AGENDA REGULAR MEETING – VILLAGE PRESIDENT & BOARD OF TRUSTEES VILLAGE OF BURR RIDGE

NOVEMBER 28, 2016 7:00 p.m.

- 1. CALL TO ORDER/PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE Ellie Mason, Pleasantdale Elementary School
- 2. ROLL CALL

3. **RESIDENTS COMMENTS**

4. CONSENT AGENDA – OMNIBUS VOTE

All items listed with an asterisk (*) are considered routine by the Village Board and will be enacted by one motion. There will be no separate discussion of these items unless a Board member or citizen so request, in which event the item will be removed from the Consent Agenda.

5. MINUTES

- *A. <u>Approval of Regular Board Meeting of November 14, 2016</u>
- *B. <u>Receive and File Draft Economic Development Committee Meeting of</u> <u>November 15, 2016</u>
- *C. Receive and File Draft Plan Commission Meeting of November 21, 2016

6. ORDINANCES

7. **RESOLUTIONS**

- *A. Adoption of Resolution Approving and Authorizing the Execution of an Intergovernmental Agreement By and Between the County of Dupage, on Behalf of its Emergency Telephone System Board, and the Village of Burr Ridge for Reimbursement of Fees
- *B. Adoption of Resolution Regarding Construction on State Highways

8. CONSIDERATIONS

A. <u>Consideration of Plan Commission Recommendation to Deny a Request for</u> Variations from the Zoning Ordinance to Permit the Construction of a Driveway Gate on a Lot of 14,600 Square Feet in Area rather than the Required 2 Acres and with the Gates Located 3 Feet from the Front Lot Line rather than the Required Setback of 30 Feet (V-06-2016: 7383 Madison Street - Gofis)

- *B. <u>Approval of Plan Commission Recommendation for Special Use Approval as</u> per the Zoning Ordinance to Permit Outside, Overnight Storage of Five (5) <u>Delivery/Service Vehicles (Z-14-2016: 7936 Madison Street - Keefer)</u>
- *C. <u>Approval of Plan Commission Recommendation to Approve an Amendment to</u> <u>the Zoning Ordinance relative to Permitted Accessory Structures for</u> <u>Residential Properties and in particular, Regulations for Architectural Entrance</u> <u>Structures, Walls and Masonry Piers (Z-13-2016)</u>
- *D. <u>Approval of Recommendation to Approve Agreement Regulating Video</u> <u>Surveillance Cameras for the Neighborhood Video Surveillance Program –</u> <u>Burr Ridge Meadows Subdivision</u>
- *E. <u>Approval of Vendor List in the Amount of \$172,225.84 for all Funds, plus</u> <u>\$201,842.66 for payroll, for a grand total of \$364,068.50, which includes a</u> <u>Special Expenditure of \$51,931.23 to U S Bank for Debt crt series 2012/int-</u> <u>Dec' 16</u>
- F. <u>Other Considerations</u> For Announcement, Deliberation and/or Discussion only – No Official Action will be Taken

9. **RESIDENTS COMMENTS**

10. REPORTS AND COMMUNICATIONS FROM VILLAGE OFFICIALS

- 11. NON-RESIDENTS COMMENTS
- 12. ADJOURNMENT

- **TO:** Village President and Board of Trustees
- **FROM:** Village Administrator Steve Stricker and Staff
- **SUBJECT:** Regular Meeting of November 28, 2016
- DATE: November 23, 2016

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Ellie Mason, Pleasantdale Elementary School

6. ORDINANCES

7. **RESOLUTIONS**

A. IGA with DuPage ETSB for Reimbursement of Fees

In an effort to facilitate the consolidation of 9-1-1 services, transfer and decommission of Public Safety Answering Points (PSAP's) in DuPage County, the DuPage County Emergency Telephone System Board (ETSB) adopted a policy to reimburse member agencies for the costs associated with migration to consolidated PSAP's. Now that the Village of Burr Ridge is a member of the DuPage County ETSB, certain costs associated with the Village's move from Southwest Central Dispatch to DUCOMM for 9-1-1 services are reimbursable by the DuPage ETSB. Enclosed is a Resolution authorizing an Intergovernmental Agreement with the DuPage County ETSB for reimbursement of the DUCOMM administrative fee (\$8,118) and the DUCOMM agency reserve contribution fee (\$70,622), which must be submitted to the DuPage ETSB along with the signed Agreement. Both fees were paid to DUCOMM in FY15-16.

It is our recommendation: that the Resolution be adopted.

B. <u>Construction on State Highways</u>

Chapter 121 of the Illinois Revised Statutes requires that any person, firm or corporation desiring to work on State-maintained rights-of-way (including sewer and water repairs) must first obtain a written permit from the Illinois Department of Transportation. In order to expedite the issuance of permits to the Village of Burr Ridge during the next two calendar years, authorization of the attached Resolution is recommended, in accordance with the attached notice from IDOT. This Resolution does not constitute a blanket permit for work in the State system; however it does expedite the process and is accepted in lieu of a surety bond from the Village. This Resolution is for Village forces only and does not relieve Village contractors from normal bonding requirements nor from obtaining separate permits.

It is our recommendation:

8. CONSIDERATIONS

A. <u>Plan Commission Recommendation – Deny Variations (Gofis)</u>

Please find attached a letter from the Zoning Board of Appeals (a.k.a. Plan Commission) recommending denial of a request by Efy and Andrew Gofis for variations from the Zoning Ordinance to permit the construction of a driveway gate on a lot of 14,600 square feet in area rather than the required 2 acres and with the gates located 3 feet from the front lot line rather than the required setback of 30 feet. The property is located at 7383 Madison Street.

The petitioner based his request for a variation on the needs of his child with autism and the location of the property on a busy street, next to industrial and commercial development. Mr. Gofis stated that the child does not react to instruction well and is easily distracted. He said the gate would provide additional protection for the child. Mr. Gofis testified that vehicles turn around in his driveway and drive in excess of 40 miles per hour on Madison Street. Mr. Gofis stated that autism is a protected disability under the federal government's Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), which requires local governments to make reasonable accommodations for persons with a disability. The Village Attorney has confirmed that autism is a protected disability under the ADA.

The Village Attorney provided a written memorandum (see attached) and verbally instructed the Zoning Board of Appeals that they should limit their review to the zoning standards. Village Attorney Michael Marrs said that only the Board of Trustees should consider the additional legal issue of whether the variation is a reasonable accommodation under the ADA.

Although some of the Zoning Board members indicated an understanding of the desire for a gate, the Commission unanimously agreed that the gate could not be justified based strictly on the zoning standards. The Zoning Board agreed that the busy street and area industrial properties were not a unique condition that created a hardship as required by the standards for a zoning variation.

In regards to the Board of Trustees responsibility under the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Village Attorney's memo states that local governments can be required to make reasonable modifications to a regulation to prevent said regulation from adversely impacting a person with a disability. The Village Attorney's memo further explains that if the Board of Trustees can demonstrate that the modification requested would "fundamentally alter the nature of its service, program, or activity, it is not required to make the modification."

Please also note that since the Zoning Board of Appeals voted to deny this variation, it will take four Trustees to overturn the Zoning Board's recommendation and approve the variation.

As the agenda packet was being prepared, staff received a call from the petitioner asking that the Board consideration be tabled to December 12, 2016.

It is our recommendation: that the Board table this consideration to the December 12, 2016 meeting.

B. Plan Commission Recommendation – Special Use (Keefer)

Please find attached a letter from the Plan Commission recommending approval of a request by Karl Keefer, c/o Laundry Services Company, Inc. for special use approval, as per the Zoning Ordinance, to permit outside, overnight storage of five (5) delivery/service vehicles. The petitioner seeks to open an industrial laundry business at 7936 Madison Street. The business is a permitted use at this location.

The vehicles would be delivery vans, there will be no more than five vehicles parked outside overnight and the vehicles will be kept behind the building at night. There was no public testimony for this petition.

Based on the limited number and size of the vehicles and the location of the parked vehicles behind the building out of sight of the adjacent residential properties, the Plan Commission believes this request is consistent with the Zoning Ordinance and past special use approvals.

It is our recommendation: that the Board concur with the Plan Commission and direct staff to prepare an Ordinance approving the special use.

C. <u>Plan Commission Recommendation – Amend Zoning Ordinance</u>

Please find attached a letter from the Plan Commission recommending an amendment to the Zoning Ordinance relative to permitted accessory structures for residential properties and, in particular, regulations for architectural entrance structures, walls and masonry piers. Village staff brought this amendment to the Plan Commission based on recent code enforcement cases where these types of structures were built without permits.

The amendments permit architectural entrance structures on lots of 40,000 square feet (currently only allowed on lots of 70,000 square feet or more) and better define these types of structures. The amendments relative to driveway walls permit 24-inch high driveway walls in a front yard, provided they are at least 20 feet from the front lot line, and permit 36-inch monuments at the ends of the walls.

It is our recommendation: that the Board concur with the Plan Commission and direct staff to prepare an Ordinance approving the amendments.

D. <u>Video Surveillance Cameras – Burr Ridge Meadows Subdivision</u>

The Burr Ridge Meadows Homeowners Association has decided to participate in the Village's Neighborhood Video Surveillance Program. Their HOA has approved the attached Agreement Regulating Video Surveillance Cameras. Burr Ridge Meadows will be the 8th subdivision to take advantage of the program. They are investing \$21,658 in a 6 camera system.

It is our recommendation: that the request from the Burr Ridge Meadows Homeowners' Association to install cameras at the entrances to their subdivision be approved and that the Mayor be authorized to sign an agreement memorializing the approval of this request.

F. Vendor List

Enclosed is the Vendor List in the Amount of \$172,225.84 for all Funds, plus \$201,842.66 for payroll, for a grand total of \$364,068.50, which includes a Special Expenditure of \$51,931.23 to U S Bank for Debt crt series 2012/int-Dec' 16.

It is our recommendation: that the Vendor List be approved.

REGULAR MEETING PRESIDENT AND BOARD OF TRUSTEES VILLAGE OF BURR RIDGE

November 14, 2016

<u>CALL TO ORDER</u> The Regular Meeting of the President and Board of Trustees of November 14, 2016 was held in the Meeting Room of the Village Hall, 7660 County Line Road, Burr Ridge, Illinois and called to order at 7:00 p.m. by President Straub

<u>PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE</u> The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Lauren Doppke from Elm School.

<u>ROLL CALL</u> was taken by the Village Clerk and the results denoted the following present: Trustees Franzese, Paveza, Bolos and Mayor Straub. Absent was Trustees Grasso, Schiappa and Murphy (arrived at 7:09). Also present were Village Administrator Steven Stricker, Public Works Director David Preissig, Community Development Director Doug Pollock, Police Chief John Madden and Village Clerk Karen Thomas.

POLICE DEPARTMENT COMMENDATION SERGEANT JERRY KARCESKI

Police Chief John Madden present Sergeant Jerry Karceski with a Police Department Commendation. Chief Madden said on July 18, 2016, at 3:00 AM, Sergeant Jerry Karceski observed a vehicle that was parked with its right turn signal activated at the dead end of the 10S400 block of County Line Rd in unincorporated DuPage County. Sergeant Karceski took the initiative to investigate this suspicious vehicle, at which time he observed an adult male lying face down in the grass next to the open driver's door of the vehicle.

Sergeant Karceski checked for vital signs and found the male to be unresponsive with shallow breathing and a faint pulse. Due to the symptoms exhibited by the male, Sergeant Karceski believed the male was suffering from a heroin overdose. The male was administered one dose of Naloxone. Shortly after administering the dose of Naloxone, the victim responded, but regressed to a state of unresponsiveness. Tri-State Paramedics arrived on scene and administered a second dose of Naloxone. Tri-State Paramedics transported the victim to Hinsdale Hospital for further treatment.

The 26-year-old male victim ultimately survived and made a full recovery. If it were not for the self-initiated actions taken by Sergeant Karceski, the 26-year-old victim's life may have reached a tragic end. Chief Madden will present Sergeant Karceski with a Department Commendation.

<u>RESIDENT COMMENTS</u> Tom White, resident of Carriage Way Subdivision, said the Police Pension Board is not the only fiduciary when it comes to the Police Pension. This Village Board and the Village Administration which administers the budget, at the pleasure of this Board, also has a fiduciary responsibility to the Police Pension.

Each year the officers provide their full contributions to the Police Pension fund. It is the Village's responsibilities not only to pay the officer's salaries, but also to provide the Village's portion of the cost of the officers' fringe benefit package, with includes employer pension contributions.

For the last 23 years, starting in 1993, and reaffirmed again in 2011, this Village decided to fund at the State minimum which is on a pay less now, pay more later basis. In fact, for many of those years the Village's police pension contributions did not even meet the State minimum.

Mr. White said prudent planning requires pay as you go funding for all Village expenses, and the police pension should not be an exception. The Village Administration has and continues to spin the facts regarding pension funding. What is needed is a commitment to long term pay as you go funding, the longer we wait, the more painful the remedy. Minimally, we need to start funding at the Police Pension Board Actuary's recommendation, which includes the cost of benefits accrued in the current year and amortization of the unfunded actuarial liability.

<u>CONSENT AGENDA – OMNIBUS VOTE</u> After reading the Consent Agenda by President Straub, <u>motion</u> was made by Trustee Franzese and seconded by Trustee Murphy that the Consent Agenda – Omnibus Vote (attached as Exhibit A) and the recommendations indicated for each respective item, be hereby approved.

On Roll Call, Vote Was: AYES: 4 – Trustees Franzese, Murphy, Paveza and Bolos NAYS: 0 – None ABSENT: 2 – Trustees Grasso and Schiappa There being four affirmative votes, the motion carried.

<u>APPROVAL OF REGULAR BOARD MEETING OCTOBER 24, 2016</u> were approved for publication under the Consent Agenda by Omnibus Vote.

RECEIVE AND FILE VETERANS MEMORIAL COMMITTEE MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 28, 2016 were noted as received and filed under the Consent Agenda by Omnibus Vote.

RECEIVE AND FILE DRAFT PERSONNEL COMMITTEE MEETING OF OCTOBER 24, 2016 were noted as received and filed under the Consent Agenda by Omnibus Vote.

RECEIVE AND FILE DRAFT STORMWATER MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE MEETING OF NOVEMBER 1, 2016 were noted as received and filed under the Consent Agenda by Omnibus Vote.

APPROVAL OF AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION VIII.C.2 OF THE BURR RIDGE ZONING ORDINANCE TO ADD GOLF SIMULATION FACILITY WITH SALES

OF ALCOHOLIC AND NON-ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES AND PRE-PACKAGED FOOD AND SNACKS TO THE LIST OF SPECIAL USES IN THE B-2 BUSINESS DISTRICT Z-11-2016: TEXT AMENDMENT B-2 DISTRICT (PORTILLO/SZCZODRY) The Board, under the Consent Agenda by Omnibus Vote, approved the Ordinance.

THIS IS ORDINANCE NO. A-834-23-16

APPROVAL OF AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE VILLAGE CENTER PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE #A-834-10-05 TO ADD GOLF SIMULATION FACILITY WITH SALES OF ALCOHOLIC AND NON-ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES AND PRE-PACKAGED FOOD AND SNACKS TO THE LIST OF SPECIAL USES IN THE B-2 BUSINESS DISTRICT Z-11-2016: TEXT AMENDMENT VILLAGE CENTER PUD (PORTILLO/SZCZODRY) The Board, under the Consent Agenda by Omnibus Vote, approved the Ordinance.

THIS IS ORDINANCE NO. A-834-24-16

APPROVAL OF AN ORDINANCE GRANTING A SPECIAL USE PURSUANT TO THE BURR RIDGE ZONING ORDINANCE TO PERMIT A GOLF SIMULATION FACILITY WITH SALES OF ALCOHOLIC AND NON-ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES AND PRE-PACKAGED FOOD AND SNACKS (Z-11-2016: 440 VILLAGE CENTER DRIVE – PORTILLO/SZCZODRY) The Board, under the Consent Agenda by Omnibus Vote, approved the Ordinance.

THIS IS ORDINANCE NO. A-834-25-16

ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS SITE LEASE WATER TOWER – 7101 GARFIELD AVENUE (MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC.) The Board, under the Consent Agenda by Omnibus Vote, adopted the Resolution.

THIS IS RESOLUTION NO. R-28-16

APPROVAL OF RECOMMENDATION TO AWARD CONTRACT FOR HEATING, VENTILATION, AND AIR CONDITIONING (HVAC) SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE AT VILLAGE FACILITIES The Board, under the Consent Agenda by Omnibus Vote, awarded the contract to Dynamic Heating & Piping, Crestwood, Illinois, in the amount of \$13,630.

APPROVALOFRECOMMENDATIONTOAMENDTHECONTRACTFORCONSTRUCTIONENGINEERINGSERVICESFORGARFIELDAVENUERESURFACING PROJECTThe Board, under the Consent Agenda by Omnibus Vote,
amendment the professional services contract for construction engineering services for the Garfield
Avenue LAFO Project to Engineering Resource Associates, Inc., Warrenville, Illinois, in the
amount of \$11,749 for a total contract cost not to exceed \$46,595.

