Sponsors: Commissioner Powell

 Commissioner Stewart

 Second Reading: November 8, 2021

 Publication Date: November 11, 2021

ORDINANCE NO. 2021-029

AN ORDINANCE REZONING APPROXIMATELY 34.3 ACRES LOCATED ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF KY. HWY. 100 (SCOTTSVILLE ROAD) FROM AG (AGRICULTURE) TO R1-S (SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL) BY JOSH AND SHELLIE JONES

WHEREAS, Josh and Shellie Jones ("Jones") filed a petition to rezone approximately 34.3 acres located on the south side of Ky. Hwy. 100 (Scottsville Road), in Franklin, Simpson County, Kentucky currently zoned AG (Agriculture) to R1-S (Single Family Residential); and

WHEREAS, Jones desires to rezone the aforementioned property to make the property more productive for the community, and this change is appropriate and consistent with the use of this property and surrounding properties; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on September 7, 2021, after due public notice, in the manner provided by law; and

WHEREAS, the Planning & Zoning Commission recommended the granting of said zone change as it is in agreement with the community's comprehensive plan;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Commissioners of the City of Franklin, Kentucky as follows:

The approximately 34.3 acres located on the south side of Ky. Hwy. 100 (Scottsville Road) in Franklin, Simpson County, Kentucky, as more particularly described in Exhibit A attached hereto is granted a zone change from its AG (Agriculture) to R1-S (Single Family Residential).

If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or portion of this ordinance is for any reason held invalid or unconstitutional by any Court of competent jurisdiction, such portion shall be deemed a separate, distinct, and independent provision, and such holdings shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of Ordinance.

All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith, are, to the extent of such conflict, hereby repealed.

October 25, 2021 FIRST READING

November 8, 2021 SECOND READING

At a meeting of the City Commission of the City of Franklin, Kentucky, held on November 8, 2021, on motion made by Commissioner Powell and seconded by Commissioner Stewart the foregoing ordinance was adopted, after full discussion, by the following vote:

YES LARRY DIXON, MAYOR

YES JAMIE POWELL

YES BROWNIE BENNETT

YES WENDELL STEWART

YES HERBERT WILLIAMS

APPROVED BY:

Larry Dixon, Mayor Mayor

ATT**ES**T

Cathy Dillard, City Clerk

EXHIBIT A

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION APPENDIX

Being a 34.2925 acre tract of land on Scottsville Road (Ky Hwy 100) in Franklin, KY. The property is owned by Joshua B. & Shellie Jones, a portion of Deed Book 356, Page 520 as recorded in the office of the Clerk of Simpson County, KY. The tract is approximately 1,416 feet East of the centerline of Rapids Road (Ky Hwy 73). The property is more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at a found 5/8-inch iron pin in the south right of way of Scottsville Road - Ky Hwy 100 (R/W Vaires), located approximately 1,416 feet East of the centerline of Rapids Road (Ky Hwy 73), a corner common with the Kumar and Vashistha property (Master Commissioner Deed Book 331, Page 329); thence along said right of way the next 6 calls, S 84° 52' 34" E 128.51 feet to a set iron pin, thence S 82° 22' 31" E 249.76 feet to a set iron pin; thence N 79° 03′ 07" E 60.00 feet to a set iron pin; thence S 83° 07' 36" E 450.37 feet to a set iron pin; thence S 83° 07' 27" E 472.43 feet to a set iron pin; thence S 82° 42' 38" E 126.98 feet to a 1-inch iron pipe, a corner common with the Taylor Property (Deed Book 234, Page 242); thence S 08 08' 20" W 1150.46 feet along the line of Taylor, passing a found T-post on line at 386.67 feet, a corner common with the Golach Property (Deed Book 169, Page 51) to a set 5/8-inch iron pin with a yellow plastic cap stamped "L SLAVEY KY 3922" (all set iron pins called out in this description meet the aforementioned description); thence leaving the Golach Property and severing the Jones Property for the next two calls N 74° 31′ 04" W 701.19 feet to a set iron pin; thence N 74° 30′ 42″ W 702.20 feet to a set iron pin on the line of Humar and Vashistha Property; thence N 02° 24' 03" E 924.78 feet to the point of beginning, having an area of 1,493,781 square feet, 34.2925 acres.

Being part of the same property conveyed by Ray Carter and wife, Kathy Cochran, and Pam Carter to Joshua B. Jones and wife, Shellie Jones by deed dated May 12, 2021, of record in Deed Book 356, Page 520, Simpson County Clerk's Office.

FRANKLIN-SIMPSON JOINT PLANNING & ZONING COMMISSION

In Re the Petition for Zone Change from AG (Agricultural Use), R1-S (Single Family Residential District)

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND RECOMMENDATION FOR ZONE CHANGE

A public hearing was held before this Commission on Tuesday, September 7, 2021, at the hour of 6:30 p.m. on the petition of Josh Jones and wife, Shellie Jones ("Petitioner"), that a certain 34.2925 acre tract, being a portion of the Petitioner's lands located on the south side of the Scottsville Road (Ky Hwy 100) within the corporate limits of the City of Franklin, in Simpson County, Kentucky, the title to which is presently held by the Petitioner as a portion of that certain deed dated May 12, 2021, of record in Deed Book 356, Page 520, Simpson County Clerk's Office; be re-zoned from AG to R1-S. The Chairperson of the Commission, Debbie Thornton, presided. A quorum of the Commission was present. The Petitioner was represented by local attorney, David Cummins. The hearing was stenographically recorded by a licensed court reporter.

The Commission then proceeded to hear an opening statement from attorney Cummins and testimony in favor of the zone change on behalf of the Petitioner offered by Josh Jones, and the Petitioner's surveyor, Jase Caldwell, of Van Meter & Slavey, LLC, Professional Engineering & Land Surveying of Bowling Green, Kentucky. There was an opportunity for cross-examination. Questions were asked and answered. A call for any public comments was made to those in attendance.

Having heard testimony, having reviewed the petition for zone change, having reviewed the exhibits presented including a survey and conceptual plan of the subject property previously prepared by the firm of Van Meter & Slavey, LLC, and with regard to the Comprehensive Plan

for Simpson County, Kentucky, _	
moved and	seconded, and the
Commission voted	to recommend the zone change. In connection with
the zone change, the Commission FINDS	S. CONCLUDES, AND RECOMMENDS the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

I

The subject property consists of 34.2925 acres as determined by a survey conducted by Lucas L. Slavey, Kentucky licensed professional land surveyor no. 3922 of Van Meter & Slavey, LLC, Professional Engineering & Land Surveying, 1015 Shive Lane, Bowling Green, Kentucky 42103, and is more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Being a 34.2925 acre tract of land on Scottsville Road (Ky Hwy 100) in Franklin, KY. The property is owned by Joshua B. & Shellie Jones, a portion of Deed Book 356, Page 520 as recorded in the office of the Clerk of Simpson County, KY. The tract is approximately 1,416 feet East of the centerline of Rapids Road (Ky Hwy 73). The property is more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at a found 5/8-inch iron pin in the south right of way of Scottsville Road - Ky Hwy 100 (R/W Vaires), located approximately 1,416 feet East of the centerline of Rapids Road (Ky Hwy 73), a corner common with the Kumar and Vashistha property (Master Commissioner Deed Book 331, Page 329); thence along said right of way the next 6 calls, S 84° 52' 34" E 128.51 feet to a set iron pin, thence S 82° 22' 31" E 249.76 feet to a set iron pin; thence N 79° 03' 07" E 60.00 feet to a set iron pin; thence S 83° 07' 36" E 450.37 feet to a set iron pin; thence S 83° 07' 27" E 472.43 feet to a set iron pin; thence S 82° 42' 38" E 126.98 feet to a 1-inch iron pipe, a corner common with the Taylor Property (Deed Book 234, Page 242); thence S 08° 08' 20" W 1150.46 feet along the line of Taylor, passing a found T-post on line at 386.67 feet, a corner common with the Golach Property (Deed Book 169, Page 51) to a set 5/8-inch iron pin with a yellow plastic cap stamped "L SLAVEY KY 3922" (all set iron pins called out in this description meet the aforementioned description); thence leaving the Golach Property and severing the Jones Property for the next two calls N 74° 31' 04" W 701.19 feet to a set iron pin; thence N 74° 30' 42" W 702.20 feet to a set iron pin on the line of Humar and Vashistha Property; thence N 02° 24' 03" E 924.78 feet to the point of beginning, having an area of 1,493,781 square feet, 34.2925 acres.

Being part of the same property conveyed by Ray Carter and wife, Kathy Cochran, and Pam Carter to Joshua B. Jones and wife, Shellie Jones by deed dated May 12, 2021, of record in Deed Book 356, Page 520, Simpson County Clerk's Office.

II

The subject area presently is used for agricultural crops. Surrounding adjacent areas are presently zoned: North = AG; East = AG; South = AG; and West = AG. The subject 34.2925 acre tract abuts and has significant frontage on Scottsville Road (Ky Hwy 100) and has adequate vehicle access to and from said state highway. KY Hwy 100 is the primary east/west highway corridor serving both the City of Franklin and Simpson County.

Ш

The Simpson County Comprehensive Plan adopted for 2010, is dated October 10, 2009. All suitability scenarios accommodate the proposed zone change particularly given that the subject property is situated along and abuts Scottsville Road (Ky Hwy 100), along Simpson County's primary east / west highway corridor near the Interstate - 65 Exit 6 interchange hub. The Comprehensive Plan envisions the subject property as an area suitable for development and the requested zoning map amendment is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.

IV

The subject property lies within the corporate limits of the City of Franklin, is presently undeveloped, and given its close proximity to the Interstate - 65 Exit 6 interchange hub and the East Industrial Park, is presently benefitted by electric, gas, water, and sewer utilities.

