract Cities Seminar, it was mentioned that ALPR is now offering neighborhood cameras. He was not endorsing this product, but deputies did share their positive experience with the ALPR cameras.

Chair Bradley requested that staff follow-up on the ALPR camera.

Rancho Palos Verdes Crime Statistics- PART II Crimes

Lt. White proceeded with his presentation on Part II Crimes in Rancho Palos Verdes.

Chair Bradley commented that having patrolling deputies is essential to deter crime as with traffic suppression and asked Lt. White to let the city know what they can do to help support the station's efforts.

Lt. White responded that they are working on a PSA "See Something, Say Something" and ask the city's assistance with promoting the message.

Chair Bradley mentioned that the cities are willing to help support the Lomita station. He added that, at times, the Watch Commander or the person taking the calls seemed disinterested and made the residents feel that LASD was bothered by taking calls. Chair Bradley recommended additional training for Operators and Watch Commanders so they can take the calls for service in the spirit of the call being made; thereby encouraging residents to come forward with observations.

Lt. White responded that desk personnel are trained to provide patient and quality public service. He shared that they are told when someone calls the station, it is their first interaction with law enforcement and the last thing the caller should feel is that they are being a bother to the LASD desk personnel. Lt. White encourages anyone that calls the stations and is not satisfied with the service they received to ask for the Watch Commander so they can share their concerns.

Member Dieringer appreciated Lt. Whites comments responding to citizens. Member Dieringer noticed that crime activity is higher for RPV and the other cities in this quarter compared to the previous quarters; she inquired where catalytic converters and stealing from mailboxes are placed on the crime report.

Lt. White stated that stealing from mailboxes is found under Part 1 Petty Theft, Larceny and Theft. Catalytic converters are found under theft from a vehicle.

Member Dieringer asked if there was something for residents to do to reduce the number the mail theft occurrences. Lt. White suggested a lock on the mailbox.

Member Dieringer asked a question about catalytic converter etching program and if there was one available. Lt. White mentioned that cities take the initiative in hosting these events.

Chair Bradley stated that Lomita has hosted an event in the past at Lomita City Hall, and City Manager Mihranian confirmed.

Rolling Hills Estates Crime Statistics- PART I and PART II Crimes

Chair Bradley asked if Member Huff from Rolling Hills Estates had any questions regarding the statistics.

Member Huff highlighted that residential burglaries are increasing to 2018 number and is an area of concern for residents. Member Huff asked a question about the burglary structure and asked if it was a garage. Lt. White responded to Member Huff's questions about burglary structure and stated that this is a commercial burglary such as Ulta beauty store.

Lt. White responded to the residential burglaries and their increase. He stated that Vantage Point off Crest Road had a few burglaries last year and since then they have added private security conducting foot patrols. These burglaries were occurring off the Bridle Path.

Member Huff stated that the community used to have deputies on mobile patrol vehicles in the area in the Bridle Path. Lt. White stated they are working on training additional deputies to resume periodic mobile patrols since the previous Core Deputy had retired. Patrols in that area have increased and they are in regular communication with a resident in the community to reduce the residential burglaries.

Rolling Hills Crime Statistics- PART I and PART II Crimes

Chair Bradley requested to proceed with Rolling Hills and their crime stats.

Member Wilson mentioned that the two residential burglaries in the report occurred next to each other and shared they may have entered from Palos Verdes Drive North. Member Wilson also inquired about a burglary structure and sought clarification. Lt. White stated that burglary structure in Rolling Hills referred to a barn where a chainsaw was stolen.

Member Dieringer highlighted the increase in burglaries in Rolling Hills Quarter Four (4) compared to previous quarters and inquired on the status of the investigation of these burglaries. Lt. White stated he did not have that information and would follow up with additional information.

Member Dieringer would like to take this opportunity to inform residents that although the community is gated, they still need to lock their doors.

Member Wilson mentioned that leaving garage doors open continues to be a problem.

Response Times

Chair Bradley requested to proceed with the response times. Chair Bradley stated that the response times are aligned within the targets of the LA County Sheriff's. Lt. White states that they pride themselves in getting to their calls as soon as possible.