<u>APPROVAL OF 2017 MEETING SCHEDULE</u> by Omnibus Vote, approved the 2017 Meeting Schedule. The Board, under the Consent Agenda

RECEIVE AND FILE RESIGNATION LETTER OF PATHWAY COMMISSIONER JOHN PACOCHA EFFECTIVE NOVEMBER 9, 2016 The Board, under the Consent Agenda

by Omnibus Vote, received and filed the letter of resignation.

APPROVAL OF VENDOR LIST IN THE AMOUNT OF \$471,225.99 FOR ALL FUNDS, PLUS \$451,603.72 FOR PAYROLL, FOR A GRAND TOTAL OF \$922,829.71, WHICH INCLUDES SPECIAL EXPENDITURES OF \$18,795.00 TO KRAMER TREE SPECIALISTS FOR FALL BRUSH REMOVAL; \$25,000.00 TO PRIME TIME MARKETING FOR RESTAURANT WEEK MARKETING The Board, under the Consent Agenda by Omnibus Vote, approved the Vendor List for the period ending November 14, 2016 in the amount of \$471,225.99 and payroll in the amount of \$451,603.72 for the period ending October 22, 2016 and November 5, 2016.

CONSIDERATION OF AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE BURR RIDGE MUNICIPAL CODE BY ADDING A NEW CHAPTER 11 ENTITLED "COMMERCIAL FILMING

PERMITS" Community Development Director Doug Pollock presented an Ordinance amending the Municipal Code to add regulations for commercial filming activities in the Village. He said in the last year the Village has been approached on a couple of occasions from entities that wish to do commercial filming in the Village. These requests have been regulated through the Police Department. In response to that, we thought it would be a good idea for the Board to adopt formal recommendations for issuing permits for commercial filming. The purpose would be to insure that the filming does not place an undue burden on Village services or interfere with the use and enjoyment of neighboring properties.

Mr. Pollock said commercial filming is defined as filming activities for the primary purpose of producing movies, television programs, commercials, training films, documentaries or similar products for distribution or broadcasting. Commercial filming does not include news media organizations for filming of current events where there is no product intended for distribution. Filming and photography completely indoors with no exterior activity or lighting is also excluded as well as filming and photography for personal use.

Mr. Pollock said the regulations would establish procedures for applying for a commercial filming permit which would be submitted to the Village Administrator or Police Chief. There would be at \$250 administrative fee, summary of all activities, schedule of activities, maps showing location of activities and other information that would allow the Village Staff to determine what Village resources would be necessary to assist and regulate the filming activity. Based on the schedule and application, the Village Staff would provide an estimate of direct costs to the Village for Police and Public Works time and a deposit equal to 125% of that estimated cost would be required. Those funds would be used to reimburse the Village for the direct expenses and to insure that the filming

activity is done in a correct manner. Unused funds would be returned at the end of the process.

He said that the Village does not have a lot of these requests but we want to be sure that when we do have a request the Village is prepared, expenses are covered and the potential impact on neighbors is mitigated.

Trustee Murphy said she is worried that \$250 would discourage them from coming to Burr Ridge. In answer to Trustee Murphy, Mr. Pollock said he thinks the fee is in line with what other communities are charging.

<u>Motion</u> was made by Trustee Bolos and seconded by Trustee Franzese that the Ordinance Amending the Burr Ridge Municipal Code by adding a New Chapter 11, entitled "Commercial Filming Permits"

On Roll Call, Vote Was:

AYES: 4 – Trustees Bolos, Franzese, Paveza and President Straub

NAYS: 1 – Trustee Murphy

ABSENT: 2 – Trustees Grasso and Schiappa

There being four affirmative votes, the motion carried.

THIS IS ORDINANCE NO. 1165

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSED 2016 TAX LEVY The Village Administrator said the proposed 2016 Tax Levy is \$1,187,218 based on a limiting rate of .1110. He continued that the total dollar change for 2016 is \$53,348 or 4.7% over the previous year, of which the Village will probably not receive the full amount. He said last year the Village received about \$25,000 less than anticipated. He said the EAV is projected to increase by 6% which encompasses a 2% increase in the value and a 4% increase in new construction. He said we know we will not get the 6% but we project high so we can get all the dollars we can under the tax cap.

The proposed 2016 Tax Levy is made up of three separate levies: the Corporate, Police Protection and the Police Pension. He said using the state minimum calculation, we determined that the Police Pension amount is \$780,713 and from there we figured the Corporate at \$243,903 and Police Protection at \$162,602. These figures will have to be adjusted further because ultimately we will not receive the full \$53,348. Whatever the Village does not receive will have to go toward the Pension Fund, so more dollars from the Corporate Fund will have to go to the Pension Fund to cover the cost of the Pension Fund. He said the percentage of the Tax Levy that goes to the Pension Fund has increased from 60.1% to 65.8% with corresponding decreases in the Corporate and Police Protection Levies.

He said for the 2016 Tax Levy, the estimated Limiting Rate has been calculated as .1110. This is arrived at by taking the 2015 actual tax extension and adding a .7% cost of living adjustment, then taking the 2015 actual EAV and adding the 2016 estimated increase in value.

The Village Administrator explained how this will impact a resident with a home valued at \$612,000 and an EAV of \$200,000, the increase in the taxes (at the full amount of \$53,348) would be \$1.69 for the DuPage County home and \$1.36 for a Cook County home. He continued that because the debt service levy has expired a home with an EAV of \$200,000 will have a savings of approximately \$97.84 in DuPage County and \$78.33 in Cook County. The Village Administrator pointed out that last year the Village's taxes were less than 3% of anyone's tax bill.

The Village Administrator introduced Jason Franken, of Foster & Foster, Actuary for the Police Pension Board. Mr. Franken presented the results of the actuarial valuation of the Police Pension Fund as of May 1, 2016. He said this report is done based on methodology and assumptions that they feel are most reasonable. He said the Illinois State Pension code says what the Village has to contribute each year to satisfy the statutory requirement and is based on back loaded methodology and is geared to having contributions that are three or four times higher, 15 years from now, than the current contribution. We were hired to develop a contribution pattern and liability that they think is more reasonable and is based on sounder actuarial assumptions and methods. He summarized the report saying that there is currently 46 members covered by the plan, including 26 actives. There is approximately \$15,500,000 assets and liability of about \$24,100,000. Based on that calculation, the unfunded liability increased from \$6,400,000 to \$7,900,000 this year. The reason for the large increase has to do with some of the changes we made, including a cost method change that is a budgeting mechanism for how you are going to contribute to your pension plan.

He continued there is a new set of rules for accounting purposes and that is set forth by GASB which is the entry/age normal cost method for the calculations. This cost method tries to spread the contributions more evenly over a course of a person's career, rather than have the contributions back loaded as the person reaches retirement.

Mr. Franken continued that their recommended tax levy amount is estimated at \$914,000 this year and an unfunded liability of \$7,900,000 and a funded ratio of 67.1%. He said this is based on methodology that they believe is sounder than funding on the statutory minimum basis.

In answer to Trustee Bolos, Mr. Franken said if the same contribution pattern continues from now until 2040, it is designed to get you to 90%. He said this pattern is designed to increase by about 5% per year, there are 24 years left till 2040, if 5% is compounded for 24 years you get 300%, the contribution in 2040 will be 300% of what it is today. What happens is that amount becomes more and more of a burden and they will change the 2040 date. He said 2040 is completely arbitrary and we need to get away from it because the pension plan is going to be around a lot longer than 2040. He said what he has recommended to many of his clients is a 15 year rolling amortization, he said

the contribution amount will cover the normal cost (the value of the pension benefits that are earned by the actives each year) plus all of the interest on the unfunded liability and some of the principal.

In answer to Trustee Paveza, Mr. Franken said the average funding ratio in the State of Illinois is in the upper 50's and Burr Ridge's is 67.1%. He said the best thing the Village could do is to fund more today in order to lower the long term obligation.

There was continued discussion.

<u>Motion</u> was made by Trustee Paveza and seconded by Trustee Murphy to accept the proposed Tax Levy for 2016 and directed Staff to prepare the necessary notice for a public hearing to be held on December 12, 2016.

On Roll Call, Vote Was: AYES: 4 – Trustees Paveza, Murphy, Franzese and Bolos NAYS: 0 – None ABSENT: 2 – Trustees Grasso and Schiappa There being four affirmative votes, the motion carried.

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS There were none.

<u>RESIDENT COMMENTS</u> Tom White had comments on Mr. Franken's presentation and some handouts for the Board regarding the funding ratio in other governments.

<u>REPORTS AND COMMUNICATIONS FROM VILLAGE OFFICIALS</u> President Straub thanked everyone that participated in the Veteran's day ceremony and Capri Express, The Great American Bagel and Kristen's Bakery for donating the food.

The Village Administrator announced that the Secretary of State, Mobile Drivers Services will be at the Village Hall on Wednesday, November 16 and Jingle Mingle is Saturday, November 19, 4:30 to 7:30 at the Village Center.

<u>NON-RESIDENTS COMMENTS</u> Dolores Cizek, former Trustee and former resident commented on the bidding policy for items over \$2,500, the membership in the Hinsdale Rotary Club, the \$177,000 spend on landscape maintenance, Board Salaries, and the Village Administrator's salary and benefits.

<u>ADJOURNMENT</u> <u>Motion</u> was made by Trustee Franzese and seconded by Trustee Paveza that the Regular Meeting of November 14, 2016 be adjourned to a Closed Session to discuss Approval of Closed Session Minutes of June 27, 2016 and Sale of Village-Owned Property.

On Roll Call, Vote Was:

AYES: 4 – Trustees Franzese, Paveza, Bolos and Murphy

NAYS: 0 - None

ABSENT: 2 – Trustees Grasso and Schiappa

There being four affirmative votes, the motion carried and the meeting was adjourned at to a Closed Session at 8:29 P.M.

PLEASE NOTE: Where there is no summary of discussion on any items in the minutes, this reflects that no discussion occurred other than the introduction of the item.

Karen J. Thomas Village Clerk Burr Ridge, Illinois APPROVED BY the President and Board of Trustees this _____ day of _____, 2016.

RECONVENED REGULAR MEETING

PRESIDENT AND BOARD OF TRUSTEES, VILLAGE OF BURR RIDGE, IL

November 14, 2016

<u>CALL TO ORDER</u> The Regular Meeting of the President and Board of Trustees of November 14, 2016 was reconvened at 8:46 p.m. with the same Trustees in attendance as immediately preceding the Closed Meeting from 8:33 p.m. to 8:46 p.m.

<u>RECONVENE REGULAR MEETING</u> Motion was made by Trustee Bolos and seconded by Trustee Franzese that the Regular Meeting of November 14, 2016 be reconvened.

On Roll Call, Vote Was:

AYES: 4 – Trustees Bolos, Franzese, Paveza and Murphy

NAYS: 0 - None

ABSENT: 2 – Trustees Grasso and Schiappa

There being four affirmative votes, the motion carried

Trustee Franzese asked for an update regarding Brand Max business. In response, the Village Administrator indicated that it is currently out of business, several of their cars have been repossessed and it was his understanding that there may be legal action taken against the owner. He stated that Staff is looking into the possibility of being able to revoke a Certificate of Occupancy license in cases where the Village receives multiple complaints from customers regarding a Burr Ridge business.

There being no further business, <u>Motion</u> was made by Trustee Murphy and seconded by Trustee Bolos that the Closed Meeting of November 14, 2016 be adjourned.

On Roll Call, Vote Was:

AYES: 4 – Trustees Murphy, Bolos, Franzese and Paveza
NAYS: 0 – None
ABSENT: 2 – Trustees Grasso and Schiappa
There being four affirmative votes, the Regular Meeting of November 14, 2016 was adjourned at 8:49 p.m.

Karen J. Thomas Village Clerk Burr Ridge, Illinois Steven S. Stricker Village Clerk Pro-Tempore Burr Ridge, Illinois

APPROVED BY the President and Board of Trustees this _____day of _____, 2016.

MINUTES ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE MEETING NOVEMBER 15, 2016

CALL TO ORDER:	Chairperson Mickey Straub called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.
ROLL CALL:	Present: Mayor Mickey Straub, Sheryl Kern, Kirsten Jepsen and Zach Mottl
	Absent: Trustee Paula Murphy, Trustee Tony Schiappa, and Bhagwan Sharma
	Also Present: Village Administrator Steve Stricker and Diane Konicek, WB/BR Chamber of Commerce

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A motion was made by Zach Mottl to approve the minutes of September 20, 2016. The motion was seconded by Sheryl Kern and approved by a vote of 4-0.

COOK COUNTY ORDINANCES – SICK LEAVE AND MINIMUM WAGE

Village Administrator Steve Stricker explained that the Cook County Board of Commissioners adopted two Ordinances that may have an impact on the economic development of Burr Ridge. He stated that the first Ordinance requires all employers in Cook County to provide a minimum number of paid sick days to employees. He stated that employees would be allowed to accrue one hour of earned sick leave for every 40 hours worked, with a cap of 40 hours of earned sick leave accrued per a 12-month period. He stated that employees are also allowed to carry over to the following 12-month period half of their unused accrued earned sick leave, up to a maximum of 20 hours.

He stated that the second Ordinance increases the minimum wage in Cook County, beginning July 1, 2017, as follows:

July 1, 2017	-	\$10.00 per hour
July 1, 2018	-	\$11.00 per hour
July 1, 2019	-	\$12.00 per hour
July 1, 2020	-	\$13.00 per hour

He stated that, beginning July 1, 2021, and every July 1 thereafter, the minimum hourly wage set by the minimum wage law shall be increased by the CPI, with a cap of 2.5%, except if the unemployment rate is equal to or greater than 8.5%, at which point there would be no cost of living wage increase.

Economic Development Committee Minutes – November 15, 2016 Page 2 of 4

Administrator Stricker stated that there is a growing concern throughout Cook County that these Ordinances would put Cook County employers, including those located in Burr Ridge, at a competitive disadvantage, as opposed to those businesses in surrounding Counties, and stated that his opinion is that any changes in minimum wage or sick leave benefits should be done at the State level.

Administrator Stricker stated that he had contacted the Village Attorney, who indicated that the Village could opt out of the County Ordinance by passing an Ordinance to require employers in the Village of Burr Ridge to comply with all Federal and State employment laws, which would then preempt any additional obligation to follow the Cook County Ordinances regarding paid sick leave or minimum wage issues. Committee member Zach Mottl stated that he was very familiar with these new laws and that it was having a major impact on his business, which is locate in Cook County.

Administrator Stricker stated that, although he is recommending that the EDC make a recommendation to the Village Board in regard to these Ordinances, he suggested that we wait to present this to the Board until other larger communities in Cook County take the lead on this issue. In response to a question from the Committee, Administrator Stricker stated that he knew that the Village of Schaumburg was looking at this issue and stated that he was sure that other communities were also looking at this.

After some discussion, a **motion** was made by Zach Mottl to recommend to the Village Board that it adopt the Ordinance prepared by the Village Attorney requiring employers in the Village of Burr Ridge to comply with all Federal and State employment laws, with no additional obligation to follow the Cook County Ordinances regarding paid sick leave or minimum wage issues. The **motion** was seconded by Sheryl Kern and **approved** by a vote of 4-0. Administrator Stricker stated that he would hold this recommendation until he heard from other communities.

2017 EDC BUSINESS BREAKFAST

Administrator Stricker stated that the last EDC Business Breakfast was held on February 18, 2016, and asked the Committee to consider a possible date for the next Business Breakfast, as well as a potential speaker. After some discussion, the Committee agreed that February 16 or February 23 would be appropriate dates for the Business Breakfast to be held at the Marriott hotel.

In response to the Administrator's request for potential speakers, the Committee brainstormed about potential speakers, including Sean Morrison, our Cook County Board Representative, the possibility of Economic Development Specialists from both DuPage and Cook Counties to make presentations, to ask Jim Fannin, who was the speaker last year, to return, and to consider former athletes Bo Jackson and Jim Thome as possibilities. After some discussion, it was agreed that the Mayor would contact some possible participants and would make the final decision on the speaker for this event.

Economic Development Committee Minutes – November 15, 2016 Page 3 of 4

VILLAGE CENTER UPDATE

Administrator Stricker stated that, since the Committee's last meeting, Kelley Cawley, a retail women's clothing store, has opened in the Village Center; the Cooper's Hawk Restaurant expansion project has been completed; and the new dentist's office to be located in Building #1 in the Village Center has been issued a building permit and should be open by the end of December. He also indicated that the Village Board approved zoning for a running shoe and apparel store that will also be allowed to sell beer and wine called Peak Running, and a golf simulator business to be located in the Village Center. Administrator Stricker stated that this business would be subject to the Amusement Tax. However, the Administrator indicated that leases for these two businesses have yet to be consummated.

Committee member Sheryl Kern stated that the golf simulator business should be very popular and stated that she would be happy to pass information along to the members of her country club.

NEW DEVELOPMENT UPDATE

Administrator Stricker provided the Economic Development Committee with the New Development Update Report. He indicated that Codilis and Associates, a long-time business in Burr Ridge, had moved to new offices on High Grove Blvd.

Committee member Sheryl Kern asked about the proposed development on County Line Road, north of the Village Hall. In response, Chairperson Straub indicated that the Rehab Institute of Chicago, a very reputable business, has proposed to tear down two buildings along County Line Road north of the Village Hall in order to build a new, more modern facility. He stated that this proposal has been reviewed by the Plan Commission and that, although a final decision will not be made until December, he was hopeful that it would be approved. Administrator Stricker stated that the reason for zoning approval in this case is that the property is zoned for transitional zoning, which requires a more residential looking building, and that the proposed RIC building, although very attractive, is more modern and not necessarily in keeping with the residential neighborhood. However, he agreed with Chairperson Straub that there did not seem to be a lot of opposition from the neighbors in regard to this project.

