

COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL AS A WHOLE REPORT

Council Members:

Roy Costner, Chairman
Chris Bowers, Vice Chairman
Wes Hendricks, Vice Chairman Pro-Tem
Carl Hudson
Ensley Feemster
Trey Whitehurst (absent)

Staff in Attendance:

Ken Roper, Acting County Administrator
Meagan Bradford, Clerk to Council

The Committee of the Whole met in the Main Conference Room, at the Pickens County Administration Facility, on Monday, October 21, 2019 at 6:00 PM. All members of the committee were in attendance, with the exception of Councilman Trey Whitehurst, with Chairman Roy Costner presiding.

WELCOME AND CALL TO ORDER:

Chairman Roy Costner called the Committee of the Whole meeting to order. Vice Chairman Chris Bowers led the invocation and Pledge of Allegiance.

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE:

Chairman Roy Costner stated we will begin with Executive Session to discuss the pending board applications.

EXECUTIVE SESSION: S.C. Code of Laws, Section 30-4-70 (a):

Boards and Commissions – Section 30-4-70 (a) (1)

- **Pending Board Applications**

Chairman Roy Costner called for a motion to convene into Executive Session as defined by S.C. Code of Laws, Section 30-4-70 (a).

- Motion was made by Councilman Ensley Feemster, seconded by Councilman Carl Hudson and carried unanimously (5-0), to convene in executive session for the stated purposes.

ACTION AS NEEDED VIA EXECUTIVE SESSION ITEMS:

- Chairman Roy Costner called the Public Session back to Order and advised the following:
 - Councilman Carl Hudson made a motion to recommend the following citizens to serve on Pickens County Boards and Commissions:
 - a) Bethlehem Roanoke Water District – Moved to appoint Frank Breazeale, Thomas Watson, Parker Haskett and Austin Townsend to serve on the Bethlehem Roanoke Water District.
 - b) Economic Development Alliance Board – Moved to appoint Joel Ward to serve on the Economic Development Alliance Board.
 - c) Pickens, Oconee, Anderson Mental Health – Moved to reappoint Mary Ann Hunter to serve on the Pickens, Oconee, and Anderson Mental Health Board.
 - d) Recreation Funding Advisory Board – Moved to appoint Chris Spoden & Adam Eller to serve on the Recreation Funding Advisory Board.
 - Motion was seconded by Councilman Ensley Feemster and carried unanimously (5-0).

E911 – Log No. 105

- Mr. Scott Smith, Emergency Services Director, stated since the last update in April, they have been able to

COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL AS A WHOLE REPORT

implement some updates to the system. He stated we have some updates still coming, but since then; they have lost two key employees. He stated they have been unable to do the grading of the 911 calls since they do not have any one currently certified. Mr. Smith stated they have been able to fill those positions. He stated they are still committed to the EMD process and they have been able to do some upgrades to the CAD system, which allowed them to activate their cradle point system. He stated this helps them identify the locations of EMS units. After more discussion, Mr. Smith stated the dispatchers have become familiar with this process and more comfortable with the questions. He stated the information is flowing a lot better and a lot smoother. He stated the handling of calls have improved in regards to the time going through the questions. He stated in December, they will be getting the new employee certified to do the grading of the calls. He stated Richard Crowe and Lisa Cassell are closely monitoring the calls, making sure the information is flowing and that we do not have any major hurdles. He stated it is still a work in progress, but he feels they have made great strides in communication flow with 911 and the dispatch center is really good. He stated we have a good team in place.

- Mr. Ken Roper stated staff is still committed to the program, and he feels it is wise to keep this in the committee log and report back in 3 months.
- Councilman Hudson stated he feels we should give it 3 months and bring back a detailed report to Council with any updates/results.
- Vice Chairman Chris Bowers stated the reason for this update was to see how the flow and to give an update. He stated last time this was on the agenda, Council was presented all the data and grading, etc. He stated he is glad to see it moving forward.
- Chairman Roy Costner stated he had something happen this past week. He stated he was driving down Hwy 183 and he sees in his rear view mirror a car speeding out the parking lot from a liquor store. He stated he called 911 and turned around to try and follow the car. He stated he couldn't catch the car, but he stayed on hold for a pretty long period of time. He asked if it was typical to take this long. He stated when he finally got to talk to someone, they sent him on to Highway Patrol and he waited a while then as well. He stated by the time he was able to talk to Highway Patrol, all he was able to tell them was his name, where he was at and what he saw. He stated the car had already got away from him.
- Richard Crowe, 911 Technical Specialist, stated they would like to look into this and apologized it happened. They got the time and date from Chairman Costner, and stated they will be going back through the records. He stated they can check the records to see if a high impulse of calls at that time.
- Councilman Ensley Feemster asked if they have any other carrier attached to the system. He stated some places have a primary and secondary carrier.
- Mr. Smith stated AT&T is the only supplier for 911 Trump lines. He stated they have equipment carriers, such as telephone equipment, regular equipment, etc. that interact with each other.
- After more discussion, Chairman Costner stated he would like to keep this on the log for further update in the future.