City Manager Mihranian asked Lt. White to define the response times for the Emergency, Priority, and Routine. Lt. White stated that the response time for Emergent call is 10 minutes (baby not breathing), Priority Call is 20 minutes (missing person), and Routine call is one hour (theft of a catalytic converter).

City Manager Mihranian thanked Lt. White for the explanation and clarified that if a resident arrived at home and identified the home was burglarized, that is considered a Routine Call. Alternatively, if you hear someone in your house or receive a notification from the security system, that is considered an Emergency Call.

City Manager Mihranian shared a recent call from a resident where they believed a burglary was underway and called the Sheriff's department; the LASD Deputies responded immediately to this Emergency Call.

Lt. White agreed that deputies will drop everything to respond to the call.

Lt. White asked City Manager Mihranian if it would be beneficial to share this information with the community. City Manager Mihranian stated it would be appropriate to share and the city would work with LASD to communicate this message to the residents.

Member Wilson shared that Rolling Hills has a citywide newsletter where this information can be made available for residents. Chair Bradley shared Rancho Palos Verdes has the same.

Member Dieringer asked advice for a resident regarding forgery. Member Dieringer described an incident that occurred in the City of Rolling Hills.

Lt. White shared examples of identity theft and forgery where a wallet was stolen from a purse in a store and their stolen credit card was used in a different city. This is an example of both petty theft and identity theft.

Member Wilson shared that these crimes are occurring outside the jurisdiction where the victim resides. Lt. White stated that when the Deputies conduct their investigation the reveals that the petty theft occurred in one city, but the withdrawal of funds occurs in another city.

Member Dieringer shared that residents may not understand where to find the agency of where their identity was used. She shared that most residents would report the crime to the Sheriffs, but the investigation might be conducted by another agency. Lt. White responded that another station may have jurisdiction over the location where the crime occurred, but residents should start with the Sheriff's department.

Chair Bradley proceeded with the presentation; both Rolling Hills and Rolling Hills Estates response times were within standards. No questions were brought by the committee over the reported times.

Chair Bradley noted the Peninsula average response time and how residents focus on Emergency Calls. He shared with Lt. White to reach out to the cities on how they can help both LASD and the Fire department communicate with residents the topography's impact on call times.

VIII. OTHER MATTERS FROM REGIONAL CONTRACT LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Member Dieringer requested that the committee explore ways to communicate what they have learned at the committee to their constituents. She suggested Peninsula-wide PSAs program highlighting various public safety campaigns and hosting town halls.

City Manager Mihranian suggested hosting "Coffee with a Cop" events in the next quarter and coordinating PSA messaging regarding response times.

IX. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, Chair Bradley adjourned the meeting at 10:41a.m. The next meeting is scheduled to be held on Thursday, May 11, 2023, at 7:30 a.m. immediately following the Peninsula Public Safety Committee meeting.

[Signatures on next page]

Respectfully submitted,

Karina Bañales
Deputy City Manager
City of Rancho Palos Verdes

Approved,

Dave Bradley
Chair
City of Rancho Palos Verdes



**REGIONAL CONTRACT LAW
Agenda Item No. 1C
Meeting Date: 5-11-23**

MEMORANDUM

TO: REGIONAL CONTRACT LAW COMMITTEE
FROM: CITY MANAGERS
DATE: MAY 11, 2023
**SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF LIDAR AND RADAR
EQUIPMENT PURCHASE**
PREPARED BY: Shaunna Hunter, Rancho Palos Verdes Administrative Analyst

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1) Review and discuss Lt. White's request for the purchase of additional LIDAR (Laser Imaging, Detection, and Ranging) and RADAR equipment to supplement existing equipment utilized by the Sheriff Department; and
- 2) Direct Staff to proceed with purchasing the equipment as requested by the Los Angeles Sheriff-Lomita Station.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION

In 2020, the RCLC approved the purchase of supplemental LIDAR equipment replacing older model equipment as requested by LASD. (Attachment A)