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

Administrator Stricker stated that, at the next meeting, Kristie Tramontana, Manager of the Village Center, would be invited to update the EDC regarding the Village Center and that we would also hear from the Hotel and Restaurant Marketing Committees regarding their marketing plans. He stated that the Hotel/Motel Tax Fund Budget would be reviewed and recommended for approval at that meeting as well.

Economic Development Committee Minutes – November 15, 2016 Page 4 of 4

OTHER BUSINESS

Diane Konicek, of the WB/BR Chamber of Commerce, was asked by Chairperson Straub is there is anything new that she could report on from the Chamber. In response, she indicated that the Chamber will be hosting a Mardi Gras event at the Marriott hotel in February.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, a **motion** was made by Kirsten Jepsen to adjourn the meeting, **seconded** by Zach Mottl and **approved** by a vote of 4-0. The meeting was adjourned at 8:35 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Steve Stricker Village Administrator

SS:bp

PLAN COMMISSION/ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS VILLAGE OF BURR RIDGE MINUTES FOR REGULAR MEETING OF NOVEMBER 21, 2016

I. ROLL CALL

The Regular Meeting of the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals was called to order at 7:30 p.m. at the Burr Ridge Village Hall, 7660 County Line Road, Burr Ridge, Illinois by Vice Chairperson Praxmarer.

ROLL CALL was noted as follows:

PRESENT: 6 – Stratis, Hoch, Broline, Praxmarer, Grela, and Scott

ABSENT: 2 – Grunsten and Trzupek

Also present was Community Development Director Doug Pollock, Acting Village Attorney Michael Marrs, and Trustee Guy Franzese.

In the absence of Chairman Trzupek, Vice Chairperson Praxmarer was present to chair the meeting.

Mr. Pollock introduced Attorney Michael Marrs from the Village Attorney's office, Klein, Thorpe and Jenkins.

II. APPROVAL OF PRIOR MEETING MINUTES

A **MOTION** was made by Commissioner Grela and **SECONDED** by Commissioner Scott to approve the minutes of the October 17, 2016 Plan Commission meeting.

ROLL CALL VOTE was as follows:

AYES: 4 –Scott, Stratis, Praxmarer, and Broline

NAYS: 0 - None

ABSTAIN: 2 – Hoch and Grela

MOTION CARRIED by a vote of 4-0.

III. PUBLIC HEARINGS

Vice Chairperson Praxmarer confirmed all those wishing to speak during the public hearing on the agenda for tonight's meeting.

<u>Z-12-2016: 7600 and 7630 County Line Road (Med Properties Group); Special Use,</u> <u>Variations, and Findings of Fact</u>

Mr. Pollock referenced the letter from the petitioner requesting a continuance of this hearing to December 5, 2016.

A **MOTION** was made by Commissioner Stratis and **SECONDED** by Commissioner Grela to continue the hearing for Z-12-2016.

ROLL CALL VOTE was as follows:

AYES: 6 – Stratis, Grela, Scott, Hoch, Praxmarer, and Broline

NAYS: 0 – None

MOTION CARRIED by a vote of 6-0.

Z-14-2016: 7936 Madison Street (Keefer); Special Use and Findings of Fact

As directed by Vice Chairperson Praxmarer, Mr. Pollock described this request as follows: The petitioner seeks special use approval to permit the outside overnight parking of commercial delivery vehicles on the subject property. The Zoning Ordinance limits outside overnight parking of commercial vehicles in a manufacturing district to two vehicles not exceeding 24,000 pounds each parked behind the building. The petitioner is requesting special use approval to permit five such vehicles parked to the side of the building. The subject vehicles are smaller delivery vans for an industrial laundry service. The laundry service is a permitted use.

Vice Chairperson Praxmarer asked the petitioner to make their presentation.

Mr. Karl Keefer was present and described his business and need to park delivery vans on the property.

Vice Chairperson Praxmarer asked for public comments and questions. There were none.

Vice Chairperson Praxmarer asked for questions and comments from the Plan Commission.

Commissioner Stratis asked if there was room to park the vehicles behind the building. Mr. Keefer said there is room and he has approval from the landlord to park behind the building. Commissioner Stratis added that he should have spaces reserved in his lease so that other vehicles will not take the spaces behind the building.

Commissioner Hoch agreed with Commissioner Stratis.

Commissioner Scott asked if the petitioner anticipated more vehicles in the future. Mr. Keefer said he currently has four and has asked for five to accommodate future growth. He said that if he ever needed more, he would ask for an amendment to the special use.

In response to Commissioner Grela, Mr. Keefer said the vehicles would be smaller vans that comply with the 24,000 pound weight limit.

Commissioner Broline noted that there were no public objections to the special use.

Vice Chairperson Praxmarer asked about the hours of operation. Mr. Keefer said that the hours are generally 7 am to 6 pm.

There being no further discussion, Vice Chairperson Praxmarer asked for a motion to close the hearing.

At 7:44 p.m. a **MOTION** was made by Commissioner Broline and **SECONDED** by Commissioner Hoch to close the hearing for Z-14-2016.

ROLL CALL VOTE was as follows:

AYES: 6 – Broline, Hoch, Stratis, Praxmarer, Grela, and Scott

NAYS: 0 - None

MOTION CARRIED by a vote of 6-0.

A **MOTION** was made by Commissioner Stratis and **SECONDED** by Commissioner Hoch to adopt the petitioner's findings of fact and recommend that the Board of Trustees approve Z-14-2016 subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The special use shall be limited to Laundry Services Company and the petitioner and may not be transferred to any other business or land use.
- 2. There shall be no more than five such vehicles and the vehicles shall be of the type shown on the submitted photograph.
- 3. The vehicles shall be parked overnight behind (on the west side) of the building during nighttime hours.
- 4. Prior to occupancy, the petitioner shall provide documentation to staff that there are five or more parking spaces located behind the building and reserved for the exclusive use of overnight parking of the delivery vehicles.

ROLL CALL VOTE was as follows:

- **AYES**: 6 Stratis, Hoch, Praxmarer, Scott, Broline, and Grela
- NAYS: 0 None

MOTION CARRIED by a vote of 6-0.

V-06-2016: 7383 Madison Street (Gofis); Variation and Findings of Fact

As directed by Vice Chairperson Praxmarer, Mr. Pollock described this request as follows: The petitioner requests variations to accommodate a driveway gate on a single family residential property. The Zoning Ordinance limits driveway gates to properties that are at least 2 acres in area and requires that the gates be at least 30 feet from the front lot line. The subject property is approximately one-quarter of an acre and the gate is proposed to be located 3 feet from the front lot line.

Vice Chairperson Praxmarer asked the petitioner to make their presentation.

Mr. Andrew Gofis stated that he moved into this home in 2012. He said his family needs a gate on the driveway for the safety of his child with autism. He said there is 40 mile per hour traffic on Madison Street, industrial parks, bars and restaurants, and a heliport nearby that are all distractions and potential hazards for his son. He said that cars turn around in his driveway which presents a danger to his son. He said all of these conditions are unique to this property and create a hardship.

Mr. Gofis said that autism was a recognized disability under the Americans with Disabilities Act. He said that children with autism are easily distracted and at greater danger than children without autism.

Vice Chairperson Praxmarer asked for public comments and questions.

Ms. Alice Krampits, 7515 Drew Avenue, asked about the gate next door to this property. Mr. Gofis explained that the gate existed prior to annexation and was grandfathered.

Vice Chairperson Praxmarer asked if there were any other questions or comments from the public. There being none, she asked for comments and questions from the Plan Commission.

Commissioner Stratis asked if there was a fence in the front yard. Mr. Gofis said there is not a fence due to Village regulations and that there will be landscaping to enclose the front yard.

Commissioner Stratis suggested that any child could run into the street and wondered if this is a unique condition as required for a zoning variation. He said that it seems to be more of a legal decision rather than a Plan Commission decision.

Mr. Gofis responded that the issue of his child with autism makes this situation different.

Commissioner Stratis asked if there would be any cost to the Village if the variation is approved. Attorney Marrs responded that there would likely be no costs to the Village such as snow removal or similar costs.

Commissioner Hoch asked about emergency access to the property if there is a gate. Mr. Pollock said that the Village regulations require that the property owner obtain approval from the Fire District prior to erection of a gate and that sometimes the Fire District may require a sensor that allows emergency vehicles to open the gate.

Commissioner Hoch asked about the setback of the gate from the street and said she is worried about the precedent.

Mr. Gofis said the location was chosen because it is the same as the gate on the property to the north; he added that the gate would be have a different design.

Commissioner Hoch asked what would happen to the gate if the residents moved. Mr. Gofis responded that they had no intention of moving.

Commissioner Scott said he was struggling with this issue. He said that the Plan Commission cannot deal with the ADA issue and that is up to the Board of Trustees. He said he sees a lot of openness on the front lot line that would allow a child into the street even with a gate. Mr. Gofis responded that the gate provides an extra layer of protection.

Commissioner Scott asked about the distance between the street and the gate. Mr. Gofis said it was at least 13 feet.

Commissioner Grela said he sympathizes with the petitioner but that the Plan Commission has to look at the issue strictly under the zoning standards and cannot consider the ADA issue. He said that the hardship has to be based on the land and not personal circumstances. He questioned whether a gate would be effective. He said as a Plan Commissioner he cannot vote in favor of the variation but that if he were a Trustee he would consider the ADA issues and would consider the variation. He added that under traditional zoning standards, the property is not unique and granting a variation would set a precedent.

Commissioner Broline asked if the Plan Commission is compelled to approve the variation based on the ADA issue.

Attorney Marrs responded that the Plan Commission should review the variation request based strictly on the standards and findings of fact of the Zoning Ordinance. He said that the ADA should not be considered by the Plan Commission but that testimony and questions regarding the ADA could be put on the record. Mr. Marrs said that the ADA issue can be considered as a legal issue by the Board of Trustees.

Vice Chairperson Praxmarer said she has no other questions. She said the property is somewhat unique due to the industrial parks in the areas but not sure if that is sufficient cause for a variation.

Commissioner Stratis said there were no other unique conditions besides the industrial land uses in the area which he believes are not really unique.

There being no further discussion, Vice Chairperson Praxmarer asked for a motion to close the hearing.

At 8:17 p.m. a **MOTION** was made by Commissioner Hoch and **SECONDED** by Commissioner Grela to close the hearing for V-06-2016.

ROLL CALL VOTE was as follows:

AYES: 6 – Hoch, Grela, Stratis, Praxmarer, Scott, and Broline

NAYS: 0 - None

MOTION CARRIED by a vote of 6-0.

A **MOTION** was made by Commissioner Scott and **SECONDED** by Commissioner Grela to direct staff to prepare findings of fact and to recommend that the Board of Trustees deny the variation.

Commissioner Broline said that the Commission should be clear that the recommendation of the Plan Commission is based on zoning considerations only and that the issues of ADA were not considered by the Plan Commission.

Commissioner Grela agreed and said the Plan Commission must consider without ADA.

Commissioner Hoch said that the gate on the adjacent property should not be considered a factor and is not a hardship under the zoning standards.

Commissioner Stratis asked about the possibility of putting a gate and enclosure at the front of the house. He noted the shape of the house would lend itself to an enclosure in the vicinity of the garage and behind the leading edge of the home.

Mr. Pollock said that area is defined as a court yard and could be enclosed with four foot tall courtyard walls. He said he would have to look at the zoning standards and determine if a gate would be allowed as well as the court yard walls.

ROLL CALL VOTE was as follows:

AYES:6 – Scott, Grela, Stratis, Praxmarer, Broline, and HochNAYS:0 – None

MOTION CARRIED by a vote of 6-0.

Commissioner Broline said that he would have liked to vote in favor of the variation, but given the direction provided by legal counsel not to consider the ADA issue, he felt compelled to vote against the variation.

Z-13-2016: Zoning Ordinance Text Amendment – Front Yard Walls and Monuments

As directed by Vice Chairperson Praxmarer, Mr. Pollock described this request as follows: At the last meeting, the Plan Commission directed staff to prepare a draft amendment relative to driveway walls and architectural entrance structures. A draft amendment was prepared and included in the staff summary for today's hearing.

Mr. Pollock stated that the regulations for architectural entrance structures have been modified to better define that these structures are to be located on either side of a driveway, cannot exceed a certain size, must be masonry with a foundation, and require a building permit.

In regards to driveway walls, Mr. Pollock said the only change was to allow the walls to encroach into the front yard setback with a minimum setback from the street of 20 feet. He said the walls would continue to be limited to 2 feet in height.

Mr. Pollock added that there are two issues not mentioned in the staff summary that should be considered which are: if a property has more than one driveway, does the Commission want to allow entrance structures at each of the driveways; and the example of driveway walls previously shown at the public hearing included 3 foot piers at the ends of the two walls.

There being no public comments, Vice Chairperson Praxmarer asked for comments and questions from the Plan Commission.

Commissioner Stratis asked if there should be a setback for entrance structures. Mr. Pollock said there has not been a setback but that they have to be on private property and, thus, are typically 10 to 15 feet from the street.

Commissioner Hoch said she did not have any questions at this time.

Commissioner Scott said it makes sense to allow two entrance structures per driveway and Commissioner Grela agreed.

Mr. Pollock suggested that the draft amendment also be amended to permit 3 foot tall piers at the ends of the driveway seat walls.

Commissioner Broline and Vice Chairperson Praxmarer had no further questions.

There being no further discussion, Vice Chairperson Praxmarer asked for a motion to close the hearing.

At 8:40 p.m. a **MOTION** was made by Commissioner Grela and **SECONDED** by Commissioner Scott to close the hearing for Z-13-2016.

ROLL CALL VOTE was as follows:

- **AYES**: 6 Grela, Scott, Stratis, Praxmarer, Broline, and Hoch
- NAYS: 0 None

MOTION CARRIED by a vote of 6-0.

A **MOTION** was made by Commissioner Grela and **SECONDED** by Commissioner Scott to recommend that the Board of Trustees amend the Zoning Ordinance as per the draft regulations in the staff summary with the addition that the number of entrance structures be 2 per driveway and that each driveway wall may include a maximum 3 foot tall pier at each end of the wall.

Plan Commission/Zoning Board Minutes November 21, 2016 Regular Meeting Page 7 of 7

ROLL CALL VOTE was as follows:

AYES: 6 – Grela, Scott, Stratis, Praxmarer, Hoch, and Broline

NAYS: 0 - None

MOTION CARRIED by a vote of 6-0.

IV. CORRESPONDENCE

There was no discussion regarding the Building Report or the Board Report.

V. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

A **MOTION** was made by Commissioner Hoch and **SECONDED** by Commissioner Broline to approve the Plan Commission schedule for 2017.

ROLL CALL VOTE was as follows:

AYES: 6 – Hoch, Broline, Stratis, Praxmarer, Grela, and Scott

NAYS: 0 - None

MOTION CARRIED by a vote of 6-0.

VI. FUTURE SCHEDULED MEETINGS

Mr. Pollock stated that there are no hearings scheduled for December 19, 2016 and the deadline for filing has passed.

A **MOTION** was made by Commissioner Hoch and **SECONDED** by Commissioner Broline to cancel the December 19, 2016 meeting of the Plan Commission.

ROLL CALL VOTE was as follows:

AYES: 6 – Hoch, Broline, Stratis, Praxmarer, Grela, and Scott

NAYS: 0 - None

MOTION CARRIED by a vote of 6-0.

VII. ADJOURNMENT

A MOTION was made by Commissioner Grela and SECONDED by Commissioner Hoch to ADJOURN the meeting at 8:46 p.m. ALL MEMBERS VOTING AYE, the meeting was adjourned.

Respectfully							December 5, 2016	
Submitted:								
	TD	1	D 11	1	1 TOD			

J. Douglas Pollock, AICP

RESOLUTION NO. R- -16

RESOLUTION APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF AN INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF DUPAGE, ON BEHALF OF ITS EMERGENCY TELEPHONE SYSTEM BOARD, AND THE VILLAGE OF BURR RIDGE FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES

WHEREAS, the Village of Burr Ridge is a member of the DuPage ETSB; and

WHEREAS, the Village of Burr Ridge has transferred its E-9-1-1 dispatch services to DUCOMM and is seeking financial assistance to assist in this transfer; and

WHEREAS, the DuPage ETSB has agreed to provide financial assistance to the Village of Burr Ridge for costs associated with the transfer of E-9-1-1 services to DUCOMM.

NOW, THEREFORE, Be It Resolved by the Mayor and Board of Trustees of the Village of Burr Ridge, Cook and DuPage Counties, Illinois, as follows:

SECTION 1: The Preambles hereto are hereby made a part of, and operative provisions of, this Resolution as fully as if completely repeated at length herein.

SECTION 2: That the Mayor and Board of Trustees of the Village of Burr Ridge hereby find that it is in the best interests of the Village of Burr Ridge and its residents that an "Intergovernmental Agreement Between The County Of DuPage on Behalf of its Emergency Telephone System Board And The Village Of Burr Ridge" be approved and entered into and executed by said Village of Burr Ridge, with said Intergovernmental Agreement to be substantially in the form attached hereto and made a part hereof as EXHIBIT A.