❖ **No Action Taken by the Committee.**

Speed Bump Ordinance – Log No. 117

- Mr. Roper stated this has been in and out of this committee, and Council has had 1st and 2nd reading. He stated based off the motion made during second reading, this has been recommitted to the Committee Log for further discussion. Mr. Roper stated Clay Baldwin, County Engineer, is here and will be going through the proposed ordinance with Council. He stated Mr. Baldwin will be answering technical questions as well.
- Vice Chairman Chris Bowers stated that he knows the Chairman has spoken with Councilman Whitehurst, but he believes this is one he wanted recommitted to the committee for conversation.
- Chairman Roy Costner stated he asked Councilman Whitehurst if there was anything else on the agenda he wanted to discuss. He stated the only thing he mentioned was the recreation stuff.
- Mr. Roper stated what we could do is have Mr. Baldwin go through the proposed ordinance, and answer any questions you all may have. He stated nothing will happen tonight other than recommending it to be moved

COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL AS A WHOLE REPORT

out of the committee for third reading, if Council decides that is what they would like to do.

- Mr. Baldwin stated they get 3-5 calls a week of citizens requesting speed bumps on county and state roads. He stated there is no real guidance as to what to do when those requests come in.
- Mr. Baldwin and the Committee had detailed discussion on the proposed Speed Bump Ordinance to include, but not limited to:
 - Minimum Qualifications:
 - The Road must be 800 feet long.
 - The road must have <8% grade.
 - The road must be classified as residential.
 - The road must have a posted speed limit of 25 mph or less.
 - The road must be entirely maintained by Pickens County.
 - No traffic study has been performed in the last 2 years.
 - A county certified petition approved by 75% of property owners on the affected road shall accompany any requests for speed bumps/speed humps.
 - Any request for speed bumps within a subdivision shall be submitted in writing by the Homeowner's Association or other responsible persons and be accompanied by a County certified petition approved by 75% of property owners on the affected road and 25% of the remaining property owners within the subdivision.
 - 85th percentile speed must exceed 15 mph over the posted speed limit.
- Councilman Wes Hendricks asked how he came up with this proposed ordinance.
- Mr. Baldwin stated he used a lot of different sources, including federal guidelines and other county ordinances.
- Councilman Wes Hendricks asked what if there is one road with only 2 people living on it. He asked if there should be minimum criteria for the number of residents living on the road.
- Mr. Baldwin stated it would not be a bad idea, but typically those roads that are less than 800 feet are the roads with only two home owners on the road.
- Councilman Wes Hendricks asked if this data would be made public.
- Mr. Baldwin stated yes, it can be.
- Councilman Carl Hudson asked Mr. Baldwin if they anticipate on having law suits from people's vehicles being damaged on speed bumps.
- Mr. Baldwin stated that is always a possibility, but using these guidelines, it should drastically minimize the chance of lawsuits. He stated as long as the speed bump itself is instructed the way it should be, and use these guidelines, we should not have many issues.
- Councilman Wes Hendricks asked what the difference between speed bumps would be.
- Mr. Baldwin stated it will be around 250-350 feet, just depending on the situation. Mr. Baldwin advised that the design criteria on the proposed ordinance are for himself and any other future engineer to have that guidance. He stated it is to keep up with the guidelines.
- Chairman Roy Costner asked who comes up with speed limits on roads.
- Mr. Baldwin stated it is set when a road is designed, and a road is designed based off a certain speed limit. He stated most of our roads do not have designs; they are old paths that eventually got paved.
- Councilman Wes Hendricks asked if the speed limit is not posted, then what the speed limit is.
- Mr. Baldwin stated in a rural highway, it is 55 mph and in an urban/city limits, it is 35 mph.
- Councilman Wes Hendricks asked if there is a law for when the speed limit drops, it has to be in a certain range.
- Mr. Baldwin stated he is not aware of any laws, but he is sure there is federal guidance.
- Chairman Costner asked who changes the speed limits.
- Mr. Baldwin stated he does, he will have to go out and do a traffic evaluation to determine. He stated a lot of the speed limits are set off the 85th percentile speed.
- Mr. Roper stated if 85% of the people are driving the speed limit, you do not need a speed bump. He stated it is where if you have 20% speeding, you may need a speed bump then.

COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL AS A WHOLE REPORT

- After more discussion, Councilman Wes Hendricks asked what a speed bump costs and where the funding comes from.
 - Mr. Roper stated it will come out of our Roads and Bridges Department Budget and it will be another stress on that budget.
 - Mr. Baldwin stated the speed bumps are usually around \$2500-\$3000, to put up two made of asphalt.
 - After more discussion, Mr. Baldwin stated he feels they will only put up 10-12 speed bumps a year once this gets going. He stated most of the calls received do not fit the minimum qualifications for a speed bump.
 - Councilman Wes Hendricks asked if he feels this prevents abuse.
 - Mr. Baldwin stated absolutely. He stated in the future, he would like to look into the radar signs for the roads not safe to put speed bumps and that do not qualify.
 - Councilman Wes Hendricks asked what would be the remedy regarding two property owners only living on a road.
 - Mr. Baldwin stated you could set a number, but typically when we get these calls they are in more densely populated areas. He stated that would be a rare circumstance.
 - Vice Chairman Chris Bowers asked if there was an incident like Councilman Hendricks is saying, where one property owner wanted the speed bump and one didn't, is this something that could go before an appeals board of some sort.
 - Mr. Roper stated he does not think this would qualify for Zoning and Appeals Board.
 - After more discussion, Vice Chairman Chris Bowers stated an option we do have is if we pass it and something changes where we don't like it, we can always amend the ordinance at any time.
 - Vice Chairman Chris Bowers made a motion to move the Speed Bump Ordinance out of the Committee for 3rd Reading. Motion was seconded by Councilman Ensley Feemster and carried unanimously (5-0).
- ❖ **Vice Chairman Chris Bowers made a motion to move the Speed Bump Ordinance out of the Committee for 3rd Reading. Motion was seconded by Councilman Ensley Feemster and carried unanimously (5-0).**

Solar Farm Ordinances – Log No. 132

- Mr. Roper stated Council had first reading on the Solar Farm Ordinances back in November 2018. He stated Chris Brink, with the Planning department, is here to talk about the ordinance itself. Mr. Roper stated he also has some feedback from members of the industry he met with, and he also believes we have citizens who are present tonight specifically for this issue.
- Chairman Costner asked if there were any changes from what was discussed at the last Committee of the Whole meeting.
- Mr. Roper stated no, this is the same ordinance that was originally presented.
- Mr. Brink stated at the last Committee meeting, he took notes from particular issues and questions that were made. He stated he has not made any changes to the ordinance. He stated the Planning Commission will have a meeting on November 11th, and there will be a public hearing on these ordinances.
- Mr. Roper stated he met with a couple of representatives from the solar farm industry, looking at sites and potential location of solar farms in Pickens County. He stated he is bringing Council the data they gave him. Mr. Roper stated they welcome the ordinance, and looking for some type of standard. He stated if you look on page 3-23 in the Solar Farm Ordinance, you will see in the residential buffers is 200ft. He stated the industry thought given the nature of our typography and our small lot sizes; they thought it should be less than 200 feet. Mr. Roper stated that Mr. Brink has seen 200 feet in other jurisdictions, so we don't necessarily agree with them, but we would like to pass that along. Mr. Roper stated the other point he would like to bring up is Section 3-24, quoting "The Solar energy systems should not exceed 10 feet in height." Mr. Roper stated the industry wanted 20 feet.
- Mr. Brink stated he has seen these in other jurisdictions, and 10ft is the same height required in Jasper County, which is one of the most successful ordinances in the state.
- After some discussion, Mr. Roper stated another point is in Section 3-25 of the solar farm ordinance.

COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL AS A WHOLE REPORT

He stated the industry does not like that the entrance runway should include a dogleg or meander. He stated they are also concerned on section 3-26, under decommissioning, regarding anticipated timeline and manner in which the solar farm project will be decommissioned and the site restored to its condition prior to the development of the solar farm. He stated the feedback received from the industry there was if they cut down 50 year old trees, we can't put back 50 year old trees. He stated these are the concerns passed back from the industry.

