Regular Meeting
Norwood Township Planning Commission
AGENDA
Monday, July 13
7:00 p.m.
Zoom Remote Meeting

Call to Order/Pledge of Allegiance/Welcome

Roll Call

Approval of Agenda

Conflict of Interest

Public Comment

Approval of Previous Meeting’s Minutes: June 8, 2020

Zoning Administrator’s Report: June 2020

Planning Commission Old Business

1. Master Plan
   A. Intent to Plan - coordinate with Planner
   B. Community Survey
2. Zoning Map from Charlevoix County
3. St Mary’s Tour
4. Recreation Committee

Planning Commission New Business

1. Property Maintenance Issue - Zoning Administrator
2. AM Manufacturing Zoning Enforcement

Communications:

Public Comment:

Adjourn
The Planning Commission regularly meets on the second Monday of each month except on Holidays at 7:00 p.m.

Zoom Meeting Information

Join Zoom Meeting: https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82962707857

Meeting ID: 829 6270 7857

Dial in Number: 1 646 558 8656 US
Call to Order – Meeting called to order at 7:00 P.M.

Pledge of Allegiance – by all present

Roll Call – Member Reibel-present, Member Dotson-present 7:10pm, Chairman Kolka-present, Vice Chairman Vermeesch-present, Secretary Warner-present. Planner Ruben Shell also present.

Approval of Agenda- MM by Reibel to approve the agenda, 2nd by Vermeesch. Motion carried 4-0

Conflict of Interest – none noted.

Public Comment – None

Approval of May 13, 2020 Meeting Minutes – MM by Warner to approve May 13, 2020 Meeting Minutes, 2nd by Vermeesch. Motion carried to approve Minutes 5-0.

Zoning Administrator’s Report –
Read by Planner and available on Township Web Site. AM Manufacturing LLC S.U.P. was discussed. Conditions of S.U.P. will be reviewed and forwarded to ZA by recording secretary.
-Warner stated there are dirt piles that appear to be stripping on US 31 across from Cedarview and asked the ZA to review to see if they are in compliance with the Zoning Ordinance.
-ZA stated there is an upcoming variance and wondered who was on the Zoning Board of Appeals. Z.B.A. consists of David Kolka, Marion Light, Shari Jones with Jared Lucas and Gary Stutzman as Alternates. Kolka is going to discuss variance with ZA and Supervisor.
-Discussion of ordinary high water mark for Lake Michigan and max elevation of Lake Michigan which is 580’ above sea level.
-Discussion of a mobile home in the village that owner may want to use for Short Term Rental. PC stated they have to follow the rules of the S.T.R. licensing and suggested the ZA find out about the ownership and contact them.
-ZA asking about the S.U.P. application for the Cleland property on US 31 for a Events Barn. The PC stated they have to apply under the updated ordinance.

Old Business –

Review PC Budget-
PC discussed the discrepancies of the Planner budget that was presented at the May 13, 2020 PC Meeting. The original amount presented to the Board for the PC Budget was $1,100.00 which included the Planner estimate for the Master Plan work
MM by Vermeesch to allow Chairman Kolka to make 2020-2021 PC Budget decisions with Supervisor Ridenour since the 2020-2021 budget is being voted on at the June 15, 2020 Board Meeting and to accept the original 2020-2021 budget presented by Beckett & Raeder, 2nd by Warner. Motion carried 5-0.
Planner Ruben Shell stated that the original budget figures presented were not correct but he will work with the PC to work on the Master Plan’s Land Use, Action Plan and questions regarding Survey Monkey and who is taking on what tasks regarding the Master Plan review. This will be discussed at the July 13, 2020 PC Meeting.

PC Member Elections-
MM by Warner to nominate David Kolka to maintain his seat as Chairman for the 2020-2021 Planning Commission, 2nd by Reibel. Motion carried 4-0 by all remaining members and Kolka accepted the nomination.
MM by Reibel to nominate David Vermeesch to maintain his seat as Vice-Chairman for the 2020-2021 Planning Commission, 2nd by Warner. Motion carried 4-0 by all remaining members and Vermeesch accepted the nomination.
MM by Kolka to nominate Doug Warner to maintain his seat as Secretary for the 2020-2021 Planning Commission, 2nd by Vermeesch. Motion carried 4-0 by all remaining members and Warner accepted the nomination.