The present zone classification of the subject property as agricultural is inappropriate inasmuch that the subject property has significant frontage on Scottsville Road (Ky Hwy 100) and major changes of an economic, physical, or social nature within the subject area have occurred which have substantially altered the basic character of the subject area and have established the area as one poised for residential development.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A re-zoning of the entirety of the subject 34.2925 acre tract consistent with the Comprehensive Plan is in order given that the Comprehensive Plan envisions growth and development in the subject area and along Scottsville Road (Ky Hwy 100) east / west travel corridor in close proximity to the Interstate - 65 Exit 6 interchange hub with direct access to US 31-W (South Main Street) as well as the Harding Road / Industrial Bypass intersection; and moreover, the present agricultural zone classification assigned to the subject property was inappropriate given the inevitability of the development of the subject property for residential or commercial use and to promote the continued growth and prosperity of the subject area, particularly given its location between the town square of Franklin, the Interstate - 65 Exit 6 interchange hub, and the East Industrial Park. Consequently, a zone change to a R1-S (Single Family Residential District) will be wholely consistent with the Comprehensive Plan as an appropriate area for the proposed residential neighborhood. A zone change to R1-S is now appropriate; it is commensurate with major economic, physical, and social changes which have

substantially altered the basic character of the subject area; and it will improve the subject area to the benefit of the residents of Franklin and Simpson County. The zone change request meets the criteria of KRS 100.213, and Section 10.7 of the Franklin-Simpson Zoning Regulations.

[THIS SPACE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

RECOMMENDATION

The Commission having adopted the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law does hereby now recommend to the City of Franklin that the zone change **BE APPROVED** and that the entirety of the subject 34.2925, acre property described in paragraph I, hereof and as further provided as the **Attachment** hereto which is incorporated by reference, be re-zoned from **AG** to R1-S.

This September 7 2021.

DEBBIE THORNTON, Chairpersor

FRANKLIN-SIMPSON PLANNING & ZONING COMMISSION

PUBLIC HEARING

IN RE: JOSH JONES d/b/a J. JONES BUILDERS TO REQUEST A ZONE CHANGE FROM AF TO R1-S LOCATED SOUTH SIDE OF HYW 100, BETWEEN RAPIDS ROAD AND EXIT 6 FOR A 34.29-ACRE PARCEL

> *** ... SEPTEMBER 7, 2021

APRIL PEARSON, C.C.R.

REPORTER

PEARSON COURT REPORTING P. O. BOX 5
BOWLING GREEN, KY 42102-0005
(270)781-7730 april@pearsonreporting.com

> PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

The public hearing of Franklin-Simpson Planning and Zoning, taken pursuant to Notice, in the City Hall City Commission Meeting Room, 117 West Cedar Street, Franklin, Simpson County, Kentucky, 42134, on Tuesday, September 7, 2021, at 6:52 p.m. (Central Time), upon oral examination and to be used in accordance with the Kentucky Rules of Civil Procedure.

APPEARANCES

Mr. David Cummins Leach and Cummins For the Petitioners:

Attorneys at Law 200 North Main Street Franklin, Kentucky 42134

Mr. Robert Young Link For the Commission:

Attorney at Law 205 West Kentucky Avenue Franklin, Kentucky 42134

Commission Members Present:

Ms. Debbie Thornton, Chairperson

Mr. John Mayeur

Mr. George Weissinger Mr. Gary Sliger Mr. Roy Tyler Mr. Kent Wilson

Mr. Craig Mylor

Ms. Emily Flora Also present:

Mr. Carter Munday

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

INDEX

EXAMINATION

BY MR. CUMMINS:

4 - 73

EXHIBITS

Aerial photo depicting zoning around property EXHIBIT A PAGE

Conceptual Plan

EXHIBIT B PAGE Deed of Conveyance dated 5/12/21 EXHIBIT C PAGE 28

Picture of Fox Chase Entrance EXHIBIT D PAGE 33

Pictures of houses EXHIBIT E PAGE 37

Development Plan Conditions EXHIBIT F PAGE 39

Real Estate Sale/Purchase EXHIBIT G PAGE 46

Contract

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: We're going to open a public hearing. Within this public hearing, we ask that all cell phones are on silent. And this is not personal and we -- our board has rules and regulations that they have to -- that they're, you know, supposed to be going by, and so please, do not take anything personally and/or take offense, but just know that these men and most of us are serving our community and really, you know, trying to do a good job for our community and for the people that work in this community and that love this community. So please keep that in mind.

And also, if you're going to approach the bench, will you please -- or approach the commission, please know that you must be sworn in, unless you're a lawyer. And you -- Mr. Cummings does not have to do that. Okav?

Okay. Let's begin. Mr. Cummings are you

here to represent -- great --19

MR. CUMMINS: Yes, ma'am.

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: -- Mr. Jones?

MR. CUMMINS: Madam Chairperson, may it

please the commission, I'm going to speak on behalf of Mr. and Mrs. Jones -- do I need --

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: No. You're okay.

Is he okay without a mask, Emily? MS. FLORA: Oh. veah. MADAM CHAIRPERSON: He's closest to you. Bob, are you okay? MR. LINK: Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CUMMINS: Just briefly, for -- and ultimately, just for the record, David Cummings on behalf of the Petitioners, Josh and Shelly Jones.

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

This petition regards a thirty-four-point two-nine-two-five-acre tract, just a shade over thirty-four acres, on the south side of Highway 100 between Rapids Road and Exit 6. If my math is correct, $\verb|it's| one-thousand-four-hundred-eighty-eight-point-o-five|\\$ feet of frontage along the state's right of way on 100.

This property has not previously been developed, so it defaults to an Agricultural Zone. One thing that we have to start with, this is going to consume some tillable farmland, but if we have to use farmland for development, the Comprehensive Plan envisions that we do so along the east/west corridor, 31-W as the north/south corridor, and then around three-fourths of a bypass that we have here in Franklin. So we're requesting an R1-S, medium

density residential, change. This proposal fits the

PEARSON COURT REPORTING

- Have you and your office been helping Mr. and Mrs. Jones with all of their engineering and surveying regarding the --
 - Α. Yes, sir.
 - -- specific aspects of this project?

MR. CUMMINS: If I may approach the commissioners, Your Honor, and present what I'd like to ask that this be Exhibit A or 1, and we'll refer to this first.

(Whereupon Jones Exhibit A was duly received, marked for identification, and filed herewith as part hereof.)

- Mr. Caldwell, you're hopefully ο. familiar -- let me give this -- it will maybe make it easier for you to use this.
 - Okav. Α.
- Would you identify the tracts in this ο. picture drawn in the middle of that shot, please?
- Yeah. That is the subject property that the Jones' own that we're speaking about tonight.
- Would it be numbered sixteen dash one ٥. dash ten --
 - Α.
 - Q. -- the Carter property?
 - Α. Uh-huh.
 - Would you generally describe that parcel Q.

Comprehensive Plan very well, if not extremely well. 1 And if it would please the commission, 2 I'll start a little bit out of order and call Mr. Jace 3 Caldwell first. 4 MR. CALDWELL: Where do you want me to --5 MADAM CHAIRPERSON: She'll swear you in. 6 MR. CALDWELL: Okav. 7 (Off the record) 8 9 * * * +++ 10 JACE CALDWELL, being first duly sworn, 11 gave the following answers in response to questions 12 propounded to him. 13 EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. CUMMINS: 15

Mr. Caldwell, would you state your name and address -- business address for our record, please?

Jace Caldwell, Van Meter and Slavey, ten Α. fifteen Shive Lane, Bowling Green.

Just briefly, would you explain your occupation and that sort of thing?

I'm a professional civil engineer. Α.

You've been before this commission ο. several other times. You're not a stranger here?

Yes. sir.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

of land to the commission, please?

Yeah. It is -- I can't remember the Α. acres off the top of my head, but it's currently in

And it's got a couple of low spots on it; one of them being shown there with the trees, and then the other, you can't really tell where it is, but it's on the other side of the property. You can see it a little bit better in my concept plan.

It's rolling in all directions. And it's got one existing entrance on Scottsville Road.

Are there any utility easements traversing the property?

There is. There is a hundred foot T.V.A. Α. easement along the back of the property. It's fifty foot each side of the line.

MR. CUMMINS: At this point, if I may approach again, Madam Chairperson, I have personal copies of the conceptual plan. I'll present those.

I think Mr. Munday had it for you to view a few weeks ago.

Exhibit 2 or B.

(Whereupon Jones Exhibit B was duly received, marked for identification, and filed herewith as part hereof.)

Mr. Caldwell, would you orient us ٥.

directionally -- I apologize. These are oriented in opposite directions. So would you explain briefly how this one is --

A. So --

q

a

Q. -- depicted?

A. -- north is actually down, in this situation. As you're looking at the property, I-65 would be to your left, and then down, Franklin would be to your right. And with the street name right now right there, it's right -- it's not but --

Q. Would that be --

A. -- a thousand feet -- Rapids Road. It's about a thousand feet from Rapids Road.

Q. So at the top of your depiction here would be the south of this property. There's a strip a long there that's not platted for residential use.

Would you explain that briefly to the commission?

A. The strip that we are currently owning?

Q. That would be between what's depicted as

A. Yeah.

Q. -- Johnson to the south.

A. Okay. So there is a tract that I've designated as Lot one dash one. It's kind of hard to see. It is three-point-two-seven acres. And I believe

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

O. -- walk us through the conceptual plan?

A. Yeah. We've got one entrance to work with. We'll start at the front of the subdivision. I talked with Sarah Payton with the Transportation

Cabinet. And it is a controlled access right of way there. And -- and that's a fancy way of just saying that they're very particular with their entrances there.

So she -- I asked to move it to make the subdivision layout a little bit better, actually, and I was told in so many words, no, do not move it. You can't move it. If you're going to develop it, that is where the access needs to be. So that's the beginning of the story on the layout.