- Vice Chairman Chris Bowers stated he had a question on page 3-24 regarding the height section. He asked if it mattered how high it is, as long as you have the buffer to cover it.
- Councilman Carl Hudson stated he understands Jasper County has had success with the 10ft, but asked Mr. Brink if anyone has said anything about why they went with 10ft.
- Mr. Brink stated he spoke with staff at Jasper County on several issue, but not necessarily the height. He stated he could go back and ask where that came from. He stated all of this is in here as a starting point for Pickens County for discussion and consideration. He stated something else might make better sense for us, but this is simply a starting point. He stated that he could imagine topography probably plays a role in why they chose 10ft.
- Vice Chairman Chris Bowers stated we have to remember we are talking commercial usage, not personal usage.
- Councilman Wes Hendricks stated he has met with the residents of Cannon Mountain Community and went over the proposed solar farm ordinances with them. He stated they are in favor of this ordinance. He stated solar farms are a "money making" industry, stating the people that are doing it to make money. He stated people sell it for investments. Councilman Hendricks stated the Cannon Mountain Community is overall satisfied of this ordinance. He stated they have researched, toured other solar farms, and what is proposed here is what we need to adopt. He stated our citizens are not going to benefit from this, and it could harm property value for our citizens. He stated we need to take care of our citizens rather than worry about an outsider coming in to make money. Councilman Wes Hendricks stated he feels this ordinance protects those people adjacent to that property.
- Chairman Roy Costner asked if it was his property, and he wants to do something with it, should he be able to do that.
- Councilman Hendricks stated with this ordinance, and if the property fits the criteria, then yes.
- Vice Chairman Bowers stated he had a question regarding the entrance dog leg/meander. He asked Mr. Brink to help him understand this.
- Mr. Brink stated if you are driving down the road, you cannot see directly in the infrastructure. He stated this is the same requirement for 95% of our cell towers. He stated we do not allow direct light of sight into the facility. Mr. Brink advised power companies do not like this for security reasons. He stated from a visual standpoint, if there is a dog leg in that road or entrance, you can't directly see it from the runway. He stated there will be a break in the buffer. Mr. Brink stated visually, there will always be a break in the buffer even though there is a road cutting through that buffer.
- Mr. Allan Rampey, citizen in Pickens County, stated they understood that they could come listen this evening. He stated like Councilman Hendricks spoke, they all realize that renewable energy is important. He stated the placement of this solar farm is very important too. Mr. Rampey stated they are in favor of the ordinance and the standards. He stated their fear is that there would be something built prior to ordinances being developed. He stated they are thankful that Council is listening to them.
- Chairman Costner stated what he struggles with is they go down the paths of deciding what can and cannot be built, and going into the territory of zoning. He stated he has never been a big fan of zoning, because we don't have zoning in Pickens County but we are starting to go down that road.
- Councilman Hendricks stated this ordinance is good for both sides. He stated we are not telling someone they can't do something on their property, we are just asking them to go by these standards. Councilman Hendricks asked Mr. Rampey if they would rather them go ahead and put the solar farm in, without any ordinance, or would you rather have an ordinance in place.

COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL AS A WHOLE REPORT

- Mr. Rampey stated they would like to have an ordinance in place.
- Chairman Costner stated he wants an ordinance in place too, but he wants everyone to be aware of the conversation. He stated by definition, we are creating a zoning ordinance on this.
- Vice Chairman Bowers stated for the record, that in section 315D, is what pushed us to be here and is the most important part to him. He stated he feels we all are on the exact same page. He asked everyone to envision a big field and trees all around it that are already 60ft tall. He stated you will never see what is in that field due to the 60ft tall trees around it. He stated the last thing he wants to do is pass an ordinance that states you cannot have something taller than 10 ft., when there are areas that bigger than 10 ft. would work fine. Vice Chairman Bowers asked Mr. Brink if there was anything addressing urban vs. rural areas.
- Mr. Brink stated there is not, but back to the zoning question, this is not restricted to any part of the county. He stated the only restriction that addresses location is the proximity to the infrastructure that it needs to be served. He stated that is the only locational restrictions in the ordinance.
- After more discussion, Councilman Hendricks stated they are looking at two sites right now. One is non-residential, and one is in a community. He stated it is two different scenarios they are looking at.
- Chairman Roy Costner stated he is on everyone side, but he is just trying to look at the bigger picture. He stated he is concerned about unintended consequences, and going down the road making ordinances that are restrictive of personal property rights.
- Councilman Hendricks stated his viewpoint is to take care of all residents in his district, with all fairness to all property owners. He stated he does not care what the dollar amount is; he will not sacrifice the quality of life for people in his area. He stated he wants to be sure it is not detrimental to our citizens. He stated he feels this is fair to our citizens and the companies who want to do this.
- Mr. Allan Rampey stated the one thing he thinks of the ordinances as being is just like a building code. He stated based on what he knows, it gives them standards to go by as opposed to just building something. He stated he feels that is what the ordinance gives them.
- After more discussion, Vice Chairman Chris Bowers stated he likes the ordinance; the only thing he questions is the height requirement. He stated he questions if we really want to be that restrictive on it. Vice Chairman Bowers stated the decommission section is what is so important to him. He stated he understands the concern with having the bond in place, but he can take everyone around the county now to show them things that are standing, that should not be standing because no one put anything in place when they built it. He stated this is exactly what he thinks we need.
- Chairman Roy Costner stated he would like to keep this on the log for further discussion, and discuss after the planning commission public hearing on November 11, 2019.
- Councilman Wes Hendricks asked if the planning commission has the authority to make any changes.
- Mr. Brink stated they can bring recommendations back to Council. He stated what they draft and what they give council is strictly a recommendation. He stated Council will get a copy of the minutes form the meeting.
- After more discussion, Ms. Nancy Cartee, citizen in Pickens County, wanted to let Council know that they were also there in support of the Ordinance.

❖ **No action taken by the Committee.**

Boards and Commissions Communication – Log No. 130

- Mr. Roper stated he has a consideration from the School Board he would like for Council to consider. He stated when Ipsum Technologies came to Commerce Park, they located in the vacated Tri Tech USA building. He stated an organization came in and offered a piece of high tech machinery, which is a multi-access machine. He stated they offered this to the school district to allow them to use it for training at the career center. He stated the idea here is that the school district will have access to a specific type of equipment that most vocational schools do not have. Mr. Roper advised the school district agreed to cite the machine in their facility to upgrade, and after that, Mr. Singh, with DMG MORI, also agreed to donate a coordinate measuring

COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL AS A WHOLE REPORT

machine. He stated the Coordinate Measuring Machine will allow Pickens County students learn quality control and zero tolerance manufacturing. He stated this is also a high end machine that would supplement the mission. Mr. Roper advised the company who has offered to donate this half a million dollar machine has asked the school district to contribute \$46,500. He stated he believes the idea here is that the company wants to see the school district committed to this program and is willing to put some money up. After more discussion, Mr. Roper stated the school district has come to the county asking if the county would be willing to partner on this. He stated the school district would contribute \$23,250, if the county would contribute \$23,250. He stated his commitment with Dr. Merck is that he would bring this to Council as information for Council to consider.