Wagner Re-Zoning to review and set Public Hearing-
Discussion on .7 acres is zoned as Agriculture now and 20.3 acres are zoned as R-1 low density. Wagner is asking to rezone the 20.3 acres to Agriculture. Property description and survey were reviewed. Vermeesch stated the larger section should be the dominate zone so if applicant wants to change they need to pay to have two parcels changed.
MM by Vermeesch that this application for rezoning appears to be in the interest of the property owners and in order to make zoning changes the property owners must submit a new application with fees paid in order for the Planning Commission to continue on with the Public Hearing, 2nd by Warner. Motion carried 5-0.
PC will not pursue until a new application is submitted with fees paid. Chairman Kolka will discuss with ZA.

New Business –
Review Zoning Map-
Discussion on whether the Zoning Map has been updated or what should be the correct date of the last updated Zoning Map. Recording Secretary Jones said she will check with Kierstin Stark at the County Planner’s Office to see when last updated or check with Brian Kelly of GIS. Jones will relay information to Chairman Kolka.

St. Mary’s Cement Document Review-
PC reviewed the documents submitted by St. Mary’s Cement and Kolka will contact St. Mary’s to do a possible tour to review the changes that have been done at the plant.

Communications – None

Next Planning Commission meeting will be July 13, 2020. The meeting site will be posted on the Township Web Site when it is determined what COVID-19 protocol will be at that time.

Public Comment – Jones offered her help with the Agenda or any other ways she can help to reduce the cost of the Planner for the PC.

Meeting Adjourned at 8:28 p.m.
Submitted by Shari M. Jones, Recording Secretary
Activity picked up in June, with a number of phone calls but not much in the way of permits issued.

6/5 Call from Marion Light regarding the sale of a mobile home behind her lot, wondering if the use is non-conforming. No action necessary at this time.

6/5 Received a variance application for the ZBA which I returned to the applicant, Boyd Construction on behalf of property owner Hillier for more information.

6/5 Phone call inquiring about set backs from Lake Michigan shoreline.

6/8 E-mail from Patrick Faust inquiring about process for securing a zoning permit to build a garage.

6/11 Delivered Hillier Variance application to Dave Kolka.

6/11 Letter to Alex Mitchell at AM Mfr summoning him to the July meeting.

6/12 Call from Tom Hentzkill inquiring about process for splitting his lot.

6/12 Letter to property owner Beisel regarding his lawn at the corner of Lake and 2d Streets.

6/17 Call from Pat Stettsmeyer inquiring about splitting a lot on Lakeshore Drive.

6/17 Call from Ray Bier inquiring about serving burgers at the Brewery and whether additional permit is needed. After consulting with Ruben Shell, Bier was advised that this would not be a Township zoning ordinance issue.

6/18 Met with Scott and Sherry Cleland regarding their special use application.

6/18 Call from Mark Snyder, realtor, to clarify a zoning district question.

6/23 Received a complaint from Alec Howe regarding AM Mfg and the use of an adjoining lot for truck access from US31 and parking of equipment on the lot. Travelled to the site to observe and meet with Howe.

6/23 Received $250 check from Nadar Dujovney to renew STR license – forwarded to Lynn Smolenyak.

6/23 Discussion with Dave Kolka regarding the ZBA application. We agreed that the application fell short of presenting a compelling case. Hillier notified.

Respectfully submitted,

Steve Overton
Norwood Community Survey Results

1. Which of the following are reasons that you live in Norwood Township?
   - Country Living 58.99%
   - Close to work 7.69%
   - Reasonable Taxes 20.91%
   - Close to family/friends 20.59%
   - Lifelong resident 16.33%
   - Affordable housing 8.74%
   - Rural Atmosphere 48.61%
   - Large home sites 30.97%
   - Peacefulness/Privacy 60.81%
   - Ambience of Village 33.04%
   - Scenic views 54.35%
   - Waterfront property 42.15%
   - Quality of schools 11.88%
   - Recreational opps. 33.90%
   - Don’t live in Township 11.32%

2. Please check the choice below that best describes how you feel about the rate of residential growth in Norwood Township.
   - Much too fast 6.10%
   - A little too fast 12.20%
   - About right 70.12%
   - A little too slow 8.54%
   - Much too slow 3.05%

3. Which density (for single family homes) do you think is appropriate for future development in Norwood Township?
   - One house per ½ acre 10.90%
   - One house per 1 acre 32.69%
   - One house per 3 acres 37.18%
   - One house per 5 acres 33.97%
   - Other 16 comments

4. What types of residential development would you like to see?
   - Scattered single family homes on five acre lots as is presently occurring 46.84%
   - Large lots (of more than five acres) with single family homes throughout the Township. 36.71%
   - Low density single family homes on smaller lots in a manner that preserves land. 54.43%
   - Multiple family and/or attached condominium development 4.43%
   - Other 19 comments