We go in. We have a circle with two connecting streets down the middle of it. We've got a proposed drainage area on both the west and the east sides of the property. Those are existing low spots. More than likely, there is a sinkhole in both of them, which we will protect and develop with modern methods to make sure that we're not doing anything to pollute the ground water or anything like that.

And then along with that, we actually -in Simpson County, it's a little more strict than we
usually do in Warren County. They go from a three hour
twenty-four-hour storm in Bowling Green, and here, it's

there's an agreement on that, that they are going to be giving that -- or not giving, but transferring it to the Johnson family for their use so that the T.V.A. easement would be completely on Johnson. And it actually is ten feet extended past where that easement line is. I probably jumbled that up a little bit, but --

Q. Just to clarify --

A. Yeah.

1.3

 $\label{eq:Q. -- just for redundancy, because this is} \ensuremath{\mathsf{important}}.$

So the T.V.A. easement would not be on the parcel that we are requesting to be rezoned this evening?

A. Correct.

Q. Would you describe briefly what utilities presently serve this property?

A. Sc you have the T.V.A. easement that runs along the back, of course. And then there is water and sewer that run along the front of this property.

Q. Is this property in the corporate limits of the City of Franklin?

A. It is.

 $\mbox{Q.} \qquad \mbox{Just in your personal terms, not too} \\ \mbox{specific or technical, would you} \ -- \label{eq:quantum}$

A. Sure.

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

a twenty-four hour. So it -- it really makes those sinkholes well cared for, and you don't have to worry about flooding issues in the future. So that's why I made those easements maybe a little bit large, just to make sure that we have plenty of area to take care of that.

I think that pretty well sums it up. Public utilities, obviously. Sewer. Water. Electric's all going to be underground.

Last -- last thing that is on there, we've got a pretty good existing tree line along the fronts of the lots that front the highway there. And we are proposing a twenty-five foot landscaping buffer there, a do-not-disturb landscape buffer. So that character you see there now will be the character that you see after this development's done, minus the entrance.

So I think that sums it up in a few

19 words.

Q. One other question about the depiction of some term language. Would you mind to explain those in a little more detail, please?

A. Yeah. So I forgot about that.

So there is going to be two turning

lanes. That's what the state is going to require; one

left-hand and one right-hand turn lane. I just realized I put those backwards -- but anyway, so just to make sure that you're safe going into the development. Yeah.

- O. The one described as right-hand --
- A. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- O. -- will be left and vice versa?
- A. We'll switch that around for --
- O. The arrows are correct?
- A Yeah.
- Q. Would you also describe -- have you depicted the paved surfaces here accurately, as far as Highway 100 is concerned? And then explain the set back or the distance to the property line.
- A. Yes. I -- it's kind of hard to explain, but the thick, bold line is where the existing pavement is. And I believe we're actually going to have to add just a little bit of width to Scottsville Road to be able to accommodate those turning lanes and, of course, the shoulders.

And then we're about a hundred and seventy feet off the actual edge of pavement, which it will go down a little bit. You may be at, like, one sixty once you add the pavement whence to get those turning lanes in there. But it's -- it's a pretty far distance to get to the actual piece of property where he

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

15

more details of -- of his perspective.

A. I think that covers it.

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: May I see if the

counsel has questions for him?

Does anyone here have a question?

MR. TYLER: There's a hundred and ten

lots proposed?

MR. CALDWELL: A hundred and ten,

correct.

MR. TYLER: Thank you.

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, very much.

12 Thank you.

MR. CUMMINS: I just --

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ LINK: I missed part of the your testimony a while ago. I was trying to figure out what you were talking about.

This -- the proposed drainage area, is that where you're talking about where you have sinkholes?

MR. CALDWELL: Yeah. There's a -- I haven't physically been in the bottom of it, but they're closed contour. And around here, it's almost guaranteed to be a sinkhole.

MR. LINK: It's a what?
MR. CALDWELL: It's --

owns verses where the state owns.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

2

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1.9

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And again, just for clarification here, if not redundant, but this section of Highway 100 is a controlled access?

A. Yes.

Q. Is that how the highway department --

A. Yes.

Q. So as far as having multiple entrances or every home having their own entrance --

A. No. That wouldn't be appropriate. What they're trying to do is keep the speed limit up at fifty-five. And if you start putting more access points, every access point per mile you put in there makes it where you need to slow the traffic down so that you can actually get a car on there without getting ran into. So that's the idea behind it, I'm told.

Q. And do we understand this isn't final --

A. Sure.

Q. -- engineering specificity, but you've depicted this as best represents your initial understanding in what the highway department will both allow and require?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. Is there anything else you'd like, preliminarily? We'll have Mr. Jones to talk about some

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

5

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Closed contour.

MR. CALDWELL: It's a closed contour.

MR. LINK: Okay.

MR. CALDWELL: You know, the contour will

go down to nothing --

MR. LINK: Okay.

MR. CALDWELL: -- and it closes on

itself. So the water's going somewhere.

MR. CUMMINS: Can you show on the --

MR. CALDWELL: Yeah.

MR. CUMMINS: -- map? The first one

there.

MR. CALDWELL: The first one? Yeah.

MR. CUMMINS: Yes.

MR. CALDWELL: So if you look here,

there's a -- there's going to be a sinkhole about right there, where that dark area is, and then --

MR. LINK: Uh-huh.

MR. CALDWELL: -- about right there is

one.

MR. LINK: Where that wooded tract is?

MR. LINK: Well, what I was just

wondering, if these are going to be a drainage easement, are they going to be able to build a house on that

MR. CALDWELL: Correct.

PEARSON COURT REPORTING

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

drainage easement area?

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They would not. Α.

Huh?

They would not. Α.

MR. LINK: Okay. So we've got a lot of lots here that are going to be awfully small; don't we?

MR. CALDWELL: They're going to accommodate the same size house as all the other lots.

And it -- it looks a little bit big and scary on here. And I like to be conservative, because I don't want to sell you something that is not physically possible.

Without actually doing the engineering, I -- I'm somewhat guessing on how big those are. And so I just maxed it out as much as I could, which is right up to the back of the houses. More than likely, he's not going to let me do that.

It's going to look nice going out the back door, so while it may be a drainage easement, it's going to be very gradual. And you're only seeing water in there in the hundred-year event, which is, you know, point-one-percent chance every year. So --

MR. CUMMINS: Will you excuse the witness

23 now --

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Of course.

MR. CUMMINS: -- and I'll continue from

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

top.

Either way, there would be a structure on top of the sinkhole. So it's controlled. It's going in the same place every time and you don't have a lot of -you know, you see sinkholes you just keep dumping rock in it, because it just keeps going down and down and down. You're trying to avoid that with putting a structure on there.

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Uh-huh.

And then, of course, it would be maintained, so it doesn't have so many negative affects.

We lawyers use a term attractive ο. nuisance. Now, how would you construct this so that it wouldn't be enticing to children when they're playing?

It's -- you know, it's going to have a Α. concrete structure, no different than any drainage structure. You know, it's not going to be something that's unsafe, though. I think if you did a cave box there, it would be bolted down so that kids couldn't just --

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Right.

-- pull it up. While they're pretty heavy, I think it'd have to be a pretty old kid to pick that thing up.

And then, if it's -- you know, if you're

```
here.
              MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Thank
vou.
```

... CONTINUED EXAMINATION

BY MR. CUMMINS:

Mr. Caldwell, would you explain what efforts that your engineering would require, especially in the wooded area --

Α.

-- regarding the -- the throat of the ٥. sinkhole that you detected?

Yeah. So there's a couple different methods to handle sinkholes, depending on how close you are to the actual cave that the sinkhole is going into. You can do what's called a cave box, which pretty much just means you set a big concrete structure on top of where the sinkhole is going in --

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Uh-huh.

-- then you grout in around it. The A. other way would be that it's a little deeper than what that would allow, and so you take a pipe and go all the way down to where the throat of it is and then you grout around it and then come back up and set a structure on

> PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

19

putting a pipe down in the sinkhole, it's like four foot deep, anyway, so even if they got in there, it's not a problem.

And again, just to be very clear, what ο. you've depicted here does conform with the R1-S requirements in every regard?

> Α. Correct.

Just a few more questions. ο.

Have you had a chance to review the twelve development scenarios that Franklin-Simpson has in its Comprehensive Plan?

> Yes. sir. Α.

Is it accurate to say that the proposed zone change tonight, as depicted here on your conceptual plan, would fit all twelve of those development scenarios?

> It does appear that it does. Α.

Number twelve is the most restrictive.

It's -- it's called a bounded-type --

Α. Right.

-- scenario where we presently have ο. development. Is this property in one of these three bounded areas?

> Δ. It is.

Would this development, albeit presently ο.

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

tilled --

c

2.5

A. Uh-huh.

- Q. There's what crop growing now?
- A. Right.
- Q. I mean, would you --
- A. Corn
- O. Corn?
- A. Corn.
- Q. Okay. So it is being actively farmed, but does it disrupt or fragment, to use the Comprehensive Plan language, a large continuous block of prime farmland?
- A. It -- in my opinion, it doesn't. It's got a wooded area to the left of it and a house. And then to the right of it is also -- well, there's a small tract. There's also a corn field. And then there's another house that's --
- Q. So this -- this farm -- this field would be farmed by one farmer as a stand-alone?
 - A. Right.
- $\,$ Q. $\,$ Does your conceptual plan protect the karst features on the land and preserve the integrity of the water in this area?
 - A. Yes
 - Q. It's very near Drakes Creek. Can you --

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

- Q. -- structures --
- A. -- which is something that would affect the karst. More impervious would be a detriment when you don't have to necessarily have that.
- Q. Would -- the existing agricultural zone classification, would it be fair to say that it is still appropriate today, given the development in the area and the present uses?
- A. I think that if look at all twelve -- I mean, you have a Comprehensive Plan that has twelve things that it considers, and you meet all twelve of them, I don't know how you could plan any better than to meet all twelve -- twelve of twelve.
- Q. Within your experiences here and your general knowledge of the Franklin-Simpson area, has there been major changes to this specific area of an economic, physical, or social nature that were not considered when this was zoned agriculture?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And -- this is a two-part question.