In 2021, the RCLC adopted a Purchasing Policy for Supplemental Sheriff Equipment whereby outlining supplemental equipment purchase process, approval thresholds, and member cities financial obligation percentages per capita. (Attachment B)

The quote for the supplemental equipment is \$16,455.36. The fiscal impact to the member cities based on approved percentages from the RCLC Purchasing Policy for Supplemental Sheriff Equipment is as follows:

- Rancho Palos Verdes (68%) \$11,189.65
- Rolling Hills Estates (28%) \$4,607.50

**REGIONAL CONTRACT LAW COMMITTEE
CONSIDERATION OF LIDAR AND RADAR EQUIPMENT PURCHASE
MAY 11, 2023**

- Rolling Hills (4%) \$658.21

Lt. White (LASD) submitted a supplemental equipment purchase request, dated April 19, 2023 (ATTACHMENT C). The supplemental equipment recommendation is to purchase an upgraded system that utilizes video recording in addition to LIDAR/RADAR. The recommended product utilizes new technology that may keep deputies in the field and provide evidence to secure convictions.

Lt. White has provided a quote from the vendor Kustom Signals, Inc., with product specifics and pricing. (ATTACHMENT D)

CONCLUSION

It is recommended that the RCLC review the supplemental equipment purchase and direct staff to move forward with the purchase following the purchase policy guidelines. If approved, the Sheriff's Department will return at the August 10, 2023, RCLC meeting with an update on the installation process.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
"A Tradition of Service Since 1850"

DATE: April 19, 2023

FILE:

OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

FROM: MICHAEL A. WHITE, A/CAPTAIN LOMITA STATION **TO:** CITY MANAGERS
PENINSULA REGIONAL LAW
ENFORCEMENT COMMITTEE

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR EQUIPMENT

Background

Lomita Sheriff's Station encourages traffic safety and enforces traffic laws in the Peninsula Region. These tasks aim to reduce the number of persons injured or killed in collisions that occur on the Peninsula.

According to the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS), speed-related crashes are responsible for approximately 10,000 fatalities annually in the United States. In fact, the IIHS states 26% of all fatal traffic collisions involve excessive speed. In 2019, Lomita Station investigated 336 traffic collisions in the Peninsula Region. In 2022, after the previous purchase and deployment of upgraded speed enforcement equipment, that number fell to 217 traffic collisions, a reduction of 35%.

As part of continued efforts to combat traffic collisions through enhanced enforcement, Lomita Station requests the acquisition of additional RADAR and LIDAR units to provide deputies with the latest generation of enforcement technology.

Discussion

There are three full-time deputies assigned solely to traffic enforcement duties in the Peninsula Region (two deputies utilizing patrol cars and one deputy assigned to a motorcycle) in addition to the standard roster of deputies assigned to respond to calls for service and other law enforcement needs. Lomita Station currently has 3 LIDAR units and 2 mobile RADAR units assigned to the three traffic enforcement deputies. This poses a problem where all three deputies simultaneously engage in traffic enforcement duties. Both LIDAR and RADAR units have specific strengths and weaknesses and are best used in concert with one another.

Recently, Lomita Station has trained more personnel in the use of RADAR/LIDAR as required by 40802 of the California Vehicle Code. Lomita Station currently has the speed enforcement equipment assigned to our traffic enforcement personnel, who are utilizing the equipment effectively. Unfortunately, those additional deputies who are now trained in using RADAR/LIDAR don't have the speed enforcement equipment to utilize their new skills and supplement the current traffic enforcement regime.

In addition to purchasing additional equipment, the latest technology in speed enforcement is the LaserCam4 from Kustom Signals. The laser incorporates the same circuitry as the ProLaser4 that our deputies are familiar with and adds a video camera capable of recording images of the target vehicle along with the speed information on the screen. The addition of video evidence can potentially contribute to increased conviction rates and prevent our deputies from being taken out of the field for court hearings when individuals contest their citations. The purchase of one unit will allow Lomita Station to explore this new technology and the potential enforcement benefits the video evidence provides.

