It is expected that a Quorum of the Personnel Committee, Board of Public Works, and Common Council will be attending this meeting: (although it is not expected that any official action of any of those bodies will be taken)

# CITY OF MENASHA BOARD OF APPEALS

1. Join the meeting from your computer, tablet or smartphone.

https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/817859317

2. Dial in using your phone.

United States (Toll Free): 1 877 568 4106
United States: +1 (646) 749-3129
Access Code: 817-859-317
Audio PIN: when prompted just hit #

Thursday, November 12, 2020 1:00 PM AGENDA

- A. CALL TO ORDER
- B. ROLL CALL
- C. PUBLIC HEARING
  - 1. Request of Request of Cindy Pietrich, 801 London Street, Menasha the applicant is requesting a front home addition
- D. MINUTES TO APPROVE
  - 1. Board of Appeals, 5/29/19
- E. ACTION ITEMS
  - 1. Request of Cindy Pietrich, 801 London Street, Menasha the applicant is requesting a front home addition.
- F. ADJOURNMENT

<sup>&</sup>quot;Menasha is committed to its diverse population. Our Non-English speaking population and those with disabilities are invited to contact the Menasha City Clerk at 967-3603 24-hours in advance of the meeting for the City to arrange special accommodations."

# City of Menasha Board of Zoning Appeals Public Hearing

A Public Hearing will be held by the Board of Zoning Appeals on November 12, 2020 at 1:00 p.m. in Room 133 Menasha City Center, 100 Main Street, Menasha, WI to hear the request of:

Cindy Pietrich, 801 London Street, Menasha – the applicant is requesting a front home addition.

Absent a variance the front home addition would not be allowed in an R-1 Single Family Residence District which requires a minimum front yard setback of sixteen (16) feet. The addition, encroaches upon the required front yard setback by six (6) feet.

All interested persons objecting to or supporting this appeal are requested to be present. Written comments may be considered by the Board.

Haley Krautkramer City Clerk

Publish: November 5, 2020

# CITY OF MENASHA BOARD OF APPEALS 100 Main Street, Menasha May 29, 2019 MINUTES

#### A. CALL TO ORDER

Meeting called to order by Chairman Ellis at 12:30 p.m.

#### B. ROLL CALL

PRESENT: Commissioner Ryan Ellis, James Koslowski, Joanne Roush

**ABSENT: Commissioner Antione Tines** 

ALSO PRESENT: AP Stephenson, CDC Heim, Clerk Galeazzi, Jerry Dold,

Kim Vanderhyden, Mark Mayer, John Raasch.

#### C. PUBLIC HEARING

1. Request of Jerry Dold to allow a proposed addition to the home at 342 Park Street that will encroach upon the required side yard setback.

Public comments were taken throughout the meeting.

#### D. MINUTES TO APPROVE

1. Board of Appeals, 4/5/19.

Moved by Comm. Ellis seconded by Comm. Roush to approve minutes.

Motion carried on voice vote.

#### E. ACTION ITEMS

1. Request of Jerry Dold to allow a proposed addition to the home at 342 Park Street that will encroach upon the required side yard setback

CDC Heim explained Mr. Dold does not meet the requirements to grant a variance. She stated staff's analysis for requirement of a variance:

- The applicant has not demonstrated a hardship since the proposed addition could be modified to accommodate the setback requirement. The parcel is larger than the average City lot.
- There are no unique characteristics to the property. The home and yard layout are not exclusive to this property. The site presents no uniqueness and no site elements that diminish the property rights of the owner.
- The proposed addition will increase the value of the property, however the applicant has stated the main reason for the addition is to allow for an elderly parent to live with them.
- Allowing a building to be placed within established setbacks may have negative impacts to the surrounding properties and the neighborhood.
- Allowing variances to these established standards undermines the objective of the zoning code in place.

Kim Vanderhyden, 334 Park Street, Menasha; neighbor to Mr. Dold. Mr. Vanderhyden stated other neighbors have encroached into the setback. Surveys of the surrounding lots have been done and not all lots are the same. He has no objection to allowing the encroachment of the six inches.

AP Stephenson stated surveys are done to establish the legal lot lines.

Jerry Dold, 342 Park Street, Menasha. He explained the request to add an additional bedroom and bathroom to the first floor in anticipation of elderly parents moving in. It was discovered after the architectural plans were set that the proposed addition would encroach the lot setback by six inches. He is asking for a variance since the encroachment is not impacting the neighborhood. He feels the addition to his home will increase his property value and improve the neighborhood.

General discussion ensued on error with measurement by architect, lot is unique to the neighborhood, encroachment is not detrimental to the neighborhood, and other options to reduce proposed addition by six inches.

Moved by Comm. Roush seconded by Comm. Ellis to grant the variance to allow an addition to be built onto the house located at 342 Park Street that will encroach into the north side yard setback by six inches.

Motion carried on roll call 2-1.

Comm. Ellis & Roush voted yes. Comm. Koslowski voted no.