Have those changes substantially altered the basic character of this area and the new configuration? Now, those of us that have been here some time, think of the new and old 100. So the new --

A. Yeah.

can you protect the water quality here?

A. Yes

Q. Does the conceptual plan promote the visual integrity of this area, not only for passersby on Highway 100, but also for the residents who live and --

A. I, personally, think it does. Whenever I'm doing these layouts, I know that it's a big thing to try to face a house towards the street, but there's never really a good way to do that when it's on a big, controlled access road.

In a perfect world, we could have all those lots there all have driveways off Kentucky Highway 100, Scottsville Road. In this situation, the only way I know how to do that is to add a whole another road which would -- you know, you'd be taking out all those trees that are already there that already -- when you're driving down that road, it already looks like that on both sides. And that's -- I think that's the intent of this layout, is to keep that character.

 $\label{eq:total_section} I \ don't \ see \ -- \ other \ than \ an \ alley \ or$ something of that nature, to -- to change this to make it any -- any more --

 $\label{eq:Q. You would be introducing more} \mbox{impervious} \mbox{ --}$

A. It would --

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

Q. -- location of 100, has this
substantially altered the basic character of this

Α.

3 neighborhood?

Q. Is there anything else? I know we've bounced around a lot and asked you lots of questions, but is there anything else you would like to address?

A. Nope.

Yes.

MR. CUMMINS: Okay. Do you have any questions? If not, I'd ask you to dismiss the witness.

MR. SLIGER: On there, we have coming in and out. Are you going to have any turn lanes in and out or --

MR. CALDWELL: As far as the development?

MR. SLIGER: Uh-huh.

MR. CALDWELL: His plan is to have three lanes. So it would be a left, a right, and an in, just because you may sit there for a minute, you don't want to back up traffic.

MR. TYLER: Was there any talk about ever changing this to R1 instead of R1-S? Did you guys --

MR. CALDWELL: I don't think so.

 $\label{eq:mr.tyler:} \textbf{MR. TYLER:} \quad \textbf{I was thinking it, but I was}$ asking you --

MR. CALDWELL: Yeah.

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

```
MR. TYLER: -- the question.
 1
                    MR. CALDWELL: When I was given the job,
 2
 3
      it was an R1-S.
                    MR. TYLER: Okav.
                    MR. CALDWELL: So that was I was told --
 5
                     MR. CUMMINS: We'll have some testimony,
 6
 7
     hopefully --
 8
                    MR. TYLER: Okay.
                    MR. CUMMINS: -- from Mr. Jones --
 9
                     MR. CALDWELL: Yeah.
10
                     MR. CUMMINS: -- to answer that question,
11
     Mr. Tyler.
12
                    MR. CALDWELL: I believe -- is the only
13
     difference the square footage requirement for the R1 --
14
      RS1?
1.5
16
                     MR. WILSON: You know, one's more
17
18
      condensed. You can put more houses on that.
                     MR. CALDWELL: It's just the width or is
19
      it both the width and the square footage?
20
                     MR. MUNDAY: It's the square footage.
21
                     MR. CALDWELL: Yeah. I -- I should have
22
      put the square footage on all these, but I would say
23
      that probably half of these probably meet that -- these
24
      lots probably meet that.
25
```

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

```
MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. Yeah.
1
                    MR. CUMMINS: -- the petitioner, Mr. Josh
2
3
     Jones.
                    MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Mr. Josh.
5
     Yeah.
                    MR. CUMMINS: Shelly is here just for
6
7
     moral support.
                    MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Oh, well, we haven't
8
     talked to Shelly for quite a while.
9
                     (Off the record)
10
11
                                  ***
12
                     JOSH JONES, being first duly sworn, gave
1.3
     the following answers in response to questions
14
     propounded to him.
15
                     MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Welcome, Mr. Jones.
16
                     MR. JONES: Hi.
17
18
19
                              EXAMINATION
20
      BY MR. CUMMINGS:
21
                     Just for the record, would you state your
22
23
      name and address, please?
                     Josh Jones. Seven twenty-eight Ditmore
24
               Α.
```

PEARSON COURT REPORTING

(270) 781-7730

Ford Road, Franklin, Kentucky.

```
MR. SLIGER: Ain't the R1 an acre?
1
                    MR. CALDWELL: Is R1 an acre?
2
                    MR. SLIGER: Isn't it an acre?
3
                    MR. MUNDAY: No. That's the city.
                    MADAM CHAIRPERSON: No.
5
                    MR. MUNDAY: It's twelve five.
6
                    MR. CALDWELL: Okay. That's what I was
7
     thinking. They're all -- the smaller ones are
8
     seventy-five hundred. I can't -- I can't keep all those
9
     straight.
10
                    Yeah, an acre would -- that would not
11
     work.
12
                    MADAM CHAIRPERSON: All right.
13
                    MR. WILSON: What would it be, thirty --
14
15
     about thirty homes?
                    MR. CALDWELL: Yeah. Yeah.
16
                    MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you,
17
     sir.
18
19
                    MR. CALDWELL: Yes.
                    MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Okay. I'm sorry my
20
     phone went off. It's usually on -- like, people say I
21
22
     never answer it. So --
                    Okay. Mr. Cummings, if you --
                    MR. CUMMINS: May I call the
24
25
     petitioner --
```

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

```
Do you and your wife, Shelly, who is with
1
     you here tonight, do you co-own the property that's the
2
      subject of tonight's hearing?
3
4
               Α.
                      Yes. We do.
                      MR. CUMMINS: If I may briefly approach,
5
     I have a copy of the deed.
6
                      I want you to clarify for the commission
      that that is a correct -- a true and correct copy of
      your deed where you and Shelly acquired this property
9
      earlier this year?
10
                      Yes. It is.
11
                      MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.
12
                       We touched on this briefly, but the
13
      parcel that we're seeking rezoning tonight is the bulk
14
      of this land, but not the property in its entirety; is
15
      that correct?
17
                Α.
                       Yes.
                       And again, just briefly would you --
18
                Q.
                       MR. CUMMINS: C.
19
                       (Whereupon Jones Exhibit C was duly received, marked for identification, and filed herewith as part hereof.)
20
21
                       Would you explain the
22
      three-point-two-acre parcel off the southern portion
23
24
      of land in the rear --
                       Well --
25
                Α.
```

O. -- that you're asking to be cropped off?

A. Yes. When we were looking at development -- and, obviously, there's a T.V.A. line that runs across the back from east to west. It actually comes from closer to town, crosses Drakes Creek, and goes -- goes out across of I-65 -- a pretty long line. Developing residential housing, you -- all of that property is just dead property, more or less. You can't do anything with it, other than mow and maintain it.

You can't do any structures, anything, inside the easement. T.V.A. has -- has that land, basically, in control. You can't plant trees. You can't -- really, for a matter, you can't have a dog pen; you can't have anything under it. You have to leave it free and clear.

 ${\tt Q.} \qquad \hbox{For clarification purposes, that section,}$ that strip, is not included in this --

A. It is not.

q

Q. -- request?

A. It is not.

Q. Okay. Are you and Shelly long-term residents here in the Franklin and Simpson area?

A. Yes. We are.

Q. Just for the record, do you have

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

A. I think on a main highway. Of course, you know we've had Fox Chase and The Retreat before.

Everybody knows, or most of them know, that that's on 31-W North. Had -- had great response on selling lots. People want to live in those subdivisions when you're close to a main highway like that, you know, versus some of the side streets.

You know, when you're talking Highway 100, you've got a proximity of I-65, you've got Bowling Green and Nashville. It just kind of seemed like this property, just the layout, the topography, everything, kind of fit what I wanted to, you know, development something. And it's going to be a clean, classy look. And I think people will, you know, agree with that.

Q. We'll get into some detail here in a little bit, but to Mr. Tyler's question, could you explain, from a developer's standpoint -- and be candid, please. You know, you have to make a living. So would you explain the R1 decision as opposed to the R1-S?

A. Well, R1, you're required to have a-hundred-by-a-hundred lot; that's a minimum. Now, some of those lots will always be larger. The same as R1-S. You know, you still have the same depth, but then you cut down to a seventy-five foot.

As we know, all the costs on developing

children?

 $\label{eq:A.} \textbf{A.} \qquad \text{We do.} \quad \text{We have twins, seniors in high} \\ \text{school, boy/qirl twins.}$

Q. Where do they go to school? Here in --

A. Here in Franklin.

Q. -- Franklin? Okay.

Is it fair to say that you and your family are thoroughly interested in the well-being of this community?

A. We are.

I grew up in the family business. Shelly did, also. Dad started in '74 with Franklin Aluminum. To say we're invested in this community is an understatement. We're at forty-seven years now with Franklin Aluminum.

Been building houses now, you know, more of a volume, since probably 2000, 2002. Got a couple developments that we've done; Fox Chase and The Retreat -- that we've done kind of on our own and -- yeah. So --

Q. You're here to stay?

A. I'm here to stay.

Q. What particularly interested you in this piece of property that you bought with the deed here that we've just distributed?

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

keeps rising as -- you know, as you go. I think when we done Fox Chase and Retreat, you were spending somewhere in the vicinity of ten to twelve, maybe thirteen, thousand dollars for a lot, just on the developer part of it. Now, that is creeping up towards twenty thousand. So obviously, from a developer, you want to maximize your lots out of that.

