

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

**Town of Middlebury  
Creek Road Task Force  
Town Offices Large Conference Room  
November 8, 2019**

Members Present: Heather Seeley, Peter DeGraff, Dean Rheaume, Luther Tenny and Dean George (by phone)

**Call to Order**

Heather Seeley called the meeting to order at 8:30 am.

**Approval of the Agenda**

Rheaume move to approve the Agenda and Tenny seconded the motion. No changes were made to the posted agenda. The agenda was approved with 4 in favor and none opposed.

**Approval of the Minutes**

Tenny moved to approve the minutes from the October 11, 2019 meeting and Rheaume seconded the motion. There were no corrections for changes to the minutes. The minutes were approved with 4 in favor and none opposed.

**Citizen Comments**

There were no citizen comments.

**Review of Matrix Compilation**

The task force began review of the matrix compilation with each task members thoughts on Pros, Cons, Next Steps and Outstanding Questions which was compiled by DeGraff. An in depth review of Alternatives 1A and 1B and the Pros and Cons followed. DeGraff asked some questions to clarify some of the member's submitted comments. The group agreed to allow Peter to consolidate the comments that were similar using his best judgement, to further refine and finalize the group's thoughts on each Alternative. In the interest of time, the Alternatives were reviewed out of order to try to identify those that the group could agree were lower on the feasibility list.

There was some good conversation and discussion around property impacts and compensation for property owners. Seeley commented that she liked the idea around impact compensation for property owners. Rather than suggesting private driveways for properties with residences, another alternative would be to provide impact compensation. Then the landowner could do what they wanted with the money and the Town would not be providing private driveways with public funds. The idea needed further development but could be a fair and equitable way to provide compensation for the measured impacts to each property.

34 The group agreed to expedite the review process by only reviewing the pros and cons of each  
35 alternative for now. The Next Steps and Outstanding Questions would be reviewed further if and when  
36 some of the Alternatives were agreed to be lower priority. The goal being the Outstanding Questions  
37 and Next Steps would only be reviewed for those alternative for which the group agreed were the most  
38 likely to be at the top of the list. There was discussion around how to narrow the list of alternatives.  
39 Tenny suggested that if member were comfortable, each member could share what their top 2 or 3  
40 alternatives were. Tenny indicated that he was ready. This was suggested as a way to find similarities  
41 among the group's personal picks. There was discussion on when and how to do this and who was  
42 ready and who was not.

43 In the interest of time and the need to wrap up the meeting on time, the committee agreed to meet  
44 again on Friday November 15<sup>th</sup> at 8 am. As an assignment prior to the meeting, if ready, a member's top  
45 three alternatives would be submitted to DeGraff for compiling. The results would be reviewed at the  
46 meeting on 11/15 and the discussion would continue of whether we were able to narrow down the  
47 preferred alternatives. If a narrower list could be developed, the group would continue with a more  
48 discussion around the Next Step and Outstanding Questions for just those preferred alternatives.

49 George made a motion to adjourn. Tenny seconded the motion. The motion passed with 4 in favor.

50 Next meeting is Friday November 15<sup>th</sup> at 8 am.

51 Respectively Submitted,

52 Heather Seeley