SECTION 3: That the Mayor and Clerk of the Village of Burr Ridge, Cook and DuPage Counties, Illinois are hereby authorized and directed to execute for and on behalf of said Village of Burr Ridge the aforesaid Intergovernmental Agreement. **SECTION 4:** That this Resolution shall take effect from and after its adoption and approval.

ADOPTED this 28th day of November, 2016, by the Corporate Authorities of the Village of Burr Ridge on a roll call vote as follows:

AYES:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

APPROVED this 28th day of November, 2016, by the Mayor of the Village of Burr Ridge.

Village Mayor

ATTEST:

Village Clerk

Intergovernmental Agreement By and Between the County of DuPage on behalf of its Emergency Telephone System Board And The Village of Burr Ridge

The County of DuPage, hereinafter referred to as "the County", a body corporate and politic, on behalf of its Emergency Telephone System Board, hereinafter referred to as "DuPage ETSB," and The Village of Burr Ridge, hereinafter referred to as "the Agency," are entering into this agreement based on the following criteria:

- 1. The Agency is a public safety agency that is a member of the DuPage ETSB.
- 2. If applicable, the Agency has submitted, in writing, its request to terminate staffing and operational support as a host agency for a PSAP of the DuPage ETSB 9-1-1 System.
- 3. The Agency has submitted, in writing, its intent to migrate to a consolidated center for purposes of E9-1-1 dispatch services and seeking financial assistance for this purpose.
- 4. Financial assistance for Criteria 2 and 3 are in the best interest of and will result in an overall cost savings to DuPage ETSB.
- 5. DuPage ETSB has submitted the change in 9-1-1 System to the ICC for approval and has received approval for the plan modification.
- 6. The parties have reviewed the cost sheet and agreed upon the applicable costs associated with the closure of the PSAP and the migration of the Agency to a consolidated center. The expenses are a made a part of this Agreement as Attachment A.
- 7. The agreed upon costs are allowed by statute, administrative code and/or ICC regulations.
- 8. The agreement has been reviewed and approved by the DuPage County State's Attorney's office.
- 9. The County is executing this Agreement on behalf of the DuPage County ETSB and shall not be liable for any acts or omissions of the ETSB arising from this Agreement.

The DuPage ETSB will bear the financial responsibility for the re-engineering and relocation of the 9-1-1 System services and equipment as designed in the ICC approved modifications to the DuPage ETSB 9-1-1 System Plan as detailed in this agreement.

The DuPage ETSB will provide financial assistance for the migration of a hosting agency or a requesting agency to a consolidated center as detailed in Attachment A.

The Agency further understands and agrees that it shall not be eligible for any additional financial support from DuPage ETSB if it breaks the governance agreement under by which the DuPage ETSB provided monetary assistance. The Agency understands and agrees that any desired change in the method by which it receives E9-1-1 services must have prior approval from the DuPage ETS Board and subsequently the ICC as defined by statute. If the change in the E9-1-1 Plan is in the best interest of and will result in an overall cost savings to DuPage ETSB, then DuPage ETS Board may consider providing financial assistance.

Funding received for any costs that are not a part of the E9-1-1 system this agreement constitutes a one-time reimbursement. DuPage ETSB has no continuing obligation for the maintenance, support, or replacement of any such item on Attachment A.

The DuPage ETSB will finance the Agency's reserve fund contribution or administrative fund. The Agency shall be responsible for any penalties it incurs as a result of termination with the governance agreement it enters into with the consolidated center and shall reimburse the DuPage ETSB the full amount of the reserve contribution within 90 days from the date the governance agreement is terminated. Such agreement shall remain in effect for a period of 5 years from the date of cut over of E9-1-1 services.

DuPage ETSB and the Agency hereby release and agree that each shall indemnify and hold harmless the other party and all of its present, former and future officers, including board members,



commissioners, employees, attorneys, agents and assigns from and against any and all losses, liabilities, damages, claims, demands, fines, penalties, causes of action, costs and expenses whatsoever, including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees and court costs, present or future, known or unknown, sounding in law or equity that arise out of or from or otherwise relate, directly or indirectly, from its duties under this Agreement or to the use of the items for which DuPage ETSB has provided reimbursement to the extent authorized by law, including, but not limited to any injury or damage caused by the failure of the supplied equipment to function properly or to operate as designed.

The Agency acknowledges that the participation of the County, a unit of local government, is required solely for the execution of this Agreement on behalf of the DuPage ETSB and for no other purpose. Upon execution, the County shall have no other duties, rights, or responsibilities with respect to the Agreement. The Agency shall indemnify and hold the County harmless and all of its present, former and future officers, including board members, commissioners, employees, attorneys, agents and assigns from and against any and all losses, liabilities, damages, claims, demands, fines, penalties, causes of action, costs and expenses whatsoever, including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees and court costs, present or future, known or unknown, sounding in law or equity that arise out of or from or otherwise relate, directly or indirectly, to this Agreement. The DuPage ETSB shall reimburse the County for any and all expenses it may incur as a result of this Agreement.

Each party represents to the other that:

Date:

- 1. it has obtained all necessary approvals, consents and authorizations to enter into this Agreement;
- 2. the person executing this Agreement on behalf of their party has the authority to do so;
- 3. upon execution of this Agreement by the parties, it is a valid and binding, enforceable in accordance with its terms; and
- 4. the execution, delivery, and performance of this Agreement does not violate any bylaw, charter, regulation, law or any other governing authority of the party.

The parties hereby enter into this Agreement as of the Effective Date.

DuPag	e ETSB	Village	e of Burr Ridge	
By:		By:		
Name:	Gary Grasso	Name:	Michael Straub	
Title:	Chairman	Title:	Mayor	
Date:		Date:) <u></u>	
COUN	TY OF DUPAGE			
By:				
Name:	Daniel J. Cronin			
Title:	Chairman			

VILLAGE OF BURR RIDGE

ATTACHMENT A

10668



VILLAGE OF BURR RIDGE

Vendor: DUCOMM01	DuPage Public Safety Communications	
Date Invoice	Description/Detail	Amount

0.00

89307

Total

A

.

DU-COMM

DuPage Public Safety Communications

600 Wall Street Glendale Heights, Illinois 60139 Phone 630.260.7500 Fax 630.924.9280

The following invoice number must appear on all related correspondence, shipping papers, and payment records:

INVOICE NUMBER: 15700

Billed To: Burr Ridge Police Dept. 7700 County Line Road Burr Ridge, IL 60527

NVOICE

ORIGINAL

Agency specific equipment needs will be invoiced separately. Agency Reserve contributions will be invoiced after May 1, 2016.

INVOICE DATE TERMS

1

January 21, 2016

30

CHRG CODE		DESCRIPTION	FIXED CHARGE	QTY	PRICE	AMOUNT
00-Misc	3% Administrative Fee		8118	0.00	0.000000000	\$8,118.00
	ł					
í ,	Laurenter and the second se	APPROFED Signature: Dept. # 21-7010-50-5095				
1 1 1		Category E-911 Date Paid:				
ŝ	DUCOM	Vender Not DU COMMOI				

02/01/16 10:30A 02/04/16 11:15A Checks or Money Orders Payable to: DU-COMM NO CASH ACCEPTED

INVOICE TOTAL:

\$8,118.00

VILLAGE OF BURR RIDGE

Ş.

OF



VILLAGE OF BURR RIDGE

LAGE OF BU	RR RIDGE		89586
Vendor:	DUCOMM01	DuPage Public Safety Communications	
Dat	e Invoice	Description/Detail	Amount
			0.00

A

Total



DU-COMM AS ORIGINAL DuPage Public Safety INVOICE Communications B. Popp

Brian Tegtmeyer, ENP Executive Director 600 Wall Street Glendale Heights, IL 60139 (630) 260-7500 Main (630) 924-9280 Fax www.ducomm.org

April 19, 2016

Steven Stricker Village Administrator Village of Burr Ridge 7660 County Line Rd Burr Ridge, IL 60527 Via email: <u>sstricker@burr-ridge.gov</u>

Signature: Dept # 10. Category Date Paid: SUCOMMO Vendor Nos 3:15 PM 4-19

RE: DU-COMM Reserve Contribution

Dear Administrator Stricker:

Burr Ridge Police Department's membership into DU-COMM was approved in September 23, 2015. As part of that membership, a one-time 3% administration fee (already paid in February 2016) and one-time new agency reserve contribution fee is assessed to new members in addition to their annual shares.

Burr Ridge Police Department's New Agency Reserve Contribution cost is \$70,622. Please pay this amount directly to DU-COMM (address above) at your earliest convenience. POLICE DISPATCHING 5045

Please contact me at <u>aathitakis@ducomm.org</u> or 630-260-7505 if you have any additional questions.

Sincerely,

Angéla Athitakis Finance/HR Manager

Addison FPD Bartlett FPD Bartlett PD Carol Stream FPD Carol Stream PD Elmhurst FD Elmhurst PD Glen Elyn FC Glen Elyn PD Glenside FPD Hanover Park FD Hanover Park PD Lisle-Woodnidge FPD Lombard FD Lombard PD Oakbrook Terrace FPD Cakbrook Terrace PD Vita Park FD Vita Park PD Warrenville FPD Warrenville PD West Chicago FPD West Chicago PD Wheaton FD Wheaton PD Winfield FPD Wunfield PD York Center FPD



VILLAGE OF BURR RIDGE 7660 COUNTY LINE ROAD BURR RIDGE, IL 60527 (630) 654-8181

DUPAGE COUNTY ETSB 421 N. COUNTY FARM ROAD WHEATON, IL 60187 INVOICE

 Customer ID:DUPAGE PUBLIC SAFETY

 Invoice Number:
 0000002181

 Service Date:
 11/21/2016

 Invoice Date:
 11/21/2016

 Due Date:
 12/21/2016



Quantity	Description	Unit Price	Amount
1	REIMBURSABLE MISC. EXPENS	8,118.00	8,118.00
-			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

REIMBURSEMENT OF DUCOMM ADMINISTRATIVE FEES FOR BURR RIDGE POLICE DEPARTMENT

Tota	l Invoice:	8,118.00
Credit	s Applied:	0.00
Payment	s Applied:	0.00
Invoic	e Balance:	8,118.00

Please Remit a Copy of Your Invoice with Payment



VILLAGE OF BURR RIDGE 7660 COUNTY LINE ROAD BURR RIDGE, IL 60527 (630) 654-8181

DUPAGE COUNTY ETSB 421 N. COUNTY FARM ROAD WHEATON, IL 60187



 Customer ID:DUPAGE PUBLIC SAFETY

 Invoice Number:
 0000002180

 Service Date:
 11/21/2016

 Invoice Date:
 11/21/2016

 Due Date:
 12/21/2016



Quantity	Description	Unit Price	Amount
1	REIMBURSABLE MISC. EXPENS	70,622.00	70,622.00

REIMBURSEMENT OF NEW AGENCY RESERSE CONTRIBUTION -DISPATCHING FOR POLICE DEPARTMENT

Total	Invoice:	70,622.00
Credits	Applied:	0.00
Payments	Applied:	0.00
Invoice	Balance:	70,622.00
RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION REGARDING CONSTRUCTION ON STATE HIGHWAYS

WHEREAS, the Village of Burr Ridge, hereinafter referred to as MUNICIPALITY, located in the Counties of Cook and DuPage, State of Illinois, desires to undertake, in the years 2017 and 2018, the location, construction, operation and maintenance of driveways and street returns, watermains, sanitary and storm sewers, street lights, traffic signals, sidewalks, landscaping, etc., on State highways within said MUNICIPALITY, which by law and/or agreement come under the jurisdiction and control of the Department of Transportation of the State of Illinois hereinafter referred to as Department; and

WHEREAS, an individual working permit must be obtained from the DEPARTMENT prior to any of the aforesaid installations being constructed either by the MUNICIPALITY or by a private person or firm under contract and supervision of the MUNICIPALITY;

NOW, THEREFORE, Be It Resolved by the Mayor and Board of Trustees of the Village of Burr Ridge, Cook and DuPage Counties, Illinois, as follows:

<u>Section 1</u>: That the MUNICIPALITY hereby pledges its good faith and guarantees that all work shall be performed in accordance with the conditions of the permit to be granted by the Department, and to hold the State of Illinois harmless during the prosecution of such work, and assume all liability for damages to persons or property due to accidents or otherwise by reason of the work which is to be performed under the provision of said permit.

<u>Section 2</u>: That all authorized officials of the MUNICIPALITY are hereby instructed and authorized to sign said working permit on behalf of the MUNICIPALITY.

<u>Section 3</u>: That this Resolution shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage and approval as required by law. The Village Clerk is directed to file a certified copy of this Resolution with the DEPARTMENT at its Schaumburg office.

ADOPTED this 28th day of November, 2016, by a roll call vote as follows:

AYES:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

APPROVED this 28th day of November, 2016, by the Mayor of the Village of Burr Ridge.

Mayor

ATTEST:

Village Clerk



Illinois Department of Transportation

Office of Highways Project Implementation / Region 1 / District 1 201 West Center Court / Schaumburg, Illinois 60196-1096

<u>PERMITS</u> Resolution for Construction on State Highway

November 3, 2016

The Honorable Michael "Mickey" Straub Mayor Village of Burr Ridge 7660 South County Line Road Burr Ridge, IL 60527

Dear Mayor Straub:

Chapter 121 of the Illinois revised statutes requires that any person, firm or corporation desiring to do work on state maintained rights of way must first obtain a written permit from the Illinois department of transportation. This includes any emergency work on broken watermains or sewers.

A surety bond is required with each permit application to insure that all work is completed in accordance with state specifications and that the right of way is properly restored.

For permit work to be performed by employees of a municipality a resolution is acceptable in lieu of the surety bond. This resolution does not relieve contractors hired by the municipality from conforming with the normal bonding requirements nor from obtaining permits.

The resolution should be enacted for a period of two years. This procedure will save time and effort as well as reduce the annual paperwork associated with an annual resolution.

In order to expedite the issuance of permits to your municipality during the next two calendar years the attached sample resolution should be adopted and a signed and certified copy thereof returned to this office. This resolution does not constitute a blanket permit for work in the State system. A separate application must be made in each instance. In the case of an emergency, verbal authority may be given prior to receipt of the written application. After normal working hours or weekends, this authority can be obtained from our Communications Center at (847)705-4612. November 3, 2016 Page two

...*

We would appreciate the cooperation of your community in withholding the issuance of building permits along State highways until the builder shows evidence of a State highway permit having been obtained. Our permit staff would be willing to answer any questions you may have regarding current policies or practices and to work with your planning commission on any new developments within your municipality.

Do not hesitate to contact Ms. Beverly Hawley, Office Coordinator at (847) 705-4142.

Very truly yours,

John Fortmann, P.E. Region One Engineer

By: Thomas G. Gallenbach, P.E. Traffic Permits Engineer





Mayor Karen J. Thomas

7660 County Line Rd. • Burr Ridge, IL 60527 (630) 654-8181 • Fax (630) 654-8269 • www.burr-ridge.gov

Steven S. Stricker Village Administrator

Village Clerk

November 22, 2016

President Straub and Board of Trustees 7660 County Line Road Burr Ridge, Illinois 60527

Re: <u>V-06-2016: 7383 Madison Street (Gofis); Variation</u>

Dear President and Board of Trustees:

The Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA) transmits for your consideration its recommendation to deny a request by Efy and Andrew Gofis for variations from Section IV.I.12 of the Burr Ridge Zoning Ordinance to permit the construction of a driveway gate on a lot of 14,600 square feet in area rather than the required 2 acres and with the gates located 3 feet from the front lot line rather than the required setback of 30 feet. The property is located at 7383 Madison Street.

After due notice, as required by law, the Zoning Board of Appeals held a public hearing on November 21, 2016. The petitioner based on his request for a variation on the needs of his child with autism and the location of the property on a busy street and next to industrial and commercial development. Mr. Gofis stated that the child does not react to instruction well and is easily distracted. He said the gate would provide additional protection for the child. Mr. Gofis testified that vehicles turnaround in his driveway and drive in excess of 40 miles per hour on Madison Street. Mr. Gofis stated that autism is a protected disability under the federal governments' Americans with Disabilities Act; which requires local governments to make reasonable accommodations for persons with a disability.

The Village Attorney provided a written memorandum and verbally instructed to the Zoning Board of Appeals that they should limit their review to the zoning standards. Attorney Michael Marrs said that the only the Board of Trustees should consider the additional legal issue of whether the variation is a reasonable accommodation under the Americans with **Disabilities** Act.

Although some of the Zoning Board members indicated an understanding of the desire for a gate, the Commission unanimously agreed that the gate could not be justified based strictly on the zoning standards. The Zoning Board agreed that the busy street and area industrial properties were not a unique condition that created a hardship as required by the standards for a zoning variation.

V-06-2016: 7383 Madison Street (Gofis); Variation - Page 2

After due consideration, the Zoning Board of Appeals concluded that the proposed variations do not comply with the standards of the Zoning Ordinance. Accordingly, by a vote of 6 to 0, the **Zoning Board of Appeals recommends denial** of **V-06-2016**.