Equipment from Kustom Signals was considered in this analysis as it is one of the largest US-based manufacturers of traffic enforcement equipment. The equipment currently used at Lomita Station is already from this manufacturer, and the build quality has been field tested, as exhibited by 15-year-old equipment still being utilized today. The current RADAR and LIDAR calibration services provider for Lomita Station also recommended the equipment.

Conclusion

The previous purchase of speed measuring equipment has enhanced the ability of Lomita Station to enforce speed limits, potentially contributing to a significant decrease in traffic collision reports in the Peninsula Region. The purchase of additional equipment would allow additional line personnel to supplement the efforts of the traffic enforcement deputies in enforcing the law in the Peninsula Region.

Recommendation

Purchase the following (based on the most recent NASPO contract information, subject to change upon confirmation with the vendor):

3x "Pro Laser 4" LIDAR handheld units @ \$2,340.74

3x "Directional Talon" RADAR handheld units @ \$1,293.13

1x "LaserCam4" LIDAR handheld unit @ \$5,553.75

Approximate total acquisition cost, including 10.25% Los Angeles County sales tax:
\$18,142.03

REGIONAL CONTRACT LAW COMMITTEE POLICY

DATE ADOPTED: 5/13/2021

SUBJECT: Purchasing Policy for Supplemental Sheriff Equipment

POLICY:

The Regional Contract Law Committee (RCLC, "Committee") desires to memorialize a purchasing policy for the Lomita Station Sheriff's Department (Department) to purchase equipment supplemental to the regional law enforcement services contract. Therefore, the RCLC has established this policy for the consideration of purchasing requests made by the Sheriff's Department.

Whereby the Sheriff's Department seeks funding to purchase supplemental equipment from the cities represented by the RCLC (Rancho Palos Verdes, Rolling Hills, and Rolling Hills Estates), the following details the process by which the Department may seek approval for proposed purchases and the Cities may disburse funds:

1. For purposes of this policy, the Sheriff's Department must submit a quote, comprising full cost information to the RCLC in order for the Committee to review and potentially approve. A completed quote must include:
 - 1.1. the vendor's description of the item(s),
 - 1.2. anticipated unit cost of the item(s),
 - 1.3. proposed not to exceed amount for approval, and
 - 1.4. a narrative description of the need for and/or use of the item(s).
2. The Sheriff's Department may solicit quotes at its discretion, according to internal procurement practices, and shall present its purchase request to the RCLC in advance of purchasing the item(s).
3. Purchases will only be allowed for the approved amount. If the purchase amount changes, the RCLC must be informed before the purchase occurs. Any purchases made prior to RCLC approval are subject to the RCLC's ultimate decision of providing funding for the item(s). The RCLC shall not be held financially responsible for item(s) purchased prior to the RCLC's consent.
4. The RCLC shall review all requested equipment expenditures at the regularly scheduled Committee meetings. The Sheriff's Department may request an item be placed on the agenda no less than two weeks in advance of the meeting.

5. Should the expenditure(s) be approved by the RCLC, invoices shall be billed to the City of Rancho Palos Verdes (30940 Hawthorne Blvd., Rancho Palos Verdes CA 90275). Rancho Palos Verdes shall invoice Rolling Hills and Rolling Hills Estates for their portion of the amount as follows: Rancho Palos Verdes (68%); Rolling Hills Estates (28%); and Rolling Hills (4%).
6. It remains the responsibility of the Sheriff's Department to ensure timely delivery of equipment, full working order of the equipment, and timely delivery of invoices to the City of Rancho Palos Verdes.
7. If the total cost of the purchase is less than \$3,000 the City Managers from the three cities may authorize the expenditure without a vote by the RCLC.

BACKGROUND:

The RCLC occasionally is asked to approve supplemental expenditures for the Lomita Sheriff's Station, in addition to its contracted budget. Without County or grant funds, it is the responsibility of the RCLC, and the three cities, to evaluate and fund the supplemental equipment purchases conducted by the Lomita Sheriff's Station.