And then, to be honest, most people that live in town are perfectly fine with a seventy-five-foot wide lot. Now, myself, I like five acres clear around my house. But people that live in subdivisions -- and most people -- I mean, you don't have people that get out and try to expand, you know, from that lot and, you know, that's -- that they don't want to mow and maintain any more than, you know, they absolutely have to. It kind of seems like -- I don't know if it's a generational thing, but its tendency -- you know, in all the surrounding counties, it kind of tends to go that direction with R1-S.

Now, I think R1-S -- you know, originally, when we done The Retreat -- I know Bob was here and some of the other board members, but we actually asked -- it wasn't R1-S then. We never had that, you know, where you have a lot -- to where you could do a smaller size lot, like we were doing in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

7

Я

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

again.

2

3 4 7

8 q 10

11 12 1.3

15 16

1 4

17 1.8

> 19 20

21 22

23

24

25

1 2 3

5 6

8 9 10

11

12 13 14

> 15 16 17

18 19

> 20 21 22

23 24 25 surrounding counties at the time, and mandate it being single family. There was no way to enforce that.

You know, if they passed that, somebody could buy that development, somebody get in trouble, and turn around and change it to multi-family, if they wanted to, because it fit that.

We were asking at that -- at that point, we were asking for R2 zone with a mandate of single family; thus, the R1-S was created to meet that size lot, because I think R -- R2 usually is seventy-five by a hundred.

But R1-S, it's -- you know, it's what fits. You know, as far as business wise, that's what fits best and you, you know, maximize your lots. And it seems like, you know, they buy them as fast as you can -- as fast as you can build them.

- You just answered my follow-up question. ο.
- Α. Yeah.
- So there isn't -ο.
- Oh, yeah, there definitely is a Α.

land hold.

MR. CUMMINS: If I may briefly approach with another exhibit, please.

(Whereupon Jones Exhibit D was duly received, marked for identification, and filed herewith as part hereof.)

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

35

CONTINUED EXAMINATION

BY MR. CUMMINS:

Mr. Jones, before it gets too late, I'd like for you -- we're all familiar with that picture that we've just distributed, but would you briefly explain why it's important to tonight's hearing?

Well, we were talking about the entrance, and I think -- I think everybody likes to see curb appeal. You know, when you -- when you pass something, you don't want to just see an entrance sign.

We gave this picture here because, you know, we -- we created Fox Chase. Obviously, it has two entrances. So the main entrance, you know, originally, we wanted the entrance sign, shrubbery, trees, island, you know, more or less. If you set out 31-W, just that two trees in that island there hide a lot of what's in hehind it.

Now, some people would love to see straight in and want to see what everybody's got at their house, but I kind of like the privacy part of it. And it's just a clean, classy look, you know, when you're coming in, especially with the sign. You know, not -- not every development requires that or they do that, but, you know, when you look at Retreat, we kind of put one off to the side there, because we didn't have MADAM CHAIRPERSON: How big are most of

the lots in Fox Chase? MR. JONES: All of those were back before

R1-S was allowed in reasonableness. In Simpson County, all of those are R1 zone.

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

MR. JONES: So they're a minimum of a-hundred-by-a-hundred, but the majority of them -- you know, when zone you zone that, you know, you're left over with -- you go in there and design all a-hundred-by-a-hundred, you've got a lot of acreage left over. So then you end up --

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Pieces you can't do anything with.

MR. JONES: Yeah. You end up making it -- it could be a hundred-and-one or it could be a hundred-and-thirty wide by a-hundred-and-fifty deep.

> MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Kind of arrange them. MR. JONES: You just take what you're

left over with, you try to arrange what's left. 20

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

enough width. So it's off to the side, nice sign. When you tell somebody you live on Hunters Crossing -- well, they've probably scene Fox Chase or Retreat as they come into town, but they don't know Retreat like Hunters Crossing. They've seen that sign, so they know the location for it.

Just curb appeal.

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Uh-huh.

So subject, again, to the highway department's final approval, you prefer something of --

I would prefer either to have this island style, where you'll have one lane entrance and two lane exit, where you'll have a left and a right turning lane, or, you know, if the state doesn't approve it, then we plan to do something like Retreat, where it's off to one side. But one way or the other, definitely a nice looking entrance.

And there is room there in Lot 48 to do ο. an offset on the side if required by the highway department?

> The one to the west, I believe? Α.

Yes. ο.

Α.

MR. CUMMINS: If I might briefly approach

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Uh-huh.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Whereupon Jones Exhibit E was duly received, marked for identification, and filed herewith as part hereof.)

- Mr. Jones, would you briefly explain to the commission what we've just distributed and why that's important and how that works into your vision for this property?
- All of these pictures basically come from Α. two builders, myself and another, that have several of these plans.

You'll notice hear in a minute, I think he'll present kind of the minimum square footage, you know, with a garage included. We're not planning to do anything that's going to be kind of what's considered a starter home. Starter homes, definitely needed, but actual curb appeal of these, this -- this is going to match mainly Fox Chase/Retreat's style house. It's not going to be any, you know, split-face concrete block foundations. These are going to be brick foundations, either a, you know, brick-front/stone-front combination or Hardie board kind.

I'm a vinyl siding guy. I'm limiting with it of that because it's worked for us great in Fox Chase and Retreat, both, also. Just trying to keep the curb appeal up.

> PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

> > 39

Madam Chairperson. Now, we all know what's coming.

MR. JONES: Yes. I do.

MR. CUMMINS: In somewhat of an unprecedented effort here, I think, and to minimize any misrepresentation, misstatements, anything along those lines -- we don't want to over play our hand here, so to speak, I'm -- Madam Chairperson, with your permission, I'd like to distribute what we're calling Development Plan Conditions that Mr. and Mrs. Jones intend to implement here from the outset and then, hopefully, again, through this forum, through this body's approval, through the subdivision -- assuming that we're successful tonight, of course -- that they'd be fine with some written regulations, but just to make sure that everybody understands the intent --

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Uh-huh.

MR. CUMMINS: -- I'm going to approach and distribute this one.

(Whereupon Jones Exhibit F was duly received, marked for identification, and filed herewith as part hereof.)

MR. CUMMINS: Again, this one is fairly lengthy, but it's the degree of detail that Mr. and Mrs. Jones want to use here to maintain the integrity of this. So I'll just be quiet for a few minutes and ask everybody to review these.

8 plan to keep all of them myself. I will be selling some 9 of these, obviously. There's, you know, several lots in 10 11 this development. 12 on -- I'm assuming, if the economy holds up, by the time 13 we get done with this thing, it's -- it's going to roll 14 along a lot faster, like some of the other developments 15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

in town that you see. But no, I don't plan on doing 16 that kind of volume. 17 18 some ourself for customers that come directly to us, but

restrictions?

19 20 21

22

23 24 25

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR. CUMMINS: I'm got them in my hand,

These are just some samples of some house

Would you answer this important question:

All of the three. I -- I plan -- I don't

The way things are going now, I plan

I mean, we want to retain some and build

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: And will you have

plans that we have and some of the exteriors, you know,

that we hope to, you know, mimic in the same building.

Are you going to keep all these lots to yourselves or

would you allow other builders or other private home

owners directly to purchase lots?

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

I will be selling to home owners and builders, alike.

MR. JONES: Yes.

I don't want to belabor the point or

At this point, I'm just asking if Mr. Jones has any particular paragraph in here that he would like to direct the commission's attention to.

prolong the hearing -- has everyone had a chance?

* * *

CONTINUED EXAMINATION

BY MR. CUMMINS:

Or any one that you'd like to expound on? ο.

Not necessarily in particular. There Α. were a few things in there, I think, that every -- you know, the -- the whole reason for these Plan Conditions -- you know, this is -- this is putting the cart in front of the horse, a little bit, but filing these conditions with this property basically means everything that's in here is what -- basically, what we say tonight, is going to be filed with this. It's what we plan to do with the subdivision.

And if you read the very last -- I think it's the very last item in there, Number 27, you know, all of these conditions, you know, unless something as far as city utilities, something makes me address something here in a little bit, they make me change something that's out of my hands, especially the state,

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

you know, I intend for all these restrictions to stay with it and they will -- they can set up conditions.

Once you file the final plat, they will roll in the restrictions.

c

Now, I'm sure we may add to these, you know, doing some more stuff, but what I tried to do is address the square footage, address the attached garage, one individual outbuilding, whether it be a garage or an outbuilding, you can't have multiples. You can't have a garage and three sheds in the backyard, also. And when you have a detached building, it has to match the materials -- not only the colors, but the type materials that you do your house with.

Everybody is kind of familiar -- there's nothing wrong with these little sheds, but you've got over-hold buildings. I want the garage, even if it's an over-hold building -- if it's a complete Hardie-board house, it has to match all Hardie board all the way around it. It has to match color. You know, just to kind of keep where you don't have purple, pink, green buildings dashed along all the backyards.

Especially, the chain-link fences, you know, we -- we've done pretty well, I think, in Fox Chase and The Retreat in stopping the fences so they don't come back to back corners. Some people let them

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

Chase and The Retreat and I want to keep that going.

- Q. Is if fair to say that this list is a culmination of trial and error, do's and don'ts, experiences, and such --
- $\hbox{A.} \qquad \hbox{It is.} \quad \hbox{Actually, Jace helped me with} \\ \hbox{some.} \quad \hbox{And I kind of wanted to do some that are more} \\ \hbox{controlled than not.}$

You can be vague in your restrictions and conditions. The more vague you are just means they'll run over you whether you like it or not. Fox Chase and Retreat, we kind of set restrictions for that. I learned in both of those what I wanted to do with privacy fences -- you know, not have the same exact one, you know, from house to house.

Especially, you know, we had detached buildings had to match the house. Well, people kind of took that from, well, it matches the color, well, but it doesn't match the material. So they can have the remote, you know, building out to the side -- which is great, people have those -- but I just have a vision that I like to have, you know, everything kind of matching and it looking -- you know, long term, you know, looking nice.