Sincerely,

Mary Praxmarer, Vice Chairperson Village of Burr Ridge Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals

GT:JDP/mrm Enclosures





20 N. Wacker Drive, Ste 1660 Chicago, Illinois 60606-2903 T 312 984 6400 F 312 984 6444

DD 312 984 6421

sfuhler@ktilaw.com

15010 S. Ravinia Avenue, Ste 10 Orland Park, Illinois 60462-5353 T 708 349 3888 F 708 349 1506

www.ktjlaw.com

MEMORANDUM

Village of Burr Ridge
Klein, Thorpe & Jenkins, Ltd.
Variation Request & ADA/FHAA Implications

November 17, 2016

PC PROCESS AND CONSIDERATIONS

The application for a driveway gate (variation) in the front yard of this residence along a major thoroughfare would be subject to the traditional considerations and standards the PC uses to analyze a variation. The issue of whether the Americans With Disabilities Act ("ADA") or the Fair Housing Act Amendments ("FHAA") require a certain result is primarily a legal issue (regarding the application of the zoning ordinance), separate and apart from the responsibilities of the PC to consider whether a request for zoning relief meets the existing standards under the Zoning Code. Nevertheless, a full record of all of the issues involved should be made and the commissioners are encouraged to make a full record and develop a reasonable understanding of what it is the applicant is seeking. and the reasons underlying the request, by taking information about, listening to, commenting on or asking questions about the applicant's request for this relief. The formal recommendation of the PC on the request for the variation however would focus on the authority granted under the Zoning Ordinance to consider the standards now in the zoning ordinance. The broader considerations of the PC recommendation on this variation, along with the possible application and impact of federal discrimination law on this variation request, are more directly concerns for the Board of Trustees.

Village of Burr Ridge Zoning Ordinance - Standards for Variations

The Zoning Board of Appeals shall not recommend variations from the regulations of this Ordinance unless it shall make findings based upon the evidence presented to it in each specific case that:

a. Because of the particular physical surroundings, shape, or topographical conditions of the specific property involved, a particular hardship to the owner would result, as distinguished from a mere inconvenience, if the strict letter of the regulations were to be carried out.

b. The property in question cannot yield a reasonable return if permitted to be used only under the conditions allowed by the regulations governing the zoning district in which it is located.

c. The conditions upon which an application for a variation is based are unique to the property for which the variance is sought, and are not applicable, generally, to other property within the same zoning classification.

d. The purpose of the variation is not based primarily upon a desire to increase financial gain.

e. The alleged difficulty or hardship is caused by this Ordinance and has not been created by any persons presently having an interest in the property.

f. The granting of the variation will not be detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to other property or improvements in the neighborhood in which the property is located.

g. The granting of the variation will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood or locality.

h. The proposed variation will not impair an adequate supply of light and air to adjacent property or substantially increase the congestion of the public streets, or increase the danger of fire, or impair natural drainage or create drainage problems on adjacent properties, or endanger the public safety, or substantially diminish or impair property values within the neighborhood.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS - FEDERAL LAW

The related legal issues regarding this request for a variation or relief from the zoning restrictions regarding driveway gates in the front yard, based on the disability of the owner's child, are as follows:

Federal Agency Interpretations of ADA (U.S. Department of Justice)

- Local governments can be required to make reasonable modifications to their policies, practices, or procedures to prevent discrimination on the basis of disability. Reasonable modifications have been interpreted to include modifications to local laws, ordinances, and regulations that adversely impact people with disabilities, which can include zoning. 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(b)(7).
- The Department of Justice posits the following practical example of the obligations that a municipality has to consider as "reasonable modifications" under its zoning code, to address a disability-related need:

CASE ILLUSTRATION: A municipal zoning ordinance requires a set-back of 12 feet from the curb in the central business district. In order to install a ramp to the front entrance of a pharmacy, the owner must encroach on the set-back by three feet. Granting a variance in the zoning requirement may be a reasonable modification of town policy.

(A public entity must reasonably modify its policies, practices, or procedures to avoid discrimination. If the public entity can demonstrate, however, that the modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of its service, program, or activity, it is not required to make the modification. 42 U.S.C.A. § 12132)

Federal Courts (ADAAA Requirements)

- The federal appellate court with jurisdiction in this area, the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals, has addressed the issue of relief from zoning standards under the Americans With Disabilities Act. In that matter, an elderly couple asked for zoning relief for the location of their garage, closer to the front of their home (with the need for zoning relief to allow a curb cut for a new driveway at the front of their lot), due to certain disabling conditions that made it more difficult to walk to and from their home. The Court concluded that a public entity must reasonably accommodate a qualified individual with a disability by making changes in its rules, policies, practices or services, when necessary, finding the zoning regulations to be "rules, policies or practices" of the Village of Wilmette. *Dadian v. Village of Wilmette*, 269 F.3d 831 (7th Cir. 2001)
- In determining what constituted a "reasonable accommodation" the Court considered the costs to the Village in granting the front driveway permit, which included zoning and land-use concerns but minor administrative costs, and the needs of the property owners, which included the need for one of the owners to avoid twisting and turning and walking for long distances. The Court heard testimony from an architect and appraiser that an attached, front garage was a better fit (aethestically and to preserve home value) with the new home design.
- The Court also considered whether there were other, reasonable alternatives to address the couples' need, that were in compliance with the zoning regulations. The Village had recommended a solution which complied with the zoning ordinance for an attached, rear garage with a turnabout and eliminated the need for a front curb cut. The Court noted however that six of the sixteen homes on the block already had curb cuts (via front or side driveways), so that the property owners' request was not inconsistent with the purpose behind the ordinance and would not cause a fundamental or unreasonable change to the ordinance. The Court concluded the property owners were not requesting a change to the ordinance itself (for a curb cut that was otherwise prohibited other owners on the block had front driveways), but were seeking relief from the application of the "hardship exception" required under the zoning ordinance.
- The interests which were weighed and balanced in the case then were the Village's potential permanent loss of property (front yard curb cut) versus the property owners' needs (the Village asserting that an attached, rear garage with a turnabout would have satisfied their "health" needs and that the property owners should have been made to bear the burden of the resulting decreased home value, and not the Village). Based on the foregoing reasoning, a decision was ultimately rendered for the property owners and against the Village. See *Dadian v. Village of Wilmette*, 269 F.3d 831 (7th Cir. 2001)
- An element of the analysis to be undertaken is to focus on "whether waiver of the rule in the particular case at hand would be so at odds with the purposes behind the rule that it would be a fundamental and unreasonable change." *Dadian v. Village of Wilmette*, 269 F.3d 831 (7th Cir. 2001)

- The Court concluded that "[a] public entity must reasonably accommodate a qualified individual with a disability by making changes in rules, policies, practices or services, when necessary", noting that whether a particular request for an accommodation is "reasonable" depends on the specific facts of each case, with a required analysis of the balancing of the cost to the municipality and the benefit to the disabled individual. *Dadian v. Village of Wilmette*, 269 F.3d 831 (7th Cir. 2001)(citing to *Bronk v. Ineichen*, 54 F.3d 425, 429 (7th Cir. 1995); *United States v. Village of Palatine*, 37 F.3d 1230, 1234 (7th Cir. 1994)).
- The relief sought or requested by an individual from certain zoning regulations also has to serve the purpose for which the relief is sought, i.e. the relief must be based on a disability related need and must be directly related to addressing that need. Personal preference or convenience do not support a need for zoning relief, if the relief is not needed due to a disability-based concern. The Court noted that whether the requested relief is needed for a particular "accommodation" under the zoning regulations requires a "showing that the desired accommodation will affirmatively enhance a disabled plaintiff's quality of life by ameliorating the effects of the disability." *Dadian v. Village of Wilmette*, 269 F.3d 831 (7th Cir. 2001) citing *Bronk*, 54 F.3d at 429.

Federal Courts (FHAA Requirements)

• In a case involving a claim under the FHAA, a woman and her daughter (both of whom suffered from muscular dystrophy) sought zoning relief to allow a short paved parking space in front of their house (otherwise not allowed under the Zoning Code). While she could still drive, the mother had difficulty walking, but could traverse short distances with a walker and sometimes used a wheelchair. Her walk was very halting and cautious. She could not raise her feet very far off the ground, and was forced to shuffle her feet. Moving over surfaces which are not smooth is particularly difficult. The daughter also had difficulty walking and navigated by wearing a brace. She needed help from her stepfather to walk from the street up the sidewalk and into their house. Both had difficulty climbing stairs. Locating a paved parking area at the front of the house would assist with entering and leaving their home and getting into their car. Entering and leaving through the front door involved steps which were easier to use. The mother and daughter were already pulling their car up onto the front lawn to park but with certain weather conditions the ground could become muddy, ice or snow covered and very difficult for them.

The Town considered the request for zoning relief and denied it because it would have been in violation of the zoning ordinance's setback requirements. Following the denial, the couple sought legal assistance and an advocacy group filed suit on their behalf. The Court in that matter found that a claim could be brought under the FHAA under the circumstances, noting that the FHAA makes it unlawful to discriminate against "any person in the terms, conditions, or privileges of sale or rental of a dwelling, or in the provision of services or facilities in connection with such dwelling" on the basis of that person's handicap. *Trovato v City of Manchest*er, 992 F. Supp. 493 (D.N.H. 1997) The Court noted that while the mother and daughter were not discriminated against in the sale or rental of housing, parking was still a "service or facility in connection with" their property affecting their use and enjoyment of their home and was therefore covered by the FHAA. The Court found that the proper analysis of the standard for this claim under the FHAA, was whether an accommodation would be "necessary" to afford "equal opportunity" when the mother and her daughter, as plaintiffs, have shown that without such an accommodation, they "will be denied an equal opportunity to enjoy the housing of their choice." On that basis, the Court concluded that, given their disabilities, the mother and daughter would derive great benefit from a parking space in their front yard (due to their disabilities) and without the front parking area their "use or enjoyment" of their home would be adversely impacted.

- Discrimination under the FHAA has been interpreted to include refusing to make reasonable accommodations in "rules, policies, practices, or services" when necessary to afford a person with a handicap "equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling". *Trovato v City of Manchester*, 992 F. Supp. 493 (D.N.H. 1997)
- The Court determined [in the context of zoning] that under the FHAA an accommodation is reasonable unless it requires a fundamental alteration in the nature of a program or imposes undue financial and administrative burdens on a municipality. The FHAA's primary purpose to assist disabled individuals persons is to be balanced against the costs or burdens of compliance incurred by the municipality. The Court therefore determined that the request made by the property owners was reasonable; that asked for permission to build a small parking space near their front entrance. The municipality did not produce any evidence that the request for this parking area would have disrupted the character or nature of the adjacent neighborhood nor was there any evidence of any financial cost or other administrative burden to the municipality if the request for the zoning relief was granted and the parking space was allowed.
- The Court also found relevant and considered whether there were other, reasonable alternatives available to address the need for improved access to the residence and concluded that the zoning relief requested was reasonable as the plaintiffs did not have any other options and the municipality did not offer any other reasonable alternative accommodation that would have addressed the issues being faced by the property owners due to their disabilities.

August 14, 2016

Mr. Doug Pollock Director, Community Development Village of Burr Ridge 7660 County Line Road Burr Ridge, Illinois 60527

Dear Mr. Pollock,

I am writing to request your immediate reconsideration and approval of a driveway gate at my residence at 7383 South Madison in Burr Ridge. My son, Constantine Gofis, was born August 14, 2012, Constantine has been diagnosed with Autism by specialists at Rush University Hospital and University of Chicago Hospital. Both medical centers have been advising my family on care plans and options. Core to our family's care plan for Constantine is to keep him safe, because of his disability he does not have the ability to make decisions about his safety, such as going into the street.

The Americans with Disabilities Act's protections include Autism. The ADA has set many precedents in protecting people with disabilities on both public and private property. In fact, the ADA specifically cites how municipal and city governments can be compelled to protect people with disabilities:

"City governments are required to make reasonable modifications to policies, practices, or procedures to prevent discrimination on the basis of disability. Reasonable modifications can include modifications to local laws, ordinances, and regulations that adversely impact people with disabilities. For example, it may be a reasonable modification to grant a variance for zoning requirements and setbacks. In addition, city governments may consider granting exceptions to the enforcement of certain laws as a form of reasonable modification. For example, a municipal ordinance banning animals from city health clinics may need to be modified to allow a blind individual who uses a service animal to bring the animal to a mental health counseling session." - Citation 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(b)(7).

When we installed a driveway gate at our residence in 2013 someone complained about the gate and the Village of Burr Ridge required us to take it down. My wife and I believe the safety of our son outweighs any complaints. I have videos of trucks using our driveway as a turnaround space, and this is simply not safe for our son.

I have consulted with a national disability advocacy group and the Illinois General Assembly and I believe it is clear that the Village of Burr Ridge has a responsibility to ease its zoning restrictions at my home because of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

I would like to work with you to resolve this matter quickly. My son's physical safety is at stake. Please call me at 708-912-1101 so we can set up a meeting to discuss.

Sincerely

Andrew Gofis

Cc: Steven Stricker, Village Administrator Mickey Straub, Village President Jim Durkin, House Republican Leader Christine Radogno, Scnate Republican Leader VILLAGE OF BURR RIDGE

PETITION FOR PUBLIC HEARING

PLAN COMMISSION/ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS:

Address of Property: 7383 Madison, Burr Ridge, Illinois 60527

Pin #: 09-25-102-010

GENERAL INFORMATION:

Petitioners: Efy and Andrew Gofis

Petitioners' Address: 7383 Madison, Burr Ridge, Illinois 60527

Phone: 708-912-1101

Email: agofis@gmail.com

Property owner: Efy and Andrew Gofis

Status of Petitioner:

Owner's Address: same

Phone: same

PROPERTY INFORMATION:

Site area: 7383 S. Madison St.

Existing zoning:

Existing use/improvements: Residential

Subdivision: Babson Park

DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST:

_____Special Use _____Rezoning _____Text Amendment ____X__Variation(s)

We are requesting two variations:

1. Brick pillars to support gates - Stone or brick to support driveway gates

2. Wrought iron gates to be located three or four feet from the property line instead of 30 feet from the property line -- because it's not 2 acres, our setback can't be 30 feet from the public way so that we can use the original pillars erected prior to purchase of home.

These variances are needed to provide safety for our son and end a dangerous situation. Our son Constantine Gofis, was born August 14, 2012. Constantine has been diagnosed with Autism by specialists at Rush University Hospital and University of Chicago Hospital. Both medical centers have been advising our family on care plans and options and discussing home safety concerns. Core to our family's care plan for Constantine is to keep him safe, because of his disability he does not have the ability to make decisions about his safety.

The Americans with Disabilities Act's protections include Autism. The ADA has set many precedents in protecting people with disabilities on both public and private property. In fact, the ADA specifically cites how municipal and city governments can be compelled to protect people with disabilities:

"City governments are required to make reasonable modifications to policies, practices, or procedures to prevent discrimination on the basis of disability. Reasonable modifications can include modifications to local laws, ordinances, and regulations that adversely impact people with disabilities. For example, it may be a reasonable modification to grant a variance for zoning requirements and setbacks. In addition, city governments may consider granting exceptions to the enforcement of certain laws as a form of reasonable modification. For example, a municipal ordinance banning animals from city health clinics may need to be modified to allow a blind individual who uses a service animal to bring the animal to a mental health counseling session." – Citation 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(b)(7).

When we installed a driveway gate at our residence in 2013, someone complained about the gate and the Village of Burr Ridge required us to take it down. We believe the safety of our son and federal disability laws outweigh any complaints. We have videos of trucks using our driveway as a turnaround space, and this is simply not safe for our son. There are often people who drive into our driveway simply to use it as a turnaround.

We have consulted with a national disability advocacy group and the Illinois General Assembly and I believe it is clear that the Village of Burr Ridge has a responsibility to ease its zoning restrictions at my home because of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

The above information and the attached Plat of Survey are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I understand the information contained in this petition will be used in preparation of a legal notice for public hearing. I acknowledge that I will be held responsible for any costs made necessary by an error in this petition.

October 24, 2016

Signature

Date Petition is Filed

FINDINGS OF FACT

VARIATION FROM THE VILLAGE OF BURR RIDGE ZONING ORDINANCE

Section XIII.H.3 of the Village of Burr Ridge Zoning Ordinance requires that the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals determine compliance with the following findings. In order for a variation to be approved, the petitioner must respond to and confirm each and every one of the following findings by indicating the facts supporting such findings.

a. Because of the particular physical surroundings, shape, or topographical conditions of the specific property involved, a particular hardship to the owner would result, as distinguished from a mere inconvenience, if the strict letter of the regulations were to be carried out

The layout of the property and the street is such that cars and trucks are often using the driveway for a turnaround, creating a significant risk of danger to our son, which creates a great hardship for our family.

b. The property in question cannot yield a reasonable return if permitted to be used only under the conditions allowed by the regulations governing the zoning district in which it is located

It is clear that in its current location, the property cannot yield a reasonable return because of drivers using our driveway as a turnaround point. Also, it is clear that if there were an accident affecting our son, then it would also result in a hardship to our property values.

c. The conditions upon which an application for a variation is based are unique to the property for which the variance is sought, and are not applicable, generally, to other property within the same zoning classification

This variance request only applies to homes in the village with children with Autism and where driveways are frequently used as a turnaround in addition to higher speed limits- so the number is very limited.

d. The purpose of the variation is not based primarily upon a desire to increase financial gain

The purpose of the variation request is to make accommodations for my son based on the Americans With Disabilities Act, not for financial gain.

e. The alleged difficulty or hardship is caused by this ordinance and has not been created by any persons presently having an interest in the property.