5. In general, do you feel that the right of the Township to regulate land uses should come before the rights of the property landowner?
   - Yes, always 3.68%
   - Yes, but only when the general welfare of the Township can be shown. 26.38%
   - Each case must be considered individually 34.36%
   - No, generally not 18.40%
   - Never 17.18%

6. Which best describes how you view the number of commercial (retail and services) businesses in Norwood Township?
   - Not enough 24.84%
   - About right for the size and character of the community 72.05%
   - Too much 3.11%

7. Where would you like to see future commercial (retail and services) growth located to serve the citizens of Norwood Township?
   - US-31 at Heise Rd. 21.92%
   - US-31 at Richardson Road 0.0%
US-31 at Norwood/Barnard Rd. 17.12%
US-31 at Ferry Road 4.11%
US-31 at Witmere Road 2.05%
In the Village of Norwood 10.96%
Don’t want more commercial development in the Township 43.84%
Other 25 comments

8. **What type of new retail/service would you like to see in Norwood Township?**

- Food Service/Produce/General Store 40.00%
- Restaurant 28.75%
- Professional Office/Health care office 14.37%
- Art Gallery and/or Studio 35.00%
- Giant retail stores/mall style stores 3.13%
- Farm Market (for produce grown on site) 53.75%
- Gas Station/Mechanic Service 15.00%
- Strip Mall 1.88%
- Don’t want more retail/service 30.63%
- Other 18 comments

9. **Please check the response that best describes how you feel about a small shopping center (4-6 stores) located in the Township.**

- Strongly favor 7.27%
- Somewhat favor 12.73%
- Neutral 14.55%
- Somewhat opposed 24.24%
- Strongly opposed 39.39%
- No response 1.82%

10. **Please check the response that identifies the village or city that you conduct most of your business.**

- Atwood 0%
- Charlevoix 82.82%
- East Jordan 0.61%
- Ellsworth 0%
- Gaylord 0%
- Petoskey 10.43%
- Traverse City 4.91%
- Other 15 Comments

11. **In general, commercial (retail and services) development in the Township should be:**

- Highly promoted with few land use restrictions 4.29%
- Allowed with appropriate land use regulations 44.17%
- Encouraged with appropriate land use regulations 28.83%
- Discouraged with many land use restrictions 24.54%

12. **Would you like to see more employment/job opportunities created in the Township?**

- Strongly in favor 17.07%
- Somewhat favor 17.07%
- Neutral 43.90%
- Somewhat opposed 13.41%
- Strongly opposed 8.54%

13. **In general, industrial development in the Township should be:**

- Highly promoted with few land use restrictions 4.22%
Encouraged with appropriate land use regulations 13.25%
Allowed with appropriate land use regulations 29.52%
Discouraged with may land use restrictions 53.01%
Other 8 Comments

14. In general, mining operations in the Township should be:
Highly promoted with few land use restrictions 4.22%
Encouraged with appropriate land use regulations 5.56%
Allowed with appropriate land use regulations 28.40%
Discouraged with may land use restrictions 61.73%
Other 15 Comments

15. If there were going to be industrial development in the Township, where would it be located?
North Quadrant 25.81%
South Quadrant 6.45%
East Quadrant 8.39%
West Quadrant 3.87%
Don’t want any industrial development in Norwood Township 65.81%
Other 16 comments

16. Do you consider the Township’s natural resources (beach, park, scenic views, open space, lakes, rivers, streams, woodlots, wetlands, water recharge areas) to be an asset to the community?
Strongly support 79.39%
Support 18.18%
Undecided 1.21%
Oppose 0.61%
Strongly oppose 0.61%

17. Do you feel that the Township should enact special measures to protect the natural resources?
Strongly support 56.79%
Support 27.78%
Undecided 7.41%
Oppose 3.70%
Strongly oppose 4.32%
Other 18 comments

18. Do you consider the historic buildings of Norwood Village to be an asset to the community?
Strongly support 50.91%
Support 33.33%
Undecided 10.30%
Oppose 4.24%
Strongly Oppose 1.21%

19. Do you feel that the Township should enact special measures to preserve the Village and surrounding area?
Strongly support 36.97%
Support 33.94%
Undecided 14.55%
Oppose 8.48%
Strongly oppose 6.06%
20. How many acres do you farm or lease in the Norwood Township?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acres Range</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 10 acres</td>
<td>10.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-39 acres</td>
<td>5.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-100 acres</td>
<td>4.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 100 acres</td>
<td>4.19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not farm</td>
<td>74.85%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

21. If you presently farm 40 acres or more in Norwood Township, how long do you plan to keep this land in agricultural production?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-5 years</td>
<td>1.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10 years</td>
<td>0.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 10 years</td>
<td>10.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>0.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not farm</td>
<td>86.81%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

22. How many times have you, your family and/or guest(s) used the Township Park on Lake Michigan in the past 12 months?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More than 10 times</td>
<td>25.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 9 times</td>
<td>11.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 to 4 times</td>
<td>34.97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>28.83%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

23. Would you support improvement of Township Park on Lake Michigan?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes, by increased property taxes</td>
<td>7.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, by special assessment</td>
<td>12.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, by other funding</td>
<td>51.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>28.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>23 comments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

24. What types of improvements would you like to see at the Township Park?

71 comments

25. Do you support the Elk Rapids to Charlevoix Trail?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>44.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>12.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unaware</td>
<td>42.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>16 comments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

26. How many members of your household are employed outside the home?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Members</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 - 66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - 29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 - 39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skipped - 29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

27. If you live in the Township, how far do you and each employed member of your household drive (one way) to work?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5 miles</td>
<td>6.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-10 miles</td>
<td>17.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20 miles</td>
<td>13.79%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
>20 miles 18.62%
Retired 35.86%
Work from home 22.78%

28. **Check the roads typically used by members of your household on the way to and from work.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Norwood Road</td>
<td>25.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferry Road</td>
<td>7.97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Dixie Hwy</td>
<td>14.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnard Road</td>
<td>21.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beatty Road</td>
<td>3.62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-31</td>
<td>61.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gennett Road</td>
<td>10.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parsons Road</td>
<td>1.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richardson Road</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warner Road</td>
<td>3.62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakeshore/Clipperview/Witmere</td>
<td>9.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>10 comments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

29. **Do you feel there is a traffic congestion problem on Township Roads?**

- Major Problem 0.61%
- Somewhat a problem 4.27%
- No problem 95.12%

30. **How would you describe the condition of the roads in the Township?**

- Excellent 1.21%
- Very Good 7.27%
- Good 32.73%
- Fair 42.42%
- Poor 16.36%

31. **Would you support an additional millage to pave gravel roads in the Township?**

- Yes, by increased property taxes 11.46%
- Yes, by other funding 20.38%
- Yes, by special assessment/road frontage 19.75%
- No 48.41%
- Other 25 comments

32. **If you have been a Township resident five or more years, please rate how you feel the following categories have changed in the last five years.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Much Worse</th>
<th>Worse</th>
<th>Same</th>
<th>Better</th>
<th>Much Better</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rd Conditions</td>
<td>6.99%</td>
<td>28.67%</td>
<td>42.66%</td>
<td>18.88%</td>
<td>2.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privacy</td>
<td>2.10%</td>
<td>14.69%</td>
<td>78.32%</td>
<td>4.20%</td>
<td>0.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>86.71%</td>
<td>5.59%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic</td>
<td>1.42%</td>
<td>24.11%</td>
<td>72.34%</td>
<td>2.13%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nat. Environ.</td>
<td>2.82%</td>
<td>8.45%</td>
<td>76.06%</td>
<td>11.97%</td>
<td>0.70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

33. **In Your opinion, what do you consider needs more protection by the Township?** (Please rank your top 5 choices in order of importance, assigning “5” to the most important) Average Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Average Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open space</td>
<td>3.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenic Views</td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norwood Village</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forestry</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>17 comments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
34. Should your elected officials actively work to bring internet access to the Township?
   Yes  78.26%
   No   21.74%
   Other 28 comments

35. Would you support a tax millage or other funding mechanism to pay for improved internet service?
   Yes  35.15%
   No   46.67%
   Undecided 18.18%
   Other 12 comments

36. Would you support a property tax millage for purchasing additional park land?
   Yes  19.75%
   No   58.02%
   Undecided 22.22%
   Other 21 comments

37. The Purchase of Development Rights is the voluntary sale of the right to develop property by the landowner to a government agency or land trust. The government agency or land trust acquiring development rights typically restricts future uses of the land to farming, recreation or open space. Would you support a property tax millage for purchasing development rights?
   Yes  12.73%
   No   40.61%
   Undecided 13.94%
   Need more information 32.73%
   Other 11 comments

38. Would you support a property tax millage to improve fire protection in the Township?
   Yes  36.81%
   No   34.36%
   Undecided 28.83%
   Other 14 comments

39. Would you support a property tax millage to improve Ambulance Services in the Township?
   Yes  33.94%
   No   38.18%
   Undecided 27.88%
   Other 10 comments