 $\label{eq:sometrial} \text{So it -- it is -- it does -- it has been}$ some trial and error, but I just feel like we're

come to the front corners, but also utility wise, E.P.B., natural gas, all of those don't want those to come that far. They want them to stop about four inches. They don't want to have to deal with the pets or whatever just to read their meters.

I specified black chain-link fence, there are other -- just for my liking. There's other -- again, I like to keep a clean, classy look. I'm hoping to work for these customers time and time again. I don't want a clay-colored vinyl privacy fence with a white privacy fence with a run-down wood fence with a chain-link fence all in line of four.

I'd like to keep it in a black chain-link fence just because, A, I feel like those are seventy-five percent air. It does not necessarily block the view. If you want to look from one end to the other, it still controls pets, children, and things like that. And it keeps it more of a clean, classy look from yard to yard. You don't have fifteen different type fences. It kind of keeps a control on that.

I know privacy may run some customers off, if you can't have a privacy fence that's six-foot tall. So be it, I think. I feel like we should take that risk just because this is -- I want ours to look better. I feel like we've done a great job with Fox

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

cleaning up some of the stuff that it would have kind of been questionable, you know, before.

- Q. I've asked you to address Number 26 specifically in this. And it refers to the twenty-five foot landscape easement that Mr. Caldwell touched upon. From a developer's standpoint, would you mind to address that briefly, please?
- A. Okay. The fence line, I know even the two that we had before -- I was listening to those. You know, it's always popular to kind of hide some of these developments. Putting them out in the open, having them sixty-five foot off the road and no -- no kind of coverage, I feel like, in my -- my point of view, it's right to try to cover some of those.

Luckily, along Highway 100, we've got a state fence that already has mature trees and undergrowth. What I put in these restrictions has tried to protect that. Unless I have to, you know, cut a section out that's fifty, seventy-five foot wide to come in with, you know, water or sewer or what have you, that's the only thing that we're going to do to that.

I don't -- I don't see any sense in wiping that completely out that is already mature and grown just to replace it with something, you know. You know, people eyeing it, you know, it's just as good.

distribute?

Now, that twenty-five foot buffer, you know, I'm hoping there's more trees planted along the back, but they can't go in there -- you know, with that buffer, they can't bring their garage and move it closer to the back property lines than what those, you know, trees are now, what that buffer is. So it's kind of protecting that, kind of keeping the privacy as you drive by on Highway 100, and you know, again, you know, protecting the homeowners in forming this general code.

- Q. So is it fair to say that you designed this concept to also appeal to the homeowners themselves, that we think of all of us driving by, but who benefits the most?
- A. It's -- it's a fifty-fifty shot. Some people care whether you look straight in on the backyard or the garage, you may have somebody that drives by that does not care about what some -- you know, the next person beside them cares about, but you can always sell somebody on privacy versus selling somebody on, you know, kids' toys, trampoline, with all kind of stuff in the backyard that you can see fifty foot from the highway. So having -- having a buffer, that's a good idea.
- Q. If we look at the adjoining neighbors -- and when I say prominence, I'm talking about in terms of

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

R1-S development, housing, you know, something -something similar to Fox Chase or The Retreat in
styling. We got to looking at the back, doing some type
of buffer to protect his house behind this, because he
kind of sets up on a knoll that kind of overlooks a
portion of that back line.

Now, of course, you got the T.V.A. property that runs across -- across the back that I can actually do nothing with, other than keep for some extremely deep yards, that they can't do anything with. They just got to mow and maintain it.

He kind of made the comment he would like to, possibly, purchase that property and we also -- and come into agreement -- you'll see on that contract there -- and we also added ten foot outside of that easement.

Now, the ten foot outside of the easement just gives him -- if he sees that back line there and decides he wants a tree buffer, he can go in there and plant his tree buffer in that ten foot line. That will protect his line of sight if he doesn't -- if -- you know, if he doesn't like what he sees. But that was the reason in giving him ten feet outside of that T.V.A. easement, just for that.

We're going to split -- I think he's

linear feet here -- the Johnson family is there. And then, of course, they're very prominent in the community, too. Mr. Johnson's here with us tonight.

Would you explain briefly the next exhibit, which is a contract -- that I'll ask to

A. Uh-huh.

MR. CUMMINS: And if I could, let me just -- before we get too far along, if I might approach.

(Whereupon Jones Exhibit G was duly received, marked for identification, and filed herewith as part hereof.)

- A. After we acquired this property -- me and Frank graduated together. So we -- we've known each other -- high school, done business together -- walks in the office and wonders what I -- obviously, this is going to be next door to his property, wonders -- I think I'm speaking for him, but he was wondering what might happen next store to -- kind of had a good idea, but wanting to know what was going to happen next to his property.

Once I explained to him we planned on an

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

going to let his cattle kind of -- where they could come up to our back property line, which they were going to do anyway, whether, you know, he had the T.V.A. right of way or not. We're going to split a fence that goes along the back, probably going to keep it one foot over on his property -- six inches, something, so he controls the fence, and it's his fence. So we're going to split the cost of that. And we just -- we made an agreement for him to take land that I can't use that he can put to good use.

- Q. And is this contract contingent on a successful outcome tonight?
- A. It is.
- Q. Again, we're running late. Is there anything else that we haven't touched on that you would like to present to the commission?
- A. Nothing, other than, I -- you know, I've been in here several times with several developments.

 You know, we -- I'd like to say we -- we do what we were going to say with it. We follow everything to a T.

 This is going to be no different.

We're not looking to have a starter-home development here. I'm wanting something that's going to be a step above, that is not really in Simpson County right now. There's a need for it.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

g

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

though.

2 3

1

8 9 10

11 12

13 14 1.5

> 16 17

1.8 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

1 2 3

Jones?

of questions for a minute.

7 8 q

10 11 12

13 14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

a lot -- I mean, you guys know, yourself -- you're going to see a lot of developments that are going to come up, you know, all starter homes. That's what's so hot. I think these are going to be hot, also, because of the request for them. I had a lot of people request they want to be in Retreat, they can't because there's not an open spot. So this is kind of geared

towards that same look, to have something that's a step

up from that and be -- be a good, clean, classy look.

fits that two hundred to two hundred sixty, two hundred

seventy-five thousand dollar house, you're going to have

You know, I feel like Retreat kind of

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

MR. CUMMINS: That's the only proof I had in mind, Ms. Chairperson. Not to be presumptuous, but if I could propose some Findings of Facts and Conclusions of --

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Gentlemen, do you have any questions Mr. Jones before he is off the hot seat?

MR. MAYEUR: I do.

On 16 it said sidewalks will be installed on each lot as required by the city. this diagram include the sidewalk or is that --MR. JONES: They do not. The side -- the

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Anyone else for Mr.

MR. TYLER: So I'm going to ask you a lot

MR. JONES: All right.

MR. TYLER: R1. R1-S.

MR. JONES: Uh-huh.

MR. TYLER: I knew your father and your family, and I really believe what you're saying, but I -- why not R -- is it simply the money R1-S is a better -- more profitable for you? I don't know when R1-S came into happening. Has there -- has there been anyone who has wanted to do a zone change to R1 instead of R1-S during that time? And how many developments have we changed zones for since then --

MR. MAYEUR: Six.

MR. TYLER: -- to R1-S?

MR. MAYEUR: Six.

MR. TYLER: Six.

MR. MAYEUR: According to his records.

MR. TYLER: So I know all the builders

say there is a need for it, and I believe that.

MR. JONES: Right.

MR. TYLER: But there's also a need for other things, too, like -- so you've got a hundred and sidewalks will be -- once he does the design, the sidewalks will be in there, because they're going -they will require that. Any -- anything that's in the city limits, I think the city's -- the city kind of makes that call, if they want the --MR. MAYEUR: I think it's up to us to

require it, though; right? They prefer it, but it's up to us to require that, though?

MR. MUNDAY: That's soon to change,

MR. MAYEUR: Okay. Good.

MR. JONES: Yeah.

I'm assuming that's a hundred percent going to happen, just by what I hear.

MR. MAYEUR: You're paying for it? MR. JONES: Yeah. I'm paying for it. MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Are vou okav?

CONTINUED EXAMINATION

BY MR. CUMMINS: 21

You've had experience with sidewalks --

Α. Oh, yeah.

> -- in The Retreat? ο.

Α. Yes.

> PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

ten lots here. If you -- just estimate. If you switched it to an R1, how many lots would that be, do you think?

MR. CALDWELL: I don't know.

MR. TYLER: You're just --

MR. CALDWELL: I mean, it's twenty-five

feet every --

MR. SLIGER: It's -- just in one section, I figured it was twenty-five lots -- just in one --

MR. JONES: Yeah.

MR. SLIGER: -- section there. So it's probably forty-five, fifty.

MR. JONES: I feel like that's kind of a

loaded question when you say is it all about money. Yes, but I think it's pure business. It's not the greed part.

MR. TYLER: I don't -- I don't mean it

18 to --

MR. JONES: It's --

MR. TYLER: -- be that way. I --

MR. JONES: It's -- it's been -- you

know, in the past -- let's say -- I keep reverting.to Fox Chase and The Retreat, but they're so close.

You go to Fox Chase, I think we paid eight thousand dollars for an acre for the Fox Chase

1

2

3

5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

5

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

development, which ended up being R1. I would say now you -- you tend to make per lot -- when you develop this, there's a -- there's a sheer cost of development cost in general, plus the price of, you know, per acre that you pay for the land. I paid eight -- over -almost nearly nineteen thousand dollars an acre to list --

1

2

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Almost twenty grand.

MR. JONES: -- and plus, you know, selling him, you know, Mr. Johnson, the T.V.A. property at half of what I paid per acre for that -- now, once you work all of that out, in the end, we still probably make the same amount of money per lot as we did in Fox Chase, because development costs were down, price per acre was down. You go from eight thousand dollars an acre to nearly nineteen thousand dollars an acre, which the price per acre is not the huge contributor.