We did not create the unsafe situation; the problem is the layout of the property, its location and the dangerous drivers taking advantage of the high number of turnarounds.

f. The granting of the variation will not be detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to other property or improvements in the neighborhood in which the property is located.

The answer is exactly the opposite; the variation will increase public welfare and will not affect other property or improvements in the neighborhood.

g. The granting of the variation will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood or locality.

There will be no impact on the essential character of the neighborhood or locality

h. The proposed variation will not impair an adequate supply of light and air to adjacent property or substantially increase the congestion of the public streets, or increase the danger of fire, or impair natural drainage or create drainage problems on adjacent properties, or endanger the public safety, or substantially diminish or impair property values within the neighborhood

The proposed variance will not reduce nor affect the supply of light and air to adjacent property or increase congestion of the public streets. The proposed variance will not increase the danger of fire, or impair or create draining problems on adjacent properties. The proposed variance will increase public safety and therefore, can only increase property values in the neighborhood.

i. The proposed variation is consistent with the official Comprehensive Plan of the Village of Burr Ridge and other development codes of the Village.

The proposed variance will not affect the official Comprehensive Plan of the Village of Burr Ridge and other development codes of the Village.





Karen J. Thomas Village Clerk

7660 County Line Rd. • Burr Ridge, IL 60527 (630) 654-8181 • Fax (630) 654-8269 • www.burr-ridge.gov

Steven S. Stricker Village Administrator

November 22, 2016

President Straub and Board of Trustees 7660 County Line Road Burr Ridge, Illinois 60527

Re: Z-14-2016; 7936 Madison Street (Keefer)

Dear President and Board of Trustees:

The Plan Commission transmits for your consideration its recommendation to approve a request by Karl Keefer, c/o Laundry Services Company, Inc. for special use approval as per Section X.F.2.k of the Burr Ridge Zoning Ordinance to permit outside, overnight storage of five (5) delivery/service vehicles. The petitioner seeks to open an industrial laundry business at 7936 Madison Street. The business is a permitted use at this location.

After due notice, as required by law, the Plan Commission held a public hearing on November 21, 2016. The petitioner testified that the vehicles would be delivery vans, that there would be no more than five vehicles parked outside overnight, and agreed to keep the vehicles behind the building at night. There was no public testimony for this petition.

Based on the limited number and size of the vehicles and the location of the parked vehicles behind the building out of sight of the adjacent residential properties, the Plan Commission believes this request is consistent with the Zoning Ordinance and past special use approvals.

Accordingly, by a vote of 6 to 0, the Plan Commission recommends that the Board of Trustees approve Z-14-2016, a special use to permit outside, overnight storage of five (5) delivery/service vehicles, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The special use shall be limited to Laundry Services Company and the petitioner and may not be transferred to any other business or land use.
- There shall be no more than five such vehicles and the vehicles shall be of the 2. type shown on the submitted photograph.
- The vehicles shall be parked overnight behind (on the west side) of the 3. building during nighttime hours.
- Prior to occupancy, the petitioner shall provide documentation to staff that 4. there are five or more parking spaces located behind the building and reserved for the exclusive use of overnight parking of the delivery vehicles.

Sincerely,

Mary Praxmarer, Vice Chairperson Village of Burr Ridge Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals

MP:JDP/jdp Enclosures









Mickey Straub Mayor

Karen J. Thomas Village Clerk

7660 County Line Rd. • Burr Ridge, IL 60527 (630) 654-8181 • Fax (630) 654-8269 • www.burr-ridge.gov

Steven S. Stricker Village Administrator

November 22, 2016

President Straub and Board of Trustees 7660 County Line Road Burr Ridge, Illinois 60527

Re: Z-13-2016; Zoning Ordinance Text Amendment – Front Yard Walls and Monuments

Dear President and Board of Trustees:

The Plan Commission transmits for your consideration its recommendation to amend Section IV.I of the Burr Ridge Zoning Ordinance relative to permitted accessory structures for residential properties and in particular, regulations for architectural entrance structures, walls and masonry piers.

After due notice, as required by law, the Plan Commission held a public hearing on November 21, 2016. Village staff brought this amendment to the Plan Commission based on recent code enforcement cases where these types of structures were built without permits. Prior to scheduling the public hearing, the Commission requested and was directed by the Board of Trustees to conduct a public hearing to consider these changes.

The amendments permit architectural entrance structures on lots of 40,000 square feet (currently only allowed on lots of 70,000 square feet or more) and better define these types of structures. The amendments relative to driveway walls permit driveway walls in a front yard provided they are at least 20 feet from the front lot line and permit 3 foot monuments at the ends of the walls.

By a vote of 6 to 0, the Plan Commission *recommends that the Board of Trustees approve* Z-03-2016, amendments to the General Regulations of the Zoning Ordinance, as per the attached draft.

Sincerely,

Mary Praxmarer, Vice Chairperson Village of Burr Ridge Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals

MP:JDP/jdp Enclosures

AMENDMENT TO SECTIONS IV.I.5 AND IV.I.38 OF THE BURR RIDGE ZONING ORDINANCE

5. Architectural Entrance Structures

Architectural entrance structures, on a lot not less than 70,000 40,000 square feet in area, are permitted in a front, side or rear buildable area and are also permitted in the required front yard. Architectural entrance structures must comply with the following terms and conditions:

- a. Architectural entrance structures shall be located adjacent to driveways and the number of structures shall not exceed two per driveway; one on each side of the driveway.
- b. Each architectural entrance structure may not exceed six feet in height measured from the ground level at the lowest grade level within five feet of either side of the structure. A light fixture may be added above the six foot height limit not exceeding 18 inches.
- c. The foot print of an architectural entrance structure shall not exceed four square feet except that wing walls not exceeding four feet in height and three feet in length shall be permitted.
- d. The primary materials for architectural entrance structures are limited to masonry brick, natural stone, or similar materials.
- e. Architectural entrance structures are subject to the issuance of a permit and must be provided with a foundation and structural elements as required by the Village building codes.
- *f*. Architectural entrance features at an entrance to a subdivision are permitted as regulated by the Village of Burr Ridge Subdivision Ordinance.

38. Walls and Masonry Piers (Residence Districts)

In Residence Districts only, solid architectural walls of compatible building material as the principal building shall be permitted as follows:

d. Driveway Seat Walls; Driveway seat walls, not more than 24 inches in height from drive floor to the top of wall and not more than 42 inches measured within 2 feet of the base of the wall shall be permitted in association with driveways in permitted locations as per Section IV.I.2. Driveway seat walls may not project into the required front yard and must be setback at least 2 feet from the side property line. and subject to the following terms and conditions:

- *i.* Driveway seat walls may be located in a required front or corner side yard provided they are at least 20 feet from a front or corner side lot line.
- *ii.* Driveway seat walls shall not exceed 24 inches in height from drive floor to the top of wall and not more than 42 inches measured within 2 feet of the base of the wall **except** that monuments located at the end of such walls may be 36 inches in height.

AN AGREEMENT REGULATING VIDEO SURVEILLANCE CAMERAS (Burr Ridge Meadows Subdivision)

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into by and between the Village of Burr Ridge (hereinafter "VILLAGE"), an Illinois municipal corporation, and the Burr Ridge Meadows Home Moreover Source Association (hereinafter "ASSOCIATION"), by and through their respective duly authorized agents and/or representatives, relative to the public streets and open, visible property area comprising the entrance area to the Burr Ridge Meadows Subdivision in the VILLAGE (hereinafter "AGREEMENT").

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, Article VII, Section 10(a) of the Illinois Constitution, and applicable law, authorizes a municipality to contract or otherwise associate with individuals, associations, and corporations in any manner not prohibited; and

WHEREAS, the VILLAGE has received a written request for such an agreement from the ASSOCIATION, which represents a subdivision of homes located in Burr Ridge, Illinois commonly referred to as Burr Ridge Meadows Subdivision; and

WHEREAS, the President and the Board of Trustees of the VILLAGE find it is in the best interests of the community, and in furtherance of the health, safety, and welfare of the residents of the ASSOCIATION, to cooperate with the ASSOCIATION in the installation and use of surveillance cameras at the entrance to the Subdivision; and

WHEREAS, the ASSOCIATION seeks to cooperate with the VILLAGE and wants to allow the VILLAGE and its Police Department to install and have access to the data from video surveillance cameras for security and investigative purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing and in consideration of the parties' mutual understandings, promises, covenants, and agreements as set forth below, the parties hereby agree and covenant as follows:

1. *Purpose*. This Agreement is intended to provide for the installation, maintenance and operation of surveillance cameras at the entrances of the Burr Ridge Meadows Subdivision of Burr Ridge ("SUBDIVISION"). The ASSOCIATION has asked the VILLAGE to use surveillance cameras at the entrances to the SUBDIVISION at Plainfield Rd. and Garywood Dr. and Tomlin Dr. and Dougshire Ct. to enhance security for the area. The ASSOCIATION hereby agrees to the installation and use of such surveillance cameras, and agrees to allow the Police Department of the VILLAGE access to any and all information

from the camera feeds. It is expressly understood that the Police Department will not provide any live surveillance, but will passively monitor the camera data, with the intention of utilizing the data when needed or relevant to an investigation.

- 2. *Work To Be Performed*. The Village agrees to extend its wireless network to Plainfield Rd. and Garywood Dr. and Tomlin Dr. and Dougshire Ct. The Village will install six cameras, said installation to be on the light posts at both entrances to the subdivision, as depicted on <u>Exhibit A-1</u>. Installation of the cameras will be completed on or before December 23, 2016. The camera feeds/data will be recorded and stored on VILLAGE servers.
- 3. The VILLAGE will purchase the equipment and services outlined in the Video Surveillance System Proposal conditioned on reimbursement to the Village by the Association as provided hereinafter below. The cameras and equipment used will be Bosch, generally described on <u>Exhibit A-2</u> ("Equipment"). It has been represented to the parties by Bosch that this equipment carries a 3 year warranty and has an average life span of 10 years. The VILLAGE agrees to maintain the equipment in good working condition for the term of this AGREEMENT. If the equipment becomes non-functional (meaning it becomes inoperable, it is vandalized, or it is damaged through an act of God, or the ASSOCIATION desires upgrades or replacement of the equipment, it shall be at the sole expense of the ASSOCIATION. Prior to the end of the 3 year warranty period, the ASSOCIATION also shall have the option to purchase the preventive maintenance plan, as available, that includes full parts and labor, quarterly tune ups, and unlimited phone support, for \$68.90 a month for additional equipment protection.
- 4. *Costs.* The VILLAGE will arrange for the installation of these six cameras at the entrances to the SUBDIVISION at Plainfield Rd. and Garywood Dr. and Tomlin Dr. and Dougshire Ct.. The ASSOCIATION agrees to pay the VILLAGE half of the cost of the cameras and installation, in the amount of \$10,829 upon the execution of this Agreement. The ASSOCIATION agrees to pay the remaining cost of an additional \$10,829 to the VILLAGE upon the completion of the installation of the eight cameras. The ASSOCIATION shall at all times, upon receipt of an invoice, be required to reimburse the VILLAGE for the full amount of any and all costs and expenses required by this Agreement.
- Administration. There will be no live monitoring or surveillance by the VILLAGE using these cameras. The ASSOCIATION agrees that the VILLAGE will have unlimited access
 309273 1

to the camera images and data, as may be determined necessary by the VILLAGE, in the VILLAGE's sole discretion.

1

- 6. *Compliance With Laws.* The cameras and information from these cameras shall be operated and used at all times in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations. These cameras are not intended for use for any individual, private or commercial purpose, nor are they intended to be used for any purpose which would constitute an invasion of any protected personal privacy interest nor to interfere with any person's reasonable expectation of personal privacy. The cameras shall be used in such a manner as to minimize or eliminate the capturing of any images or information inside any residence or private areas in the SUBDIVISION. Under no circumstances shall the information from the cameras be used in violation of any law or right of any persons. The VILLAGE reserves the unfettered right to determine when any particular use of certain information from the cameras may be in violation of any law or right of any person, persons or group and to take appropriate steps to properly address any such potential violation.
- 7. *Record-keeping*. The VILLAGE agrees to maintain the camera feeds/data on its server(s) for a period of 30 days or as may otherwise be required by law. The parties understand and agree that if such information and data is maintained by the VILLAGE, it may be subject to public release, if required by law. The VILLAGE shall have the discretion to determine if such information, records or data are required to be released under any applicable law. Information and communication regarding this Agreement and its implementation shall be between the President of the ASSOCIATION or his/her designated representative in cooperation with the VILLAGE Police Chief or his/her designated representative.
- 8. *Liability*. The VILLAGE, its Police Department or any of its staff or consultants shall have the right, but no legal duty, to monitor, analyze or otherwise review the camera feeds/data from these surveillance cameras, as needed in furtherance of the work of the Police Department. The VILLAGE agrees in good faith to operate the cameras in good working order but shall assume no liability or responsibility for any improper or negligent installation, positioning, temporary malfunction or inoperability, maintenance or monitoring of the cameras, or the information generated therefrom.
- Assumption of Risk. The ASSOCIATION hereby acknowledges that there are liability risks and issues which may arise due to surveillance activities hereunder, and the 309273_1

ASSOCIATION agrees to assume, and does hereby assume, the full risk of any claims, including possible legal claims for damages, which the ASSOCIATION may experience or sustain as a result of entering into this AGREEMENT. The ASSOCIATION acknowledges that any such claims, which the Applicant may, or does, sustain as a result of entering into this AGREEMENT will not be covered by any insurance policy of which the VILLAGE is an insured.

- 10. *Waiver and Release of Claims*. The Applicant agrees to waive and relinquish, and hereby waives and relinquishes, all claims that the Applicant may have, or which may arise, against, involving or related to the VILLAGE stemming from, involving or related to this AGREEMENT and the video surveillance hereunder. The ASSOCIATION fully releases and discharges the VILLAGE from any and all claims for injuries, damages or violations of any rights of any kind, which the ASSOCIATION or any person or homeowner may have or which may accrue in the future, stemming from, involving or related to this AGREEMENT and the video surveillance hereunder.
- 11. *Term.* This AGREEMENT shall be for a term of ten (10) years. Either party may elect to terminate this AGREEMENT by providing thirty (30) days' written notice to the other provided however, that the responsibility for costs and reimbursement as set forth in paragraph 4 hereof shall remain that of the ASSOCIATION, and that the ASSOCIATION shall remain responsible for payment and/or reimbursement of any costs incurred by the VILLAGE for the purchase of the cameras and installation, as shall be due and owing at such time as the ASSOCIATION may elect to terminate the AGREEMENT pursuant to this paragraph 11.
- 12. *Notice*. Whenever notice is required to be sent to the VILLAGE, it shall be addressed as

follows:

Village Clerk Village of Burr Ridge 7660 S. County Line Rd. Burr Ridge, IL 60527

with a copy to:

Police Chief Burr Ride Police Department 7660 S. County Line Rd. Burr Ridge, IL 60527

and whenever notice is required to be sent to the ASSOCIATION, it shall be addressed as follows:

Burr Ridge Meadows Home Owners Association Attn: Richard Morley, President Burr Ridge. IL 60527

The ASSOCIATION shall be responsible for advising the VILLAGE in writing of any change in the above contact information. All notices shall be sent by personal delivery or certified mail, return receipt requested, and shall be deemed given as of the date of the personal delivery or, if given by certified mail, three (3) days from the date of mailing.

- 13. *Authority to Execute*. The ASSOCIATION hereby warrants and covenants that it has the full power and authority to enter into this AGREEMENT with the VILLAGE and with the execution of this AGREEMENT will provide the VILLAGE with satisfactory proof of the current legal status of the ASSOCIATION, as well as the authority of the undersigned to act on behalf of the ASSOCIATION and make the commitments set forth herein, including a written copy of a motion or resolution adopted by its Board of Directors regarding such authority. Any changes in the status of the ASSOCIATION, its authority or its legal structure shall be reported immediately to the VILLAGE.
- 14. *Entire Understanding*. This Agreement constitutes the entire understanding between the VILLAGE and the ASSOCIATION with respect to the subject matter contained herein and supersedes any and all prior understandings and/or agreements between the parties, whether written, oral, or otherwise. Any and all representations, agreements, promises, and/or understandings not expressly set forth herein are hereby null, void, and of no legal effect.
- 15. *Amendments*. This Agreement may be modified or amended only by the mutual consent of the parties. Any modification or amendment of this Agreement must be in writing, signed by the parties, and duly executed. Any attempt to modify or amend this Agreement that fails to conform to the aforementioned requirements shall be null, void, and of no legal effect.
- 16. *Counterparts*. This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts, with each counterpart deemed to be an original. This Agreement shall be effective on the last date executed by the parties below.
- 17. Severability. The terms, conditions, and provisions of this Agreement shall be severable, and if any terms, condition, or provision is found to be unenforceable for any reason

whatsoever, the remaining terms, conditions, and provisions shall remain in full force and effect.