- Vice Chairman Chris Bowers stated Alliance Pickens does a fundraiser each year for the Career and Technology Center. He asked if this was not the kind of thing that those funds would go towards.
- Mr. Roper stated his understanding is those funds are committed elsewhere. He stated this would be above and beyond those funds.
- Councilman Wes Hendricks asked where those funds are committed to.
- Mr. Roper stated they are committed to pay for an employee.
- Vice Chairman Chris Bowers stated the way they described it at the fundraiser, those funds are for continued improvement of the Career and Technology Center.
- Mr. Roper stated he may be talking about a different fundraiser. He stated he feels that if that was available, then Council's action would not be needed. He stated he will inquire on this and get back with Council before October 23rd.
- Vice Chairman Bowers stated he understands the importance and he was there when the guy donated the equipment. He stated it is a school district of Pickens County building, ran by the school district of Pickens County. He stated while he appreciates the relationship Council has with the school district of Pickens County, because he does believe it is detrimental to the future of the County, he questions the level.
- Chairman Costner stated the school district takes the biggest chunk of taxes from everyone.
- Councilman Wes Hendricks stated he would like for Council to consider that spending money is tough, but to think about the extra commitment Council is making working with our schools. He stated a lot of citizens have moved to Pickens County because of how good Pickens County schools are. Councilman Hendricks stated most all business talk about the Career and Technology center, and how it is helping them relocate to Pickens County. He stated a few weeks ago, he went to the Upstate Alliance Luncheon and they had a panel of site consultants from all over the country talking about what they look for when they locate a business. He stated some of the things they talked about were commitment of education, workforce and government working with business. He stated it is painful to spend money; however, one way to look at this is we are investing in this as an extra recruiting tool to show we are partners with our school district. Councilman Hendricks stated we want these businesses to come and we are showing our willingness to accommodate these businesses so our citizens will benefit with jobs in their location.
- Vice Chairman Bowers stated he understands what Councilman Hendricks is saying, and he agrees, but that is why out of this budget, we have Mr. Farley. He stated we also pay 50% of another salary for a gentleman, which we joined with the School District of Pickens County on, to retain businesses and determine what the businesses in our community needs so that the Career and Technology Center could prepare those students. He stated Alliance Pickens hosts an event every year to raise money from the business community to support the school district. He stated we are doing these things. He stated would that not be better suited to come from that group, instead of a line out of our budget and funds. He stated the School District of Pickens County is the highest taxing body in the county. Vice Chairman Bowers stated he would feel bad taking money out of the county's budget to offset that, when we have this arm already supporting us.
- Chairman Costner asked if the only fundraising Alliance Pickens boards only host the one event. He stated this would be a question he has for the Alliance Pickens Board is if there is opportunity for Alliance Pickens not to partner with that additional money through fundraising efforts.
- Mr. Roper stated just to be clear, if Council does decide this is what they would like to do, the money would come from fund balance.
- Councilman Hudson stated Pickens County runs all services in the County, from Roads and Bridges, Sheriff's

COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL AS A WHOLE REPORT

Office, etc. out of 30% of the total taxes. He stated the School District gets 65%. He stated there will come a time when they ask the School District what they are spending, because it never seems like it is enough money. He stated he is for education, but we can't go to the School District and tell them we have a road problem and ask for money. He stated every function of the county is run on 30 something percent.

- Chairman Costner stated he is proud of working with this Council because we have established a great working relationship with the schools, municipalities, etc. and he thinks it is important that we continue this relationship.
- Councilman Hudson stated in just about every area of our function, we need more funds for it. He stated there comes a time where we have to say we have to take care of our primary functions.
- Chairman Costner stated our side of this with the schools and Career and Technology Center is figuring out what we are going to do in the future for Economic Development within the county. He stated that is going to take significant amount of money, and perhaps we should ask the School District for some of that.
- Vice Chairman Bowers stated he thinks it is the arm of the county is working with the school district the closest, the Career and Technology center the closest, and already raising funds for the Career and Technology Center. He stated they are donating a lot of money.
- Mr. Roper stated he strongly suspects that will not be available to us, but he will check into it.
- Councilman Feemster stated his early career at Tri-County Tech, he taught electronics and someone donated a piece of computer equipment. He stated he didn't immediately have an opportunity to learn about that, but he had a student who messed with it every afternoon and one day he came into work, and the student called him back and stated "what is this tape coming out of this machine." He stated he figured out how to work on it. He stated he has seen people who have a chance to work on something like that become very successful.
- Vice Chairman Bowers stated he understands the importance, and he believes the County has a role in recruiting new businesses, but he believes in sense in other things that have been done in business. He stated while it all does play together, he does have to agree with Councilman Hudson. He stated he does believe there is another avenue they can explore without it coming from our general fund.
- Councilman Wes Hendricks asked what would happen if we do not give \$23,000.
- Mr. Roper stated if we do not do half, he does not know if the school district will come up with the other half.
- Vice Chairman Bowers stated he does not believe we can commit to anything contractually, but he does believe there is an avenue needed to explore before making a decision.

❖ **No action taken by the Committee.**

- Vice Chairman Chris Bowers asked if he could speak on agenda item B before dismissing. He stated he is highly disappointed and staff knew prior to coming in tonight that there was an update coming with E911. He stated the sole purpose was to come in and look at the grading of EMD, and to make sure we are actually doing it. He stated we got none of that information at all and he is highly disappointed.
- Mr. Roper stated there is no one certified to do the grading right now.
- Vice Chairman Bowers stated they knew that when Mr. Campbell left.
- Mr. Roper stated when he came on board; this was an issue that was pending at that time. He stated he will go back to them with that feedback.

ADJOURN:

Hearing no further Committee business, Chairman Roy Costner called for a motion to adjourn. Motion was made by Vice Chairman Chris Bowers, seconded by Councilman Wes Hendricks and carried unanimously (5-0).