You know, you can -- you can pay ten thousand versus twenty thousand per acre, but once you start looking at development cost when you start moving per lot, how much it is -- when I say eighteen, nineteen thousand -- you know, eighteen -- seventeen, eighteen, nineteen thousand dollars per lot for infrastructure, streets, curves, you know, all of that -- underground electric, you're paying for all of that. You've still

PEARSON COURT REPORTING

and best use. So they're finding a few years down the road that most all these smaller -- these R1-S type things, the property values are all decreasing all over the country. That's what I researched for a week or two.

And I drove around. I went out to your property and walked around it. And it's beautiful out there.

MR. JONES: Right.

MR. TYLER: And it would be -- you know, I don't have a good eye, I guess, but, you know, it begs for an R1, not an R1-S. It has the land. It has the room for it.

I understand when you're talking about living here and, you know, being part of this community, so I'm asking you -- because that's why I've asked you that, because there's a lot of R1-S. And we haven't had an R1 ever since the change; right?

MR. MUNDAY: Not that I'm aware of. We had one R1 that's requested in the county.

MR. TYLER: You know, I would say that what we need in Franklin is an R1. What we probably don't need is another R1-S, that -- especially that beautiful piece of land that you've got right there --MR. JONES: Right.

```
got added cost. Engineering cost is not figured in
that. You know, you got -- how much for a lot,
roughly -- what's that break down to? Let's say on the
Locklin place on Blackjack we ended up -- forty --
              MR. CALDWELL: What, are you asking me?
              MR. JONES: Yeah, as far as the price on
```

the engineering, just because --MR. CALDWELL: Yeah.

MR JONES: -- that's yours.

MR. CALDWELL: Yeah. It's six -- six

hundred dollars a lot.

MR. JONES: Yeah. So you start looking, you got that on the top. There's, you know, signage. There's a lot of things that add up that --

MR. TYLER: I -- I understand the money.

-- the eighteen thousand. MR. JONES:

MR. TYLER: You know, but is --

MR. JONES: But now that the R1-S -- yes, that kind of brings you to that point.

MR. TYLER: But on a need -- you know, you talk about there being a need in this. So I've been looking at this for a couple weeks now.

MR. JONES: Uh-huh.

MR. TYLER: Down-toning. They're finding out that -- you know, land value is based on its highest

> PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

MR. TYLER: -- you know. Not something in the city. Your other developments, they all were profitable. I understand that costs are going up, and I'm no businessman when it comes to building.

MR. JONES: I think -- and I a hundred percent respect what you're talking about. Trying to make it look as good as possible, you know, you're going to -- I think when you look at making that R1 and losing all the lots, it could be a deal breaker on some of the properties that are bringing the money that they're bringing now -- you know, you get back, and you start to scratch your head, maybe why not go all.

Now, not to say that you're not going to still make money. I won't sit here and say that.

MR. TYLER: But in the future --

MR. JONES: But not being a money -not -- not saying money is one hundred percent, but business is business, when it comes to it. You got to think whether that exposure to that amount of money, sheerly six hundred and seventy-five thousand to buy it, not even talking about, you know, you got a million and a half to two million putting it in and hoping people will buy it, you got to kind of pad yourself. You know, you got to know what you're getting into.

MR. TYLER: I -- I one hundred percent --

1.5

```
MR. JONES: Right.
```

MR. TYLER: -- agree. But I -- I know that I think that in the future that land is going -- those houses will -- they're not going to hold their value. And I think that from what -- from when I've been talking to in Bowling Green with some of the people, it's another -- it's an issue that doesn't just have you, that --

MR. JONES: Right.

MR. TYLER: -- that's what's going to happen to a lot of those. The building -- you know, and so was there -- I'm just going to ask the question.

Would there be any chance of you changing

that?

1.0

1.3

MR. JONES: I would rather not, like, at this point, just knowing the cost that I'm going to have to incur, I would rather retain --

MR. TYLER: Could I --

MR. JONES: -- the R1-S.

future -- you know, you build this lovely thing here, and then, if the property values go down, then that whole area will go down -- as I think that we're going

to see that happening.

MR. JONES: I haven't seen that yet, so

MR. TYLER: -- actually say to the

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

```
MR. CUMMINS: That's why we're hoping to distinguish this --
```

 $\label{eq:mr.sliger: I'd like to make a comment} $$\operatorname{\mathsf{myself}}$ about it.$

 $\label{eq:limit} \mbox{I'm not a big R1-S fan myself for the} $$ \mbox{homes.} $$ \mbox{In my mind, it's for smaller, starter homes.}$

father, Joe, and I respect Josh's building he's done.

I'm a builder myself and in sort of competition will

Josh, but he does a great job. I'm more apt to say yes
because he is putting restrictions on it; fourteen
hundred feet with a garage.

I've done a lot of business with Josh's

Most of the developments we've seen, it's a square box house with a little ole front porch and no garage, wood fences, iron fences, black, red, yellow, green, I don't like that either. I respect that -- your opinion on that.

The smaller lots, I don't think affect the home sales, period. It's the brick, the Hardie board, the facade of the homes, that makes your house worth ten thousand or twenty thousand. His price range of homes is not going to be your -- excuse my terminology -- your trailer-park people, in my opinion. Just my comment, that's all.

MR. TYLER: What -- what are going to be

```
that's something that I -- \label{eq:mr.tyler} \text{MR. TYLER:} \quad \text{If it -- it --}
```

MR. JONES: -- I can't a hundred percent agree with, you know, because property values are still rising, as in this piece of property, itself, should have been twelve, fourteen thousand dollars an acre, maybe even ten to twelve, three or four years ago. You know, this is now eighteen, plus they're paying more. So as things rise, it's going to bring it up. I -- I'm not saying -- it's got to cap at some point. It can't keep rising, but kind of once -- once we jump in and said we've got to pay this amount, I want to request R1-S just for that.

Now, it's not to say that if -- you know, when prices come back down for, you know, eight to ten thousand an dollars, R1 may work perfect for it. But with this one here, I would still like to request R1-S.

MR. CUMMINS: Could I ask a question? Of

those six R1-S, has any of them presented with this quality, this specificity, to -- for this caliber of home?

MR. MUNDAY: Yeah, are you asking me?

MR. CUMMINS: Just this -- this body.

24 MR. SLIGER: I, personally, don't think 25 so. No.

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

the prices, roughly?

MR. JONES: Of course, obviously, depending, in a volatile market now, how lumber goes up and down. Most everything that we've had, it's only fourteen hundred square foot right now. Most all of that is going -- I mean, if everything stays the way it is right now. Of course, lumber's dropping, so obviously, appraisals going to follow after a little while.

But, you know, if everything stays -- stays the way it is now, I would say the lowest you could get into them would be the lower two hundreds, but most everything is going to hit, probably, the mid -- the mid two hundred range.

And again, ten years ago, when we started Fox Chase in 2005, that first street, Hunters Crossing, took us fifteen years to finish, just because a two hundred and fifty thousand dollar house, you didn't sell those in Franklin. That was -- that was above the price range that Franklin demanded.

Now, that the costs are up, you can't build a two hundred and fifty thousand dollar house. With a two hundred and fifty thousand dollar house now, it wouldn't get you the square footage that it would then. So it is a lower square footage, higher price,

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

22

23

24

25

because of materials, the whole nine yards. Appraisals, in general, have rose a lot over the last two or three

1

2

3

5

6

c

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

8

q

10

11

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But that second street that we put in in Fox Chase, everything in there was fifteen or sixteen hundred minimum square foot, we sold that last street out just like that, just because the economy supported it. So it's -- it's -- it's kind of one of those things where you want to hit the happy medium to be a fourteen-hundred-square-foot minimum with a twenty-by-twenty garage

But, you know, things like we're building over in Williamsburg -- I've got some lots over there that we bought from Mr. Jody Allen. Most of those are selling for two hundred fifty thousand and they're fifteen hundred square feet with faced foundations with vinyl siding on them.

So some of these houses are going to reach, probably, the three hundred mark, but that's good when you have that range.

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Good. Yeah.

MR. JONES: I don't know that you can get -- you know, if -- if materials go back up and stay there, I believe two hundred fifty, two hundred thousand.

> PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

> > 63

fifty-five and older, but it's a little more strict -or really, a lot more strict than what Fox Chase is.

H.O.A.'s work great for us, I think. The city is actually pushing to have an H.O.A. on every development that comes up. Obviously, you know, what we put in these conditions and restrictions, you want -you know, that's going to stay the same until I sell the last lot -- you know, where I have, you know, more or less, what I say in here is what's going to go. After that, the H.O.A. controls it.

I don't think they can go in there without a unanimous decision and change anything, but I feel like what we put in here as far as conditions, and I'm sure we'll add some more restrictions to it, we hope that's going to be the clean and classy look like we've done in the past, you know, that some of the others just don't do.

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: I think the H.O.A. is a really --

MR. JONES: Yeah.

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: -- good idea and a really good selling point.

MR. JONES: Yeah. Some people don't -you know, they don't want to do this or they don't want to deal with a headache. I could --

I feel like when our -- when we're selling to, you know, a buyer, Franklin's only going to support a certain -- you know, you can't go out here and build twenty four-hundred-thousand-dollar houses. You're just not going to sell them if Franklin. It won't support it. Nineteen years from now, maybe. Who

But ten years ago, if you were much over two twenty-five, you were selling a couple of those a year and that's about it. It's just changed now. You know, it moves a lot. So --

Are -- all the Development Plan Conditions that you've committed to tonight, are they designed to maintain the long-term integrity, and to Mr. Tyler's point, to prevent the rapid deterioration or aging of the subdivision?