18. *Illinois Law*. This Agreement is entered into under, and shall be governed for all purposes by, the laws of the State of Illinois.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the VILLAGE, pursuant to authority granted by its Board of Trustees, has caused this Agreement to be executed by its President and attested by its Clerk, and the ASSOCIATION, pursuant to the authority duly granted by the adoption of a [Motion/ Resolution] by its Board of Directors, has caused this instrument to be signed by its President and attested by its Secretary.

> RIDGE BURR RIDGE MEADOWS HOME OWNERS ASSOCIATION By:

VILLAGE OF BURR RIDGE

By:

Village President

ATTEST:

ATTEST:

By:_

:_____ Village Clerk By: Secretary

EXHIBIT A-1 [Location of cameras]

On the light posts at the entrance to the subdivision on Plainfield Rd. and Garywood Dr. and Tomlin Dr. and Dougshire Ct.



EXHIBIT A-2

[Equipment]

[Equipment]		
BURR RIDGE MEADOWS		
VIDEO SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM PROPOSAL		
ride Course		
Fidei Group	Qty	Price
Camera system with a total of 6 cameras covering 2 subdivision Entrances /Exits	Qty	\$19,988
Camera system with a total of 6 cameras covering 2 subdivision entrances , exact		1 7
Bosch Starlight Dome Overview Camera (Make, Model Shot)	2	
Bosch LPR (License Plate Recognitions) Cameras	4	
	2	
BOSCH 1-CHANNEL ENCODER, H.264 DUAL-STREAMING, SD CARD	2	
SLOT, EXCL. PSU (without FPGA for VCA)		
POWER SUPPLY, 120VAC 60HZ, 12VDC 1A OUTPUT	2	
WiFi Bridge	2	
8PORT10/ 100+2GIGE TP/ SFP	2	
	2	
IFS 48VDC High Temp Industrial Power Supply	2	
Installation Materials / Enclosures	1	
DIVAR IP 3000 VIDEO MANAGEMENT APPLIANCE, MICRO TOWER	1	
(4-BAY), JBOD 8TB (4x2TB), FRONT-SWAPPABLE HDD; INCLUDES BVMS		
SERVER/CLIENT/VRM/VSG, SINGLE DVI (DVR - Digital Video Recorder)		
Setup and Installation	1	
	1	
Von's Electrical	2	\$1,000
Electrical Hookup to existing street light		1-7
Orbis Communciations		
Project management and network configuration	1	\$500
Signage		6170
Custom video surveilliance signs, post, installation	2	\$170
	-	\$21,658
Total		921,000

VILLAGE OF BURR RIDGE

8E

ACCOUNTS PAYABLE APPROVAL REPORT BOARD DATE: 11/28/16 PAYMENT DATE: 11/29/16 FI SCAL 16-17

FUND	FUND NAME	PAYABLE	TOTAL AMOUNT
10	General Fund	64,241.60	64,241.60
21	E-911 Fund	68.00	68.00
23	Hotel/Motel Tax Fund	38,187.59	38,187.59
24	Places of Eating Tax	4,675.00	4,675.00
41	Debt Service Fund	51,931.25	51,931.25
51	Water Fund	5,282.80	5,282.80
52	Sewer Fund	372.91	372.91
61	Information Technology Fund	7,466.69	7,466.69
	TOTAL ALL FUNDS	\$ 172,225.84	\$ 172,225.84

GRAND TOTAL

```
$ 172,225.84
```

PAYROLL

PAY PERIOD ENDING NOVEMBER 19, 2016

	TOTAL PAYROLL
Legislation	2,627.98
Administration	14,563.80
Community Development	10,276.12
Finance	8,886.30
Police	109,444.62
Public Works	21,031.22
Water	27,125.05
Sewer	7,629.21
IT Fund	258.36
TOTAL	\$ 201,842.66

GRAND TOTAL

\$ 374,068.50

11/23/2016 09:16 AM User: scarman DB: Burr Ridge	INVOICE GI EXP	DISTRIBUTION REPORT FOR CHECK RUN DATES 11/20/201 BOTH JOURNALIZED AND UNJ BOTH OPEN AND PA	16 - 11/23/2016 OURNALIZED	RIDGE	Page:	1/7
GL Number	Invoice Line Desc	Vendor	Invoice Date	Invoice		Amount
Fund 10 General Fund						
Dept 1010 Boards & Commiss						
10-1010-50-5015	-	Christine Charkewycz	11/01/16	17		780.00
10-1010-50-5025	BRM permit #259000-Nov16	Postmaster	11/20/16	BR259000/Nov16		215.00
10-1010-50-5030	1	Call One	11/15/16	1213106Nov'16		53.87
10-1010-80-8010		DuPage County Treasurer		821		250.00
10-1010-80-8025	Reimb lunch exp/Sgt. promo assm		11/17/16	11-17-16		56.96
10-1010-80-8030	I 5	Fernando Garron	10/28/16	Oct2016		450.00
10-1010-80-8030	Video tape board mtg-10/24/16	Fernando Garron	10/28/16	Oct2016		575.00
			Total For Dept	1010 Boards & Commissions		2,380.83
Dept 2010 Administration						
10-2010-40-4042	IRMA educational summit/Stricke	I.R.M.A.	10/31/16	IVC0009863		75.00
10-2010-50-5030	Telephone-Nov'16	Call One	11/15/16	1213106Nov'16		350.15
			Matal Day Days	2010 Administration		40E 1E
Dept 3010 Community Develo	nmont		Total For Dept	2010 Administration		425.15
10-3010-40-4042	IRMA educational summit/Pollock	трмл	10/31/16	IVC0009863		75.00
10-3010-50-5020	Elevator inspections/90-Oct'16			63607		2,848.00
10-3010-50-5020	-	Call One	11/15/16	1213106Nov'16		538.70
10-3010-50-5035	Public hearing notc-10/01/16		10/31/16	002980900		2,436.00
10-3010-50-5075	B&F inspections/150 harvester-0			45239		808.56
	B&F insp/743 McClintock Ste B-0			45259		808.56
10-3010-50-5075 10-3010-50-5075	B&F inspections/Marriott-Nov'16			45269		600.00
10-3010-50-5075	÷			45332		3,419.32
		B & F Construction Code S				
10-3010-50-5075		Don Morris Architects P.C		Oct2016		3,590.00
10-3010-50-5075	DMorris inspections-Oct'16	Don Morris Architects P.C		Oct2016		4,330.00
			Total For Dept	3010 Community Development		19,454.14
Dept 4010 Finance						
10-4010-50-5030	1	Call One	11/15/16	1213106Nov'16		269.35
10-4010-50-5035	Annual treasurer's report-10/06	Chicago Tribune	10/31/16	002980900		470.40
			Total For Dept	4010 Finance		739.75
Dept 4020 Central Services						
10-4020-50-5081		Discovery Benefits, Inc.		12993 692940-IN		83.00
10-4020-60-6000	SMD-74380 file pockets 3.5" exp		11/07/16	5527 665832-0		21.90
10-4020-60-6000	SMD-74390 file pockets 5.25" ex		11/07/16	5527 665832-0		23.90
10-4020-60-6000	AAG-E17-00 desk calendar base-N	11 1	11/07/16	5527 665832-0		9.50
10-4020-60-6000	AVE-5876 Laser business cards-N	11 1	11/07/16	5527 665832-0		9.99
10-4020-60-6000	OXF-5354023X report covers-Nov1		11/07/16	5527 665832-0		85.80
10-4020-60-6000	AVE-11122 indexes 5-tab clear-N		11/07/16	5527 665832-0		26.25
10-4020-60-6010	lcs coffee & supls/VH-Nov'16			539 139045		38.45
10-4020-60-6010	lcs Folgers coffee & supls/PD-N			139178		43.45
10-4020-60-6010	Batteries & bottled water-Nov'1		11/14/16	11-14-16		44.61
10-4020-60-6010	UNV-28110 copier paper 11x17/1c		11/09/16	5527 666115-0		32.99
10-4020-60-6010	UNV-11202 blue paper 8.5x11/2rm		11/09/16	5527 666115-0		7.98
10-4020-60-6010	UNV-11204 green paper 8.5x112rm	Runco Office Supply	11/09/16	5527 666115-0		7.98
			Total For Dept	4020 Central Services		435.80
Dept 5010 Police			11/00/11	0070		
10-5010-40-4032	Spiewak outershell jacket/O'Con	-	11/02/16	9873		149.95
10-5010-40-4032	Jacket embroidery/O'Connor-Nov'		11/02/16	9873		6.00
10-5010-40-4032	Initial uniforms/Jarolimek-Nov1	-	11/02/16	9874		266.90
10-5010-40-4032	Initial uniforms/Hoster-Nov'16	-	11/02/16	9875		266.90
10-5010-40-4032	Spiewak outer shell jacket/Barn	JG Uniforms, Inc.	11/02/16	9876		149.95

11/23/2016 09:16 AM User: scarman DB: Burr Ridge		DISTRIBUTION REPORT FOR CHECK RUN DATES 11/20/201 BOTH JOURNALIZED AND UNJO BOTH OPEN AND PA:	6 - 11/23/2016 DURNALIZED	RIDGE	Page:	2/7
GL Number	Invoice Line Desc	Vendor	Invoice Date	Invoice		Amount
Fund 10 General Fund Dept 5010 Police						
10-5010-40-4032	Spiewak softshell jacket/Barnes	JG Uniforms, Inc	11/02/16	9876		104.95
10-5010-40-4032		JG Uniforms, Inc.	11/02/16	9876		12.00
10-5010-40-4032		JG Uniforms, Inc.	11/02/16	9876		16.00
10-5010-40-4032		JG Uniforms, Inc.	11/03/16	9978		256.00
10-5010-40-4032	Initial uniform pchs/Jarolimek-	-	11/11/16	10401		331.50
10-5010-40-4032	Initial uniform pchs/Hoster-Nov	-	11/11/16	10402		331.50
10-5010-40-4032	-	JG Uniforms, Inc.	11/18/16	10743		126.00
10-5010-40-4032	#47W6686 L/S shirt/1-Wirth/Nov1	-	11/04/16	1662331-IN		49.50
10-5010-40-4040	2017 DCCOP membership/Madden	DuPage County Chiefs of H	11/16/16	Nov2016		275.00
10-5010-40-4040	2017 DCCOP membership/Loftus	DuPage County Chiefs of H	11/16/16	Nov2016		275.00
10-5010-40-4042	DuPage COP mtg/Madden-Oct'16	Village of Burr Ridge	11/17/16	Nov2016		25.00
10-5010-40-4042	DuPage COP mtg/Madden-Nov'16	Village of Burr Ridge	11/17/16	Nov2016		25.00
10-5010-40-4042	FBINAA mtg/Madden-Oct'16	Village of Burr Ridge	11/17/16	Nov2016		25.00
10-5010-40-4042		Village of Burr Ridge	11/17/16	Nov2016		25.00
10-5010-40-4042	DuPage COP mtg/Madden-Nov'16	Village of Burr Ridge	11/17/16	Nov2016		25.00
10-5010-40-4042		Village of Burr Ridge	11/17/16	Nov2016		25.00
10-5010-40-4042		Village of Burr Ridge	11/17/16	Nov2016		37.44
10-5010-40-4042	Illinois LEAP mtg/Pavelchik-Dec	Illinois Law Enforcement	11/09/16	Dec2016		25.00
10-5010-50-5020	-	LexisNexis Risk Solutions	s11/30/16	1267894-20161031		138.00
10-5010-50-5030	-	Call One	11/15/16	1213106Nov'16		1,481.41
10-5010-50-5030	Telephone/outside emerg phone-N		11/15/16	1213106Nov'16		42.65
10-5010-50-5050		J&L Electronic Service, 1		1000469		37.90
10-5010-50-5050	Voice Link Plus 2 transmitter-N			0247889-IN		234.00
10-5010-50-5050	950639 Cable Assy, Power, hhm-N		11/09/16	672673		75.00
10-5010-50-5050	Handling chg inv 672673-Nov16	-	11/09/16	672673		9.95
10-5010-50-5050	-	MPH Industries, Inc.	11/09/16	672673		50.00
10-5010-50-5050	950639 Cable Assy, Power, hhm/N		11/09/16	672674		75.00
10-5010-50-5050		MPH Industries, Inc.	11/09/16	672674		9.95
10-5010-50-5050	÷	MPH Industries, Inc.	11/09/16	672674		50.00
10-5010-50-5050		MPH Industries, Inc.	11/09/16	672675		9.95
10-5010-50-5050	-	MPH Industries, Inc.	11/09/16	672675		50.00
10-5010-50-5050	2	MPH Industries, Inc.	11/09/16	672676		9.95
10-5010-50-5050	-	MPH Industries, Inc.	11/09/16	672676		50.00
10-5010-50-5050		MPH Industries, Inc.	11/09/16	672676		21.15
10-5010-50-5050 10-5010-50-5051		Public Safety Direct, Inc Fuller's Car Wash		89416 4303		100.00 300.96
	Engine diagnostics/#0214-Oct'16		10/31/16 10/27/16	2710020 301933		202.43
10-5010-50-5051 10-5010-50-5051	Rpl headlight/'13 Ford-Oct'16			53543		45.90
10-5010-50-5051	Repl. brake light bulb/#1106-No	-		53673		9.78
10-5010-50-5051	Rpl drive belt & GOF/unit #1405	-	11/11/16	6227664/2		123.31
10-5010-50-5051	-	Willowbrook Ford	11/16/16	6228061/2		45.80
10-5010-50-5051		Willowbrook Ford	11/17/16	6228153/1		103.50
10-5010-50-5095		Concentra Medical Centers		1009731720		215.50
10-5010-50-5095	5	First Advantage Occupatio		948133		107.00
10-5010-50-5095	5	Kerkstra Cleaners	11/02/16	770140		30.00
10-5010-50-5095	5 1	Tom & Jerry Tire & Servic		58819		185.00
10-5010-60-6000	QUA #37855 clasp env 6"x9"/PD-N	2	11/07/16	5901 665855-0		9.99
10-5010-60-6000	UNV #72220 paper clips/PD-Nov16		11/07/16	5901 665855-0		1.49
10-5010-60-6000	AVT-75524 ID badge holders-Nov1		11/10/16	5901 666295-0		19.00
10-5010-60-6000	BSN-17525 manila file folders/1		11/18/16	5901 667181-0		5.79
10-5010-60-6000	MMM1456 scotch Pkg tape/1pk-Nov		11/18/16	5901 667181-0		14.99
10-5010-60-6010	5 1 1	Village of Burr Ridge	11/17/16	Nov2016		11.90
10-5010-60-6010	S-12188-0 28" reflective cones/	5	10/31/16	81497769		300.00
		-				

10-5010-60-6010 Frt/hndling chg-Oct'16 Uline, Inc. 10/31/16 81497769	Amount 300.00 67.93 595.00 304.99 349.99 349.99 24.00 25.00 109.85 109.85
Dept 5010 Police 10-5010-60-6010 S-12188-L 28" reflective cones Uline, Inc. 10/31/16 81497769 10-5010-60-6010 Frt/hndling chg-Oct'16 Uline, Inc. 10/31/16 81497769	67.93 595.00 304.99 349.99 349.99 24.00 25.00 109.85
10-5010-60-6010 S-12188-L 28" reflective cones Uline, Inc. 10/31/16 81497769 10-5010-60-6010 Frt/hndling chg-Oct'16 Uline, Inc. 10/31/16 81497769	67.93 595.00 304.99 349.99 349.99 24.00 25.00 109.85
10-5010-60-6010 Frt/hndling chg-Oct'16 Uline, Inc. 10/31/16 81497769	67.93 595.00 304.99 349.99 349.99 24.00 25.00 109.85
	595.00 304.99 349.99 349.99 24.00 25.00 109.85
10-5010-7020 Upritting of emergency ven #170 Public Safety Direct, incl1/14/16 89421 I,	304.99 349.99 349.99 24.00 25.00 109.85
10-5010-70-7020 Cabinet Subframe with Electroni Public Safety Direct, Inc11/14/16 89421	349.99 349.99 24.00 25.00 109.85
	349.99 24.00 25.00 109.85
	24.00 25.00 109.85
10-5010-70-7020 Two-Light top-channel for Ford-Public Safety Direct, Inc11/14/16 89421	25.00 109.85
10-5010-70-7020 Federal Signal ES100 Universal Public Safety Direct, Inc11/14/16 89421	109.85
10-5010-70-7020 Star Signal DLX3 surface mount/Public Safety Direct, Inc11/14/16 89421	98.10
10-5010-70-7020 Headlight Flasher (with diiode Public Safety Direct, Inc11/14/16 89421	75.00
10-5010-70-7020 Taillight / Reverse light flash Public Safety Direct, Inc1/14/16 89421	75.00
10-5010-70-7020 Feniex Cobra 600 LED light stic Public Safety Direct, Inc11/14/16 89421	382.50
	149.99
10-5010-70-7020 GoRhino! Sliding Window Partiti Public Safety Direct, Inc11/14/16 89421	488.09
10-5010-70-7020 GoRhino! Interceptor Utility Public Safety Direct, Inc11/14/16 89421	119.95
10-5010-70-7020 GoRhino! Ford Interceptor Utili Public Safety Direct, Inc11/14/16 89421	127.47
	599.99
	501.99
10-5010-70-7020 RDS Refresh kit Public Safety Direct, Inc11/14/16 89421	75.00
	299.00
10-5010-70-7020 Remove equipment/unit #1307-Nov Public Safety Direct, Inc11/15/16 89426	325.00
Total For Dept 5010 Police	560.52
Dept 6010 Public Works	
10-6010-40-4032 Uniform rental/cleaning-11/08/1 Breens Inc. 11/08/16 9027 360057	65.58
10-6010-40-4032 Uniform rental/cleaning-11/15/1 Breens Inc. 11/15/16 9027 360242	65.58
	150.00
	260.00
	107.50
	107.50
10-6010-40-4041 Pre-empl physical/Vaickus-Oct'l Concentra Medical Centers 11/25/16 1009754542 10-6010-40-4041 Pre-emp drug screen/2-Oct16 First Advantage Occupatic 10/31/16 948133	107.50 55.50
	996.00
	022.40
10-6010-50-5030 Telephone/PW fax line-Nov'16 Call One 11/15/16 1213106Nov'16	42.89
	166.12
10-6010-50-5030 Telephone/RA-Nov'16 Call One 11/15/16 1213106Nov'16	42.65
	448.91
10-6010-50-5035 HVAC bid notice ad-10/28/16 Shaw Media 10/31/16 10074573/Oct16	90.24
10-6010-50-5050 Safety insp/flatbead trailer-Oc Courtney's Safety Lane, I10/25/16 7965	35.00
10-6010-50-5050 Hauling transport of unit-590/OH & R Construction, Inc. 10/20/16 15392	450.00
10-6010-50-5050 PW Pressure washer serviced High PSI LTD. 10/31/16 53169	379.71
10-6010-50-5051 Rpl eng. turbo & press. swich/# B & R Repair & Co. 10/27/16 WI058917 3,	710.34
10-6010-50-5051 Safety inspection/unit #23-Oct' Courtney's Safety Lane, I10/24/16 7958	35.00
10-6010-50-5051 Safety insp/2 vehs-Oct'16 Courtney's Safety Lane, I10/25/16 7965	70.00
10-6010-50-5051 Safety insp/unit #29-Nov'16 Courtney's Safety Lane, I11/10/16 8068	52.00
	176.80
	131.40
10-6010-50-5055 Electric/Mad. R.R. crossing-Nov COMED 11/02/16 3699071070/Nov16	37.53
	175.00
10-6010-50-5065 Electric/ComEd street lights-Oc Dynegy Energy Services, I 10/28/16 196015416101 2,	722.62