Supplemental Information RE: Lomita Sheriff's Station LIDAR Proposal Memo

The following information is intended to supplement the information already provided in the Proposal Memo. Some of the information is restated and included for the purpose of coherency.

Background

There are three (3) full-time deputies assigned to traffic enforcement duties in the Peninsula region. The requested purchases of the upgraded equipment would be utilized by these traffic enforcement deputies. Individual equipment costs for City / Region directed services are paid for by the serviced cities.

The existing mounted RADAR equipment (Pro-1000DS) and LIDAR handheld (Pro Lite) are used by the Ford Explorer vehicles, and the Motor Deputy (motorcycle) uses a handheld RADAR Talon II device. The remaining LIDAR equipment, the LIDAR Pro Laser 3, was previously used by the Motor Deputy but it no longer works and is not in use. The RADAR and LIDAR units have different functions and strengths, and the handheld versions are different compared to the mounted version, which is further explained in the discussion below.

There are also standards and certifications in place for operators of RADAR, or Radio Detection and Ranging, and LIDAR, or Light Detection and Ranging, equipment.

Discussion

The Station is seeking upgrades to their traffic enforcement equipment as the equipment they use are, and have been, outdated. In addition, the one mounted LIDAR equipment they had is no longer in use, and the cost of the repairs is similar to replacing it with a newer model. The requested newer model is \$500 cheaper. Although the current equipment still function and provide the minimum service, the newer generation (and requested units) are greater in quality and ability in regards to speed acquisition, tracking, and distance.

The primary difference between the RIDAR and LIDAR equipment is the beam spread that picks up a vehicles speed at certain distances. RADAR units can reach much further and have a greater width of scope, whereas the LIDAR detector has a smaller range. This allows LIDAR units to target specific vehicles without also trigger private detectors in certain vehicles. RADAR units are better for widespread traffic calming.

The Eagle 3 is the latest generation of RADAR units provided by the existing vendor, Kustom Signals, and it offers front and rear scanning. The Pro Laser 4 has a detection distance 400% greater than the Pro-Lite Handheld, though only 20% greater than the Pro Laser 3. The major advantage of the Pro Laser 4 over the previous model is that it has a narrower width (1 foot compared to 3 feet, at 1,000 feet), which allows for faster speed acquisition and greater accuracy in targeting vehicles from further distances. The

Pro Laser 4 operates using AA batteries or through a USB charge, whereas the Pro Laser 3 relies on a separate battery pack.

An additional purpose of this request is to allow the traffic enforcement deputies using the Ford Explorers to have both LIDAR and RADAR equipment in use. If these recommendations are accepted, the current models would be replaced and stored as back-ups. If the additional equipment are purchased as recommended, the deputy in the Ford Explorer vehicle using the handheld LIDAR Pro Lite will replace it with the upgraded LIDAR Pro Laser 4 model and be given the Eagle 3 RADAR unit. The Ford Explorer vehicle using the existing RADAR 1000DS model will replace that model with the Eagle 3 RADAR unit and also be given the upgraded LIDAR Pro Laser 4 model. The Motor Deputy will be using the existing handheld RADAR Talon II device as well as the new LIDAR Pro Laser 4 model. The following details the devices currently used by the deputies and what they will be using if the recommendations are followed:

Deputy Duran (173T2, Ford Explorer) – RADAR Pro-1000DS (mounted)

➔ New: LIDAR Pro Laser 4 (handheld) + RADAR Eagle 3 (mounted)

Deputy Duran (173T1, Ford Explorer) – LIDAR Pro Lite (handheld)

➔ New: LIDAR Pro Laser 4 (handheld) + RADAR Eagle 3 (mounted)

Deputy Davis (170M1, BMW Motorcycle) – RADAR Talon II (handheld)

➔ New: LIDAR Pro Laser 4 (handheld), keep existing handheld RADAR

Additional Information

There will not be an increase to the number of traffic enforcement deputies as this number was specified by our existing contract. The Lomita Sheriff's Station has indicated their desire for the Region to purchase at least one (1) LIDAR Pro Laser 4 (handheld) to replace to previous LIDAR Pro Laser 3 unit that is no longer in use.