We hope so. You know, Fox Chase, we failed to do an H.O.A. That was a learning curve for us. Luckily, we've got a couple homeowners in there that love to keep the landscaping looking nice on that sign. They like to have the -- you know, the nice entrance. Really, that's the only thing that they would, you know, have to maintain, as far as upkeep.

Now, the H.O.A. that we have in Retreat -- of course, it's a little bit different, it's

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Then they shouldn't move into the sub --2 MR. JONES: I could tell you, me and 3 4 Shelly -- okay. MADAM CHAIRPERSON: No, but then they're are not the right people to buy --6 MR. JONES: No. 7 MADAM CHAIRPERSON: -- in particular 8 zone. 10 I'm sorry. MR. JONES: Correct. 11 MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. I think an 12 H.O.A. is a good idea. I know that Carter would 13 probably agree. 14 MR. JONES: Me and Shelly would love to 15 have less restrictions, because it's a headache, because 16 you've always got somebody who going to push limits, 17 18 but --MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. 19 MR. JONES: -- if you don't have them in 20 place, they're going to push it whether you like it or 21

MR. WEISSINGER: I just drove through

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Great.

Any more questions?

not, so -- and then you can control it.

do --

this afternoon one of your developments that don't have an H.O.A. You go by one nice looking house, the next one's got grass this tall and weeds all growing up around it. Some of it, even if it had grass, their driveways looked like they've changed oil in them, spilled oiled all in them, and these are houses that's three -- two to three years old.

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Hmm.

MR. WEISSINGER: And I wouldn't want to

live there.

1.0

MR. JONES: You know, it's a -- it's almost like a two-layered fence for your neighbor.

MR. WEISSINGER: Yeah. Two different --

MR. JONES: You know, when you -- you know, when we make -- when we make the restrictions, you feel like, you know, hey, the developer's going to be calling me if I don't abide by them, but really, code enforcement should get involved on the grass cutting and things like that, rubbish, trash. They've only got three or four things that they actually, you know, enforce. And I hope just adds to the list. So if I call them and then the city calls them, too, that's two people that's on their -- on their tails to get it done right. So --

MR. WEISSINGER: Well, they also had,

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

```
MR. MAYEUR: Now, I \rightarrow I'd like to follow up with his comment. I'm not an R1-S fan, either, and I understand where he's coming from, but you've done a really good job trying to do the best you can here.
```

My question is around enforcement. I know we've -- we've had some subdivision restrictions here before, but then when the developer's done, there's no enforcement and --

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: We've had that conversation --

MR. MAYEUR: -- we've that conversation before, so when he's done developing and doesn't own these and it's the H.O.A. and the H.O.A. says I'm not going to make that guy do whatever, where is our arm to enforce some of this stuff -- is all I'm trying to get to.

MR. JONES: That may be a question for Bob, just from the standpoint of how far you can enforce that with an H.O.A.

MR. MAYEUR: Yeah.

MR. JONES: When the H.O.A. -- I guess the other homeowners should be able to force the H.O.A. to enforce that -- I would assume. But again, you've got -- you know, once we sell our last lot and our last interest, I can tell you that we'll follow this while we

```
like, different colored fences with privacy fences, and --
```

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Uh-huh.

MR. WEISSINGER: -- they were ugly.

MR. JONES: Yeah.

MR. WEISSINGER: Looked like they'd been put in by, well, somebody -- from the way -- was kind of inebriated.

MR. JONES: I feel like that's -- I think without saying it -- and then I'm not going to -- I think everyhody knows which one that is. I think, mainly, you see that when you come 31-W. Some of those developments you start seeing how that looks, and you start thinking, okay, how can we kind of clean this look up.

Like, now, what I feel like I've done on, you know, the chain-link fences, mandate which one so it doesn't have fifteen different colors, outbuildings, things likes that. You know, there's not much of a different way that we can clean that up, you know, other than, I feel like, what we've done.

I'm all -- I'm all for taking, you know, suggestions, if somebody, you know, says that, hey, you ought to do this, too. If it helps clean it up, I'm all for it.

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

MR. MAYEUR: Yeah. I'm --

MR. JONES. -- but after that --

MR. MAYEUR: I'm following you.

MR. JONES: -- I'm not --

MR. MAYEUR: Yeah. I --

MR. JONES: Yeah.

MR. MAYEUR: I live in a subdivision

where that just happened -- is why I'm asking and --

MR. JONES: Yes.

MR. MAYEUR: -- I was told there is really nothing you can do, because it's -- we can't

really nothing you can do, because it's -- we can'

enforce it --

MR. JONES: Right.

MR. MAYEUR: -- as a planning and zoning commission. We can't enforce if you don't have an

outbuilding that matches the exterior of your house.

MR. JONES: Right.

MR. MAYEUR: That just happened, so I

20 want to know how --

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: With their --

MR. MAYEUR: -- how we can enforce this.

I mean, I --

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: If you have a -MR. MAYEUR: -- if you've done everything

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

```
you can ask him to do, but at what -- some point, it's
1
     going to be outside of his control; right?
2
                    MR. LINK: It's the H.O.A. --
3
                    MADAM CHAIRPERSON: It's the H.O.A.'s --
                    MR. LINK: -- that's the magic word.
5
                    MADAM CHAIRPERSON: -- responsibility.
6
                    MR. MAYEUR: Yeah. So how do we --
                    MR. LINK: You all don't have an H.O.A.
8
     in --
Q
                    MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Have it?
10
                    MR. LINK: -- in --
11
                    MR. MAYEUR: No. No, we don't.
12
                    MADAM CHAIRPERSON: No. If you --
13
                    MR. MAYEUR: Well, that's what I'm trying
14
     to protect against, is how -- how these -- or how do we
15
     make sure the H.O.A. does their job, then?
16
17
                    MR LINK: We don't.
                    MR. MAYEUR: So who does?
18
                    So if they decide I don't want, like,
19
     anybody to --
20
                    MR. LINK: Anybody that owns in that
21
      subdivision can raise H-E double L over --
22
                    MR. MAYEUR: Yeah.
23
                    MR. LINK: -- the H.O.A. not doing what
24
25
     it's supposed to do.
```

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
MR. TYLER: I have no further questions.
              MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, very much.
              Everyone else?
              Okay. At this point, I am prepared to
take a motion for a zone change.
              And I will go on the record saying I
ditto very much what some of you all said in regards to
R1 and R1-S, although, that I do believe that this
particular organization will show great integrity and
that they will follow through on what they have
presented here this evening. And I do believe that as
we go down the pike, that we'll be able to work with the
Jones' organization in keeping this in a way and manner
which will --
               MR. TYLER: May I say something?
               MADAM CHAIRPERSON: -- be pleasing.
               Yes. You may.
               MR. TYLER: I'd just like to say that I
think it's in Mr. Jones D.N.A. to make a nice place, but
I think that sometimes you have to have a higher vision.
And with the way, you know, the population's increasing
and -- there certainly is room for R1 and for bigger,
nicer homes on bigger properties that would sell. And
we certainly have enough R1-S.
```

```
MR. MAYEUR: Yeah.
1
                    MR. LINK: They can fire them. They can
2
     take over. I mean, you're always going to have a bunch
3
     neighbors raising Cain --
                    MR. MAYEUR: Yep.
5
                    MR. LINK: -- about something. So you
6
     really don't have to worry too much about an H.O.A.,
7
     because they're going -- there's --
8
                    MADAM CHAIRPERSON: They'll --
9
                    MR. LINK: -- four or five Karen's that
10
     are going to make trouble for that H.O.A.
11
                    MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Okay, guys. Do we
12
     have any more questions for Mr. Josh?
13
                    Thank you, Josh. Thank you for your --
14
     your thoroughness and your consideration.
15
                    Okay. Is there anyone else here that
16
     would like to speak positively for this zone change?
17
                     Is there anyone in our mist that would
18
     like to speak against a zone change?
19
                    Okay. Thank you, very much.
20
21
                     Okay. Mr. Cummins, you may approach.
                     Thank you, Mr. Cummins. Okay.
22
                     Before we move to the next part of this,
23
     does the board have any further questions?
24
                     Have you been satisfied, Mr. Tyler?
25
```

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

```
you have to look at the future, and if property values -- which I think on a lot of these will fall,
```

1

2

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

which will lead to other problems. So -
MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr. Tyler.

And I appreciate your comment and respect your comments

a great deal. Thank you, very much.

Okay. I'm prepared to take a motion for

for or against the zone change to an R1-S.

MR. MYLOR: I'll make a motion to change the zone from AG to R1-S located at the south side of Righway 100, between Rapids Road and Exit 6 for the thirty-four-point-two-nine acre parcel that's been spoken of and to adopt the Finding of Facts presented by Mr. Cummings.

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

Mr. Mylor has made a motion that we do make a zone change from A.G. to R1-S located south side of Highway 100 for Josh Jones and Jones Builders and, also, to accept the Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law.

Is there a second?

MR. SLIGER: Second.

MADAM CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Sliger has

seconded that motion.

We still have room for one more

12

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730

So I would just state that at some point

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730 STATE OF KENTUCKY)
)SS
COUNTY OF WARREN)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I, April Pearson, C.C.R., a Notary Public within and for the State at Large, do hereby certify that the foregoing Franklin-Simpson Planning and Zoning public hearing was taken before me at the time and place and for the purpose in the caption stated; that the public hearing was reduced to shorthand writing by me in the presence of the individuals; that the foregoing is a full, true and correct transcript so given to the best of my ability, and the appearances were as stated in the caption.

I further certify that I am neither of counsel nor of kin to either of the parties to this action, and am in no wise interested in the outcome of said action.

WITNESS MY SIGNATURE this 30th day of September, 2021. My commission expires October 28, 2022.

April Pearson, CCR Notary Public State at Large, Kentucky

PEARSON COURT REPORTING (270) 781-7730