11/23/2016 09:16 AM User: scarman DB: Burr Ridge	INVOICE EX	GL DISTRIBUTION REPORT FOF XP CHECK RUN DATES 11/20/2 BOTH JOURNALIZED AND UN BOTH OPEN AND F	JOURNALIZED	RIDGE	Page: 4/7
GL Number	Invoice Line Desc	Vendor	Invoice Date	Invoice	Amount
Fund 10 General Fund					
Dept 6010 Public Works 10-6010-50-5085	Shop towel rental-11/08/16	Breens Inc.	11/08/16	9027 360057	4.50
10-6010-50-5085	Shop towel rental-11/15/16	Breens Inc.	11/15/16	9027 360242	4.50
10-6010-50-5095	Random drug screens/2-Oct'16	Concentra Medical Cente		1009732649	4.30
10-6010-50-5095	Random drug screen/2-Oct 16	First Advantage Occupat		948133	55.50
10-6010-60-6010	Misc. vehicle supls-Oct'16	Westown Auto Supply Co.		2901 71263	33.78
10-6010-60-6042	Truck weight signs (Mad-91st S			88171	858.05
10-6010-60-6042	Bridewell sign replmnt/reimb-0			88172	538.00
10-0010-00-0042	Bridewerr Sign reprinte/rernb-c	C IIAIIIC CONCIOI & FIOLE	:01 10/ 51/ 10	00172	
			Total For Dept	6010 Public Works	15,329.60
Dept 6020 Buildings & Gro					
10-6020-50-5052	Annual sprinkler sys insp/VH k			107658 SI-448778	395.00
10-6020-50-5052	Sprinkler sys insp prorated/VH	I-Alarm Detection Systems	, 10/31/16	107658 SI-448778	81.77
10-6020-50-5052	Rpr HVAC/PW-Oct'16	Alliance Mechanical Ser	vi10/21/16	1150050	1,049.70
10-6020-50-5052	Repair HVAC/PW-Oct'16	Alliance Mechanical Ser	vi10/21/16	11670_1150051	2,635.13
10-6020-50-5052	Generator PM/PW-Nov'16	Nationwide Power Soluti	on 11/15/16	320942	420.00
10-6020-50-5052	Generator PM/VH-Nov'16	Nationwide Power Soluti	on 11/15/16	320942A	412.50
10-6020-50-5052	Rpr gate/PW-Nov'16	Rag's Electric	11/08/16	20991	333.00
10-6020-50-5052	Compost removal/PW-Oct'16	Waste Management	11/01/16	0004414-4934-5	120.00
10-6020-50-5057	Utility & park sites-Oct'16	Desiderio Landscaping L	LC 11/05/16	8612	802.50
10-6020-50-5058	Mat rental/PD-11/08/16	Breens Inc.	11/08/16	9028 360051	21.00
10-6020-50-5058	Mat rental/PD-11/15/16	Breens Inc.	11/15/16	9028 360236	21.00
10-6020-50-5058	Janitorial Service/PD-Nov'16	CleanNet of Illinois, I	nc 11/01/16	Nov2016	820.00
10-6020-50-5058	Janitorial Service/PW-Nov'16	CleanNet of Illinois, I	nc 11/01/16	Nov2016	380.00
10-6020-50-5058	Janitorial Service/VH-Nov'16	CleanNet of Illinois, I	nc 11/01/16	Nov2016	675.00
10-6020-50-5058	Cell cleaning-Nov'16	Service Master	11/01/16	182373	275.00
10-6020-50-5080	Electric/Lakewood aerator-Nov'	1 COMED	11/02/16	9258507004/Nov16	138.29
10-6020-50-5080	Electric/Windsor aerator-Nov'1	6 COMED	11/02/16	9342034001/Nov16	109.20
10-6020-50-5080	Nicor heating/PW-Sep/Nov'16	NICOR Gas	11/09/16	22944400005/Nov16	184.06
10-6020-50-5080	Nicor heating/less est adj-Oct	1 NICOR Gas	10/27/16	664689146930ct16	131.82
10-6020-60-6010	1st aid cabinet supls/PW-Nov'1	6 American First Aid Serv	ric11/15/16	43430	66.55
10-6020-60-6010	First aid cabinet supls/PD-Nov	'American First Aid Serv	ric11/15/16	43431	11.50
10-6020-60-6010	F26TBX/835	Graybar Electric Co. In	.c.09/29/16	987555066	118.40
10-6020-60-6010	F42TBX/841	Graybar Electric Co. In	.c.09/29/16	987555066	138.60
10-6020-60-6010	F17T8/SP35	Graybar Electric Co. In	.c.09/29/16	987555066	53.52
10-6020-60-6010	F32T8/SPP35	Graybar Electric Co. In	.c.09/29/16	987555066	75.50
10-6020-60-6010	F96T12XL/HL41	Graybar Electric Co. In	.c.09/29/16	987555066	170.25
10-6020-60-6010	F25T8/SP35	Graybar Electric Co. In	.c.09/29/16	987555066	108.00
10-6020-60-6010	F54W/T5/835	Graybar Electric Co. In	.c.09/29/16	987555066	183.20
10-6020-60-6010	65R30/FL/MI 6PK	Graybar Electric Co. In	.c.09/29/16	987555066	31.44
10-6020-60-6010	F32T8/SPP30	Graybar Electric Co. In	.c.09/29/16	987555066	54.36
10-6020-60-6010	F28W/T5/830	Graybar Electric Co. In	.c.09/29/16	987555066	226.80
10-6020-60-6010	F25T8/SP41	Graybar Electric Co. In		987555066	67.50
10-6020-60-6010	F96T12/CW/HO	Graybar Electric Co. In	.c.09/29/16	987555066	204.30
10-6020-60-6010	Q35MR16/C/FL40	Graybar Electric Co. In		987555066	69.80
10-6020-60-6010	F27BXSPX41RS 10 PK	Graybar Electric Co. In		987555066	187.40
10-6020-60-6010	fREIGHT	Graybar Electric Co. In		987555066	25.00
10-6020-60-6010	F35/CW/C/U6/WM 4 Ft U Bent Flu	1		987907211	93.72
10-6020-60-6010	Freight	Graybar Electric Co. In		987907211	25.00
	2				

Total For Dept 6020 Buildings & Grounds

10,915.81

11/23/2016 09:16 AM User: scarman DB: Burr Ridge		L DISTRIBUTION REPORT FOR CHECK RUN DATES 11/20/201 BOTH JOURNALIZED AND UNJ BOTH OPEN AND PA	16 - 11/23/201 OURNALIZED		Page: 5/7
GL Number	Invoice Line Desc	Vendor	Invoice Date	Invoice	Amount
Fund 21 E-911 Fund Dept 7010 Special Revenue					
21-7010-50-5095	Starcom21 network-Nov'16	Motorola Solutions - STA	R11/01/16	260429302016	68.00
			Total For Dep	ot 7010 Special Revenue E-911	68.00
			Total For Fur	nd 21 E-911 Fund	68.00
Fund 23 Hotel/Motel Tax Fu Dept 7030 Special Revenue					
23-7030-50-5069	One time addl mowing-Oct'16	Desiderio Landscaping LL	c11/05/16	8612	64.95
23-7030-50-5069	Herbicide & fertilizer-Oct'16	Desiderio Landscaping LL		8612	3,998.90
23-7030-50-5069	Roadside mowing-Oct'16	Desiderio Landscaping LL		8612	6,982.50
23-7030-50-5075	Electric/gateway sign-Nov'16	COMED	11/01/16	1153168007/Nov16	46.93
23-7030-50-5075	Electric/median lighting-Nov'16	COMED	11/02/16	1319028022/Nov16	69.35
23-7030-50-5075	Electric/entryway sign-Nov'16	COMED	11/03/16	2257153023/Nov16	35.46
23-7030-50-5075	Herbicide treatment/4 corners-C	McGinty Bros. Inc.	10/28/16	28981.0 172089	1,100.00
23-7030-80-8012	J/M magic train rental-Nov'16	A Moon Jump 4 U	11/08/16	Nov2016	622.50
23-7030-80-8012	2016 Jingle Mingle emcee-Nov16	Annivar Salgado	11/08/16	Nov2016	450.00
23-7030-80-8012	J/M sound setup-Nov'16	PRC Productions	11/14/16	Nov2016	850.00
23-7030-80-8012	Concert sound tech-07/08/16	United Audio Productions	07/31/16	528	250.00
23-7030-80-8012	Concerts equip & tech-07/15,22,	United Audio Productions	07/31/16	528	1,575.00
23-7030-80-8050	SOS MDSF update stickers-Oct16	IMAGE-23	10/26/16	Oct2016	50.00
23-7030-80-8055	H/M marketing-Oct'16	Boost Creative Marketing	10/31/16	1143	7,575.00
23-7030-80-8055	H/M marketing-Oct'16	Boost Creative Marketing	10/31/16	1144	13,917.00
23-7030-80-8055	Restaurant week banner updates/	IMAGE-23	10/26/16	Oct2016	600.00
			Total For Dep	ot 7030 Special Revenue Hotel/Motel	38,187.59
			Total For Fur	nd 23 Hotel/Motel Tax Fund	38,187.59
Fund 24 Places of Eating T	ax				
Dept 7040 Restaurant/Place	of Eating Tax				
24-7040-80-8056	Restaurant marketing-Oct'16	Boost Creative Marketing		21050	675.00
24-7040-80-8056	Restaurant marketing-Oct'16	Boost Creative Marketing	10/31/16	21051	4,000.00
			Total For Dep	ot 7040 Restaurant/Place of Eating	T. 4,675.00
			Total For Fu	nd 24 Places of Eating Tax	4,675.00
Fund 41 Debt Service Fund				2	
Dept 4030 Debt Service 41-4030-80-8115	Debt crt series 2012/int-Dec'16	US Bank	11/09/16	331962	51,931.25
			Total For Dep	pt 4030 Debt Service	51,931.25
			Total For Fur	nd 41 Debt Service Fund	51,931.25
Fund 51 Water Fund Dept 6030 Water Operations					
51-6030-40-4032	Uniform rental/cleaning-11/08/1	Breens Inc.	11/08/16	9027 360057	71.98
51-6030-40-4032	Uniform rental/cleaning-11/15/1		11/15/16	9027 360242	71.98
51-6030-50-5030	Telephone-Nov'16	Call One	11/15/16	1213106Nov'16	404.02
51-6030-50-5052	Utility & park sites-Oct'16	Desiderio Landscaping LL		8612	332.50
51-6030-50-5080	Electric/well #1-Nov'16	COMED	11/04/16	079668005/Nov16	202.67
51-6030-50-5080	Electric/well #5-Nov'16	COMED	11/02/16	4497129016/Nov16	30.33
51-6030-50-5080	Electric/Bedford Pk sump pump-N		11/09/16	9179647001/Nov16	33.23
51-6030-50-5080	Electric/2M tank-Nov'16	COMED	11/02/16	9256332027/Nov16	138.40
51-6030-50-5080	Electric/PC-Nov'16	Direct Energy Business Li		163120029205757	3,402.54
51-6030-50-5080	Nicor heating/PC-Oct/Nov'16	NICOR Gas	11/09/16	47915700000/Nov16	31.21
	-				

11/23/2016 09:16 AM User: scarman DB: Burr Ridge	INVOICE GL DISTRIBUTION REPORT FOR VILLAGE OF BURR RIDGE EXP CHECK RUN DATES 11/20/2016 - 11/23/2016 BOTH JOURNALIZED AND UNJOURNALIZED BOTH OPEN AND PAID				Page:	6/7
GL Number	Invoice Line Desc	Vendor	Invoice Date	Invoice		Amount
Fund 51 Water Fund						
Dept 6030 Water Operations						
51-6030-60-6010	Sump Pump Check Valve, 1.25" o	5	10/31/16	9267490879		20.94
51-6030-60-6010	1.25" Flexible Pipe Coupling,	5	10/31/16	9267490879		25.80 180.00
51-6030-60-6010 51-6030-70-7000	Topsoil/6yds (restorations)Nov 1" LF Dual Check Valve,#22N554		11/10/16 10/31/16	0113314-IN 9267490879		337.20
51-6030-70-7000	I" LF Dual Check Valve,#22N554	Grainger	10/31/16	9267490879		337.20
			Total For Dept	6030 Water Operations		5,282.80
			Total For Fund	51 Water Fund		5,282.80
Fund 52 Sewer Fund						
Dept 6040 Sewer Operations						
52-6040-40-4032	Uniform rental/cleaning-11/08/		11/08/16	9027 360057		22.39
52-6040-40-4032	Uniform rental/cleaning-11/15/		11/15/16	9027 360242		22.39
52-6040-50-5030	Telephone-Nov'16	Call One	11/15/16	1213106Nov'16		44.89
52-6040-50-5080	Electric/H'Flds L.SNov'16	COMED	11/03/16	0099002061Nov16		41.55
52-6040-50-5080	Electric/C'Moor L.SNov'16	COMED	11/02/16	0356595009/Nov16		142.34
52-6040-50-5080	Electric/A'Head L.SNov'16	COMED	11/03/16	7076690006/Nov16		99.35
			Total For Dept	6040 Sewer Operations		372.91
			Total For Fund	52 Sewer Fund		372.91
Fund 61 Information Techno						
Dept 4040 Information Tech 61-4040-50-5020	51	Orbis Solutions	11/11/16	5566167		1,250.00
61-4040-50-5020	IT/phone support-Nov'16 IT/phone support-Nov'16	Orbis Solutions	11/11/16	5566185		375.00
61-4040-50-5020	Replace projector lamp-Nov'16	Orbis Solutions	11/11/16	5566167		525.00
61-4040-50-5050	Rpl hard drive-Nov'16	Orbis Solutions	11/17/16	5566185		29.99
61-4040-50-5061	Cook Cnty CABS/WAN T1 line-Nov		, , .	Nov2016		3,267.00
61-4040-70-7000	Instl/configure backup sys-Nov		11/11/16	5566188		2,019.70
			Total For Dept	4040 Information Technology		7,466.69
			IOLAL FOR FUND	61 Information Technology Fund		7,466.69

11/23/2016 09:16 AM User: scarman DB: Burr Ridge		EXP CHECK RUN DATES BOTH JOURNALIZE BOTH OF	EPORT FOR VILLAGE OF BURR 11/20/2016 - 11/23/2016 ED AND UNJOURNALIZED PEN AND PAID		Page:	7/7
GL Number	Invoice Line Desc	Vendor	Invoice Date	Invoice		Amount
		Fur	nd Totals:			
			Fund 10 Genera	al Fund		64,241.60
			Fund 21 E-911	Fund		68.00
			Fund 23 Hotel/	/Motel Tax Fund		38,187.59
			Fund 24 Places	s of Eating Tax		4,675.00
			Fund 41 Debt S	Service Fund		51,931.25
			Fund 51 Water	Fund		5,282.80
			Fund 52 Sewer	Fund		372.91
			Fund 61 Inform	nation Technology Fi		7,466.69
			Total For All	Funds:		172,225.84