Recommendation

Staff recommends accepting the proposal recommendation as requested. The upgraded RADAR units are appropriate to allow for more effective traffic enforcement. There is great utility in equipping each traffic enforcement officer with both speed monitoring units that are also up-to-date. The estimated cost of \$11,534.00 including sales tax would be shared among the three contract cities. These costs can be paid for under our budget for fiscal year 2020-2021. In addition, the City has history of purchasing equipment for the Lomita Sheriff's Station, as the City is now in its 5th year of payments for the ALPR system.

Quote Number 00005959



Prepared By Amy Walker
Phone (913) 428-3285
Email awalker@kustomsignals.com

Address 10901 W 84th Terr Suite 100
Lenexa, KS 66215
Created Date 5/4/2023
Expiration Date 7/28/2023

Quote To:

Bill To Name LOS ANGELES CO SHERIFF'S OFFICE
Bill To 26123 NARBONNE AVE
LOMITA, CA 90717-2913
USA

Ship To Name LOS ANGELES CO SHERIFF'S OFFICE
Ship To 26123 NARBONNE AVE
LOMITA, CA 90717-2913
USA

Product Code	Quantity	Product Description	Sales Price	Total Price
1670	3.00	Directional Talon Stationary Mode w/ Corded Removable Handle, and Fastest	\$1,293.13	\$3,879.39
2100	1.00	LaserCam® 4 powered by ProLaser® 4 bundle includes AutoTrak, Wi-Fi, AES 256 encryption, inclinometer, GPS, Li-polymer rechargeable battery, AC battery charger, USB to PC Cable, 16 GB video memory (8+ hours), hard carry case and ProLog LITE video laser back office.	\$5,553.75	\$5,553.75
2000	3.00	ProLaser 4 bundle includes a Hogue grip, 8 AA rechargeable batteries with charger (4 of which are spares), USB to PC interface cable, 12V accessory power to USB adapter, and hard carry case. Includes shipping & Handling.	\$2,340.74	\$7,022.22

Totals

Subtotal	\$16,455.36
Shipping and Handling	\$0.00
Total Amount	\$16,455.36

* Applicable Sales Tax Not Included. Seller may charge Buyer a 25% restocking fee.

CA Purchasing Agreement #7-20-70-45-02

SHIPPING INCLUDED

*****ADDITIONAL OPTIONS*****

LaserCam 4 IR Torch Kit (at time of order only) for portable night operations Includes IR flash light, remote cable, 2x 18650 rechargeable batteries, dual battery charger, mounting bracket with quick release \$292

Quote Acceptance

Signature _____

Name _____

Title _____

Date _____

Quote Number 00005959



Prepared By Amy Walker
Phone (913) 428-3285
Email awalker@kustomsignals.com

Address 10901 W 84th Terr Suite 100
Lenexa, KS 66215
Created Date 5/4/2023
Expiration Date 7/28/2023

KUSTOM SIGNALS, INC.
TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. APPLICABILITY. Unless otherwise specified in a written bid, quote or contract, the following terms and conditions shall apply.

2. PRICES AND TAXES. Prices will be Kustom Signals, Inc.'s ("Seller") prices in effect on the date a purchase order is accepted by Seller, and Seller may change its prices at any time, in its sole discretion. All prices will be F.O.B. Chanute, Kansas, and net of any duties, sales, use or similar taxes, fees or assessments, and do not include shipping, packaging or any insurance costs, all of which are Buyer's responsibility.

3. PAYMENT. Unless otherwise provided on the face of the invoice, payment is due 30 days after invoice date in US dollars. Partial payments are not permitted unless authorized in writing. Partial payments will be treated as non-payment. Each invoice is independent from shipping sequence and disputes relating to other invoices. Failure to pay an invoice within 30 days will be considered a default.

4. DELIVERY AND PERFORMANCE. Delivery dates are approximate. Seller disclaims all liability for late or partial delivery. Seller may deliver in such lots and at such times as is convenient for Seller.

