



Roxann Wedegartner
Mayor

City of
GREENFIELD, MASSACHUSETTS

**COMMUNITY PRESERVATION
COMMITTEE**

City Hall • 14 Court Square • Greenfield, MA 01301
Phone 413-772-1548 • www.greenfield-ma.gov

Members:

Mark Maloni (Chair, 2022)
Thomas Guerino (Vice-chair, 2023)
Barbara Zaccheo (2022)
Wisty Rorabacher (2022)
Garth Shaneyfelt (2023)
John Passiglia (2023)
Susan Worgaftik (2024)
Travis Drury (2024)
Yanis Chibani (2024)

COMMUNITY PRESERVATION COMMITTEE (CPC)

Thursday, February 24, 2022 5:30pm to 7pm

WebEx

MEETING MINUTES

Action items are listed in yellow.

Attending:

- Mark Maloni (Planning Board), Yanis Chibani (at Large), Garth Shaneyfelt (at Large), Wisty Rorabacher (at Large), John Passiglia (Historical Commission), Susan Worgaftik (Skate Park and at Large) and Travis Drury (Conservation Commission)
- Christian LaPlante (City of Greenfield)

Absent:

- Tom Guerino (Greenfield Housing) and Barbara Zaccheo (Recreation Commission)

1. Call to Order:

- Meeting called to order by Chair Mark Maloni at 5:32 pm.

2. Review of January 27, 2022 Minutes:

- Susan motion to approve, second Wisty. No edits. Unanimous.

3. Old business

a) CPC Timeline Review

- Mark stated that Stuart from coalition hasn't got back to Mark yet. Continue to flesh out the annual calendar. Susan added that even without the calendar outreach should be in April.

b) Draft Application

- Susan stated that the outreach meeting is needed in order to do further edits to the application.

c) Committee review criteria

- Wisty wants to make sure that application, plan, criteria, etc. all need to line up at the end.

d) Community Preservation Plan draft review - next steps

- Kimberly was not able to attend tonight. Christian sent a draft of guiding principles to the committee. Kimberly wants to format plan like the Manchester plan. Kimberly needs committee to identify purpose, mission, principles as she works on the larger plan.
- Plan purpose
 - Committee discussed the draft. Garth thinks this is a good amount to lead with that people will actually read. Travis suggested simplifying some language in plan purpose. Wisty brought up discussion about language on who the document is for.
- Mission
 - Kimberly and Mark pulled from Manchester plan. Committee liked the current version of mission.
- Guiding principles
 - Kimberly feels the plan should be framed as a 5 year plan. Susan would reorder the principles, putting things like community benefit first. Maximizing funds should be further down. Mark thinks that priorities might be separate from principles. Travis and Yanis agree, goals already encompass priorities.
 - Mark thinks setting priorities is a good idea if there in fact priorities the committee is operating on (housing). Garth concerned about multiple lists getting too complicated. Wisty

would rather refer to priorities as “approach” or something similar.

- John would keep language more open ended and state that the committee’s mission is to identify priorities.
 - Travis would have certain highlighted to identify what the committee’s priorities are.
 - Mark will bring feedback to Kimberly that priorities can be highlighted in each chapter, although identifying those priorities more explicitly might be necessary at some point.
- Goals
 - Travis noted a typo. John would like to see digitizing, historic property signage in the goals. John is working with Margo Jones and historical commission on historic preservation section and will get back to Christian within the next week or so.
 - Kimberly will send draft of plan to Christian Friday March 18th.

4. Other business

a) Outreach strategy

- Working group met. Susan stated the group would like to have two meetings, one at John Zon and one at another location. Would like more of a digital presence. Possibly create a short video.
- Structure of meetings could be split into the four categories, one table for open space, etc. Maybe have food there – less imposing. Get info out to people that might not normally attend meetings.
- Mark suggested that having the outreach meetings completely separate from the formal public hearing might be a good idea. Wisty concerned that the usual people will show up at public hearing. Committee discussed information sessions vs. public hearing and how many to hold. Susan wants to make sure that plan input is not cut off until all information gathering is complete. Mark will get info from Stuart on when plan has to be finalized and whether City council has any input.
- Christian will look into when the actual date administrative funds are available.

- Working group will continue to meet to plan outreach keeping in mind open meeting law (not communicating with entire committee outside of meetings)

5. **Next meeting – Thursday March 24, 2022 5:30-7:00 PM – in person**

Put city department discussion on next agenda

6. **Adjourn**

➤ John moved, Susan second. Unanimous. Meeting adjourned at 7:01pm.