2. Request of Bethel Lutheran Church to allow an Electronic Message Center (EMC) at 829 Appleton Road, Menasha. (Postponed from April 4, 2019)

Chairman Ellis stated with recent changes to the City Code regarding electronic message centers the Board of Appeals can act on the request of Bethel Lutheran Church to allow an electronic message center at 829 Appleton Road or the applicant can withdraw their request.

AP Stephenson stated staff would like to see action by the Board to deny the request for a variance from the applicant.

Moved by Comm. Ellis seconded by Comm. Koslowski to deny the request for a variance by Bethel Lutheran Church to allow an Electronic Message Center at 829 Appleton Road, Menasha.

Motion carried on roll call 3-0.

## F. ADJOURNMENT

Moved by Comm. Roush seconded by Comm. Ellis to adjourn at 1:10 p.m. Motion carried on voice vote.

Deborah A. Galeazzi, WCMC City Clerk



To: Board of Appeals

From: Joe Stephenson, Principal Planner

Date: October 5<sup>th</sup>, 2020

RE: Variance Request for Cindy Petrich, 801 London Street Menasha

#### **OVERVIEW**

Property Address: 801 London Street

**Property Owner:** Cindy Petrich

Applicant: Cindy Petrich, 801 London Street

**Property Zoning:** R-1 – Single Family Residence District.

#### Surrounding Zoning:

North: R-1 – Single Family Residence District South: R-1 – Single Family Residence District East: R-1 – Single Family Residence District West: R-1 – Single Family Residence District

**Variance Requested:** Section 13-1-25 (f)(2) of the City of Menasha Code of ordinances states "Minimum front yard – twenty five feet." The applicant is requesting allowance to place an addition, also known as a covered porch, in the front yard setback.

**Reason for Variance:** To allow an unpermitted house addition.

#### **REGULATIONS FOR GRANTING A VARIANCE**

Per Section 13-1-153(d), the Board of Appeals must find the following five items to be true prior to granting a variance:

- 1) Hardship to the Property Owner due to Physiographical Considerations
- 2) Unique Property Conditions
- 3) Not an Exclusive Desire to Increase Property Value or Income
- 4) Will not be Detrimental to the Neighborhood
- 5) Will not Undermine the Spirit of the Zoning Code

#### **ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE REQUEST**

Below is staff's analysis of each of the five required items per Section 13-1-153(d) as they pertain to the variance requested by Cindy Petrich

#### 1. Hardship to the Property Owner due to Physiographical Considerations

SEC 13-1-153(D)1: "Denial of variation may result in hardship to the property owner due to physiographical consideration. There must be exceptional, extraordinary or unusual circumstances or conditions applying to the lot or parcel, structure, use or intended use that do not apply generally to other properties or uses in the same district and the granting of the variance would not be of so general or recurrent nature as to suggest that the Zoning Code should be changed."

ANALYSIS: This property is used as a single family residence. There is an ADA ramp leading into a covered porch area. The applicant is allowed to have an ADA ramp and does not need a permit to construct one but to construct a covered porch and deck space would require a permit. The covered porch was constructed without building inspection and zoning reviews. During those reviews, the proper education to the application would have occurred that would have provided viable solutions other than constructing the covered porch.

If the applicant does need a covered space for her son to sit outside, there are options to do this without needing a variance or breaking any zoning codes.

In addition, what is being reviewed today is not the existing structure. The existing structure does not meet building codes and will likely need to be torn down. The variance today would apply to building a new covered porch (addition) in the footprint of the existing one.

Absent a variance, the applicant has the ability to construct a covered seating. Based on this information, it is staff's opinion a hardship, as defined, is unfounded.

#### 2. Unique Property Conditions

SEC 13-1-153(D)2: The conditions upon which a petition for a variation is based are unique to the property for which variation is being sought and that such variance is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights possessed by other properties in the same district and same vicinity.

ANALYSIS: the property is a corner lot in an R-1 district and does not present any abnormalities. ADA ramps are also very common in the city and are not unique to just this property.

It is staff's opinion that the site presents no uniqueness and no site elements that diminish the property rights of the owner.

#### 3. Not an Exclusive Desire to Increase Property Value or Income

SEC 13-1-153(D)3: The purpose of the variation is not based exclusively upon a desire to increase the value or income potential of the property.

ANALYSIS: The application states that there are no intentions to use the addition to increase the value of the property.

#### 4. Will Not be Detrimental to the Neighborhood

SEC 13-1-153(D)4: The granting of the variation will not be detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the other property or improvements in the neighborhood in which the property is located.

ANALYSIS: The Zoning Ordinance does not allow property owners to build within the respective setbacks of the zoning district. This is to ensure that adequate green space and a pleasing aesthetic environment is maintained throughout the district. Allowing buildings to be placed within established setbacks may have negative impacts to the surrounding properties and the neighborhood as a whole.

#### 5. Will not Undermine the Spirit of the Zoning Code

SEC 13-1-153(D)5: The proposed variation will not undermine the spirit and general and specific purposes of the Zoning Code.