5. LOSS IN TRANSIT. Risk of loss will pass to Buyer upon delivery of the goods to the carrier. In case of breakage or loss in transit, Buyer will have notation of same made on expense bill before paying freight. Seller may reject claims for shortages not made within 15 days of Buyer's receipt of the goods.

6. TERMINATION, RESTOCKING CHARGES. Buyer may terminate this purchase order for its convenience, in whole or in part, by written, faxed or telegraphic notice at any time. If Buyer terminates this purchase order for convenience, Buyer will be liable to Seller for Seller's reasonable costs incurred in the performance of this purchase order that Seller cannot mitigate. Unless otherwise agreed upon in advance in writing by Seller, Seller may charge Buyer a 25% restocking fee, if: (a) upon approval by Seller, the Buyer returns any non-defective goods covered by this invoice; or (b) prior to shipment, but after the goods are produced by Seller, Buyer cancels the order for the subject goods.

7. WARRANTY. Seller's warranty is provided separately.

8. LIMITATION OF LIABILITY. SELLER IS NOT LIABLE FOR ANY CONSEQUENTIAL, INDIRECT, OR INCIDENTAL DAMAGES, OR ANY LOST PROFITS OR LOST SAVINGS, EVEN IF A SELLER REPRESENTATIVE HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH LOSS, DAMAGES, CLAIMS OR COSTS, NOR IS SELLER LIABLE FOR ANY CLAIM BY ANY THIRD PARTY. SELLER'S AGGREGATE LIABILITY UNDER OR IN CONNECTION WITH THIS PURCHASE ORDER IS LIMITED TO THE AMOUNT PAID FOR THE GOODS.

9. INDEMNIFICATION. Buyer will indemnify, defend and hold Seller harmless from all losses, damages, liabilities and costs, including attorneys' fees, incurred or sustained by Seller as a result of any third party claim made against Seller, including a claim by a customer of Buyer, arising from its negligent, reckless, willful, or intentional actions in marketing and re-selling the goods.

10. EXPORT RULES. Exports and re-exports of the goods may be subject to United States export controls and sanctions administered by the U.S. Department of Commerce Bureau of Industry and Security under its Export Administration Regulations ("EAR"). Buyer shall comply with all laws, rules and regulations applicable to the export or re-export of goods including but not limited to EAR which includes, among other things, screening potential transactions against the U.S. Government's (i) list of prohibited end users, and (ii) list of prohibited countries. Buyer represents and warrants that (i) it has not been charged with, convicted of, or penalized for, any violation of EAR or any statute referenced in EAR §766.25, and (ii) it has not been notified by any government official of competent authority that it is under investigation for any violation of EAR or any statute referenced in EAR §766.25.

11. MISCELLANEOUS. These terms and conditions, together with any other written agreement between Buyer and Seller, if any: (i) are the exclusive statements of the parties with respect to the subject matter and supersedes any prior or contemporaneous communications; (ii) may not be amended except in writing executed by the parties and will prevail in any case where the terms of Buyer's purchase order or other communication are inconsistent; (iii) will be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Kansas, without giving effect to principles of conflicts of law. These terms and conditions are: (1) solely for the benefit of the parties, and no provision of these terms and conditions will be deemed to confer upon any other person any remedy, claim, liability, reimbursement, cause of action or other right. Each party consents to the exclusive personal jurisdiction of the state and federal courts located in the State of Kansas for purposes of any suit, action or other proceeding arising out of this Agreement, waives any argument that venue in any such forum is not convenient and agrees that the venue of any litigation initiated by either of them in connection with this Agreement will be in either the District Court of Johnson County, Kansas, or the United States District Court, District of Kansas. If any provision of these terms and conditions is unenforceable, the remaining provisions will remain in effect. No waiver (whether by course of dealing or otherwise) is effective unless it is made in writing and signed by the party to be charged with such waiver. Unless otherwise specified in writing, notices must be given in writing by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, addressed to:

Kustom Signals, Inc.
Attn: Sales Dept.
9652 Loiret
Lenexa, KS 66219

Quote Acceptance

Signature _____

Name _____

Title _____

Date _____