40. Would you support a property tax millage to improve Public Safety in the Township?
   Yes  14.55%
   No   52.12%
   Undecided 33.33%
   Other 16 comments

41. Which of the following best describes your residential status in Norwood Township?
   Year round resident  75.16%
   Seasonal Resident  21.66% Other 9 comments
   Absentee Landlord  0.64%
   Renter  2.55%
42. How long have you owned property in Norwood Township?
   - 0-5 years: 9.15%
   - 5-10 years: 16.46%
   - 10-20 years: 31.71%
   - Greater than 20 years: 42.68%

43. What type of property do you own in Norwood Township?
   - Commercial: 0.0%
   - Residential < 2 acres: 30.30%
   - Residential 2 to 5 acres: 20.61%
   - Residential 5 to 10 acres: 15.15%
   - Residential > 10 acres: 17.58%
   - Farm 40 acres or greater: 10.91%
   - Multifamily: 0.0%
   - Waterfront: 25.45%
   - Industrial: 0.61%
   - Renter: 2.42%
   - Other: 9 comments

44. Are you a registered voter?
   - Yes: 91.57%
   - No: 8.43%

45. What is your age?
   - 18-24: 1
   - 25-34: 3
   - 35-44: 11
   - 45-54: 31
   - 55-64: 43
   - 65-74: 38
   - 75+: 26

46. In your own words, what characteristics would make Norwood Township an exceptional place to live five (5) years from now? 132 comments

47. In your own words, what characteristics might hinder Norwood Township from being an exceptional place to live five (5) years from now? 131 comments

48. Is there anything else that you would like to suggest to the Township Planning Commission? 91 comments
## Norwood Township Annual Calendar

**Key:**
- Planning Commission
- Board of Trustees
- Zoning Board of Appeals
- Board of Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planning Commission develops annual report&lt;br&gt;Meets Monday the 13th at 7 PM at Historical Society Building&lt;br&gt;Meets Monday the 20th at 7 PM at Historical Society Building&lt;br&gt;Meets Monday the 27th at 7 PM at Historical Society Building</td>
<td>No February Meeting&lt;br&gt;No February meeting&lt;br&gt;No February meeting</td>
<td>Meets Monday the 9th at 7 PM at Historical Society Building&lt;br&gt;Meets Monday the 16th at 7 PM at Historical Society Building&lt;br&gt;Meets Monday the 23rd at 7 PM at Historical Society Building&lt;br&gt;Board of Review Meetings</td>
<td>Meets Monday the 13th at 7 PM - remote zoom meeting&lt;br&gt;Regular meeting cancelled&lt;br&gt;Regular meeting cancelled</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meets Monday the 11th at 7 PM - remote Zoom meeting&lt;br&gt;Meets Monday the 18th at 7 PM&lt;br&gt;Meets Monday the 25th at 7 PM</td>
<td>Meets Monday the 8th at 7 PM - Township Hall&lt;br&gt;Election of Planning Commission officers&lt;br&gt;Township Board adopts annual budget&lt;br&gt;Meets Monday the 15th at 7 PM&lt;br&gt;Meets Monday the 29th at 7 PM</td>
<td>Meets Monday 13th at 7 PM – Remote Zoom Meeting&lt;br&gt;Meets Monday the 20th at 7PM at Township Hall&lt;br&gt;Meets Monday the 27th at 7 PM at Township Hall&lt;br&gt;Board of Review Meetings</td>
<td>Meets Monday the 10th at 7 PM at Township Hall&lt;br&gt;Meets Monday the 17th at 7PM at Township Hall&lt;br&gt;Meets Monday the 24th at 7 PM at Township Hall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| September | October | November | December |
- Meets Monday the 14th at 7 PM at Township Hall
- Meets Monday the 21st at 7 PM at Township Hall
- Meets Monday the 28th at 7 PM at Township Hall

- Meets Monday the 12th at 7 PM at Historical Society Building
- Meets Monday the 19th at 7 PM at Township Hall
- Meets Monday the 26th at 7 PM at Historical Society Building

- Meets Monday the 9th at 7 PM at Historical Society Building
- Meets Monday the 16th at 7 PM at Township Hall
- Meets Monday the 30th at 7 PM at Historical Society Building

- Define Annual meeting schedule
- Meets Monday the 14th at 7 PM at Historical Society Building
- Define Annual meeting schedule
- Meets Monday the 28th at 7 PM at Historical Society Building
- Board of Review Meetings

Ongoing Planning Commission Milestones:
- Master Plan review and update: 2020
- Parks and Recreation Plan update: 2021 (to cover period 2022-2026)
- Review of Bylaws: 2020