ANALYSIS: The purpose the Zoning Code is to ensure that all properties adhere to the established zoning standards for all new construction. Allowing variances to these established standards undermines the objectives of the zoning code in place.

## **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

Staff recommends the variance to allow the addition to encroach into the front yard setback, as requested, be denied by the Board of Appeals. Per the analysis presented above, staff deems that the requirements for granting a variance have not been satisfied. It should also be noted that each variance request brought before the Board of Appeals should be heard on its own merit and the decision to approve or deny the request must be decided on the case presented.





# City of Menasha Application **Appeal or Variance**

SUBMIT TO: City of Menasha Dept. of Com. Development 100 Main Street, Sulte 200 Menasha. WI 54952-3190 PHONE: (920) 967-3650

Staff \_\_\_\_\_ Date Rec'd \_\_\_\_\_

A TREAT TO	ግ ል ኤየሞ	INFORM	AATTONI
APPLIC	ANI	TUBERS	<b>78 I II IN</b>

Petitioner: Cincly P	etrich				Date: 08/20/2020
Petitioner's Address: 801	London St	City: M	<u>enasha</u> s	tate: WI	Zip: <u>54952</u>
Telephone #: (920) <u>205-032</u>	l₀Fax: ( )	Other Con	ntact # or Email:	cpetric	h40@gmail.com
Status of Petitioner (Please Circle):	Owner Represe	ntative Tenant	Prospective Buy	/er	
Petitioner's Signature (required): _	Cindez	M. Petrick		Date: _	08/20/2020
OWNER INFORMATION					
Owner(s): Cindy P.	etrich			Date: <u>(</u>	08/20/2020
Owner(s) Address 801	hondon St	City: <u>M</u>	enasha s	tate: WI	_Zip: <u>54952</u>
Telephone #: (920) <u>205-03</u> 3	<b>b</b> Fax: ( )	Other Co	ntact # or Email: 🗸	petrick	40@ grail com
Ownership Status (Please Circle):		rust Partnership	Corporation	•	9
Property Owner Consent: (requi By signature hereon, I/We acknow the property to inspect or gather out tentative and may be postponed by Property Owner's Signature:A APPEAL OR VARIANCE IN	ledge that City officials her information necessar the Community Develo	ry to process this applic pment Dept. for incom	ation. I also under plete submissions o	stand that all m or other adminis	eeting dates are
Address/Location of Appeal/Var					
Tax Parcel Number(s):					
Reason for Appeal/Variance Reque	:st:				
Zoning Adjacent to the Site:	North:	Marie Control			
	South:				
	East:				
	West:		*		

# City of Menasha Variance Application Questionnaire

Applicant: Cindy Petrich Site Address: 801 London St.
Menasha Ordinance 13-1-53(d) provides that the Board of Appeals shall evaluate a variance request based on the foregoing criteria. Before granting such a request, the Board must find that all of the criteria enumerated apply to the variance requested. Please address each statement; use additional sheets if necessary.
Describe the hardship to the property owner that would result if the variance were not granted and the exceptional, extraordinary, or unusual circumstance or conditions applying to the lot or parcel, structure, use, or intended use that do not apply generally to other properties or uses in the same district:  Hardship - 1055 of Money I invested to have it built in 2014 to have it redone. I called and asked I did not need to permit to build ramptoleck the intended use is  For my special needs son specifically to be in a Safe outdoor space out of the our tweather, also used to be suffered while entering texiting the house
Explain why the conditions upon which the petition for the variance is based are unique to the property and necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights possessed by other properties in the same district and same vicinity:  Necessary for son to use in inclined whather condition the deck is his only means of entertainment.  The enjoys sitting out there to watch people traffic he is unable to an into people spaces night most due to the Court of it is his only safe space he can enjoy pertainly of the house, without there is no place for him to sit ruthers without being lapposed to the weather, needs the roof to protect him from the sun because of his meals he burns easily
Provide evidence demonstrating that the purpose of the variance is not based exclusively upon a desire to increase the value or income potential of the property:  I'm not looking to increase property value, if anything were to happen to my Son it would be removed at that time. Not useing it for my personal gains, I do not plan on Selling property at anytime Sount Up I did it would be removed prior to Selling

Describe how the granting of the variance will not be detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the other property or improvements in the neighborhood in which the property is located:  Not detrimental to meighbors, we're had it up Sence  2014, he just Sits there & doesn't bother anyone.  No accidents have occurred because of it it does  met block the view of traffer on either Street or  Sidowalks, & as for as I know no one has  complained about namp/deck being there
Explain why the proposed variance will not undermine the spirit and general or specific purposes of the zoning code:  We've had Ramp / dech Sunce 2014 was told I was able to have It built without a permit  I've had an addition put on in 2016-17 if there was cen issue where wasn't informed of it then building inspertion was an property sweet Surral tenes during that construction, I would have had it taken care of them.
Please submit a site plan showing an accurate depiction of the property and any other relevant or required documents.
I certify that all information provided is to the best of my knowledge accurate and true.
Property Owner: Civoly M. Petrich Date: 